

A Comparison of Antenna Placement Algorithms

Abhinav Jauhri

April 5, 2015

Contributions

- ▶ Formulation of the antenna placement problem
- ▶ Evaluation of standard stochastic algorithms on a real-world problem
- ▶ Able to achieve global optimum with as low as **25% evaluations** of search space


Minimize Difference in Radiation Pattern

Pattern defines the ratio of energy radiated and input energy in a particular direction. For each antenna A_i :

$$F_{RP} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \sum_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} (FSG_i(\theta, \phi) - ISG_i(\theta, \phi))^2, \quad (1)$$

where



- ▶ θ, ϕ spherical coordinates
- ▶ $FSG(\cdot)$ returns free-space gain pattern
- ▶ $ISG(\cdot)$ returns in-situ gain pattern



Radiation Pattern


Random in-situ pattern with platform and antennas

Free-space pattern without platform or other antennas




Radiation Pattern


Free-space pattern without platform or other antennas




Random in-situ pattern with platform and antennas



Best in-situ pattern with platform and antennas similar to free-space pattern



GA with different mutation rates



Equivalence of fitness to efficiency

For a particular test case, fitness change of 0.01 is equivalent to either the corresponding value under expected gain (\mathbb{E}_g) column, or difference in coupling (Δ_c).

ID	\mathbb{E}_g	Δ_c (dB)
tc1	872.277	0.5474
tc2	862.082	1.3034
tc3	861.845	1.5180
tc4	871.049	0.5693

$$\mathbb{E}_g = \frac{1}{N \cdot m} \sum_i^m F_{RP}(A_i), \text{ where } N = |\theta| \cdot |\phi|$$