

## Instant Justice in case of Hyderabad Rape Case :

The police encounter of the four accused in the Hyderabad rape case has divided even the feminists.

I personally believe that the “instant justice” was less of a justice and more of a deterrence. It totally fails the legal system of India and puts it to shame because the accused never faced trial. There is a huge probability that they were not the actual rapists as we saw in the Ryan International Case.[1]

Even if they were the responsible for the rape, they had the right to face trial. Committing a crime however heinous doesn't take away anyone's basic rights given to them by the Constitution. Vigilante justice is also a slippery slope. What crimes deserve a swift bullet to head and which deserve to see the trial?

BUT is that opinion coming from the . Would you think differently if you were closely related to any of the victims? Usually while in sensitive matters like sexual harassment or caste discrimination, the opinions of someone who has underwent it or seen it closely, in this case women and lower cast people carry more weight. Shouldn't the opinions of kins of victims who are demanding the same “justice” for their cases or even the parents of Hyderabad rape case victim who are satisfied by the action of the police carry more weight and anyone saying that the killings are unconstitutional be seen as elitist?