Tooth Growth as Result of Vitamin C Supplements Analysis

Ajani Gyasi

25 October, 2015

Overview

In this project, we are to analyze the *ToothGrowth* data set found in the R data sets package. The total observations of the data set amount to sixty. Let's explore!

Load & Summarize Data

```
library(datasets)
library(ggplot2)


data("ToothGrowth")
summary(ToothGrowth)
```

```
##       len        supp         dose
##  Min.   : 4.20   OJ:30   Min.   :0.500
##  1st Qu.:13.07   VC:30   1st Qu.:0.500
##  Median :19.25           Median :1.000
##  Mean   :18.81           Mean   :1.167
##  3rd Qu.:25.27           3rd Qu.:2.000
##  Max.   :33.90           Max.   :2.000
```

```
head(ToothGrowth)
```

```
##    len supp dose
## 1  4.2   VC  0.5
## 2 11.5   VC  0.5
## 3  7.3   VC  0.5
## 4  5.8   VC  0.5
## 5  6.4   VC  0.5
## 6 10.0   VC  0.5
```

Visualize

Notice, in the graph the two delivery methods, **OJ** & **VC**, show a true correlation.



Exploratory Analysis

The confidence intervals are assumed not to be pair(i.e. we are not comparing two different supplement types from individual subjects). These variables are independent and the distribution looks to be approximately normal.

```
anal <- lm(formula = len ~ dose + supp, data = ToothGrowth)
confint(anal)
```

```
##                 2.5 %    97.5 %
## (Intercept)  6.704608 11.840392
## dose         8.007741 11.519402
## suppVC      -5.889905 -1.510095
```

```
summary(anal)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = len ~ dose + supp, data = ToothGrowth)
##
## Residuals:
##    Min     1Q Median     3Q    Max
## -6.600 -3.700  0.373  2.116  8.800
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   9.2725     1.2824   7.231 1.31e-09 ***
## dose          9.7636     0.8768  11.135 6.31e-16 ***
## suppVC       -3.7000     1.0936  -3.383   0.0013 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 4.236 on 57 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.7038, Adjusted R-squared:  0.6934
## F-statistic: 67.72 on 2 and 57 DF,  p-value: 8.716e-16
```

Conclusion Analysis

The linear model explains 70% of the variance in the data. For each coefficient, the null hypothesis is that no tooth length variation is explained by that particular variable. We can reject the null hypothesis and assume that each variable indicates variability in tooth length when the significance level is 5%.