

Combinatorial games on multi-type Galton-Watson trees

Moumanti Podder

Indian Institute of Science Education & Research (IISER) Pune

IISc-IISER Pune Twenty-20 Symposium
September 17, 2020

Where it all began

- ▶ [Galton-Watson Games](#), by Alexander Holroyd and James Martin.
- ▶ They studied combinatorial games on rooted Galton-Watson trees.

Galton-Watson trees:

- ▶ Start with the root ϕ . Let it have X_0 children where $X_0 \sim \chi$.
- ▶ If $X_0 = m$ for some $m \neq 0$, then name these children v_1, \dots, v_m . Let v_i have X_i children, where X_1, \dots, X_m are i.i.d. χ .
- ▶ Continue thus. The (random) rooted tree obtained is denoted \mathcal{T} .

For the purpose of the games: \mathcal{T} will be visualized as a directed graph: an edge $\{u, v\}$ between parent u and child v will be directed from u to v and denoted (u, v) .

Games that Holroyd-Martin analyzed

- ▶ A token placed at an *initial* vertex of \mathcal{T} .
- ▶ Two players take turns to move the token along directed edges.

Three different games studied:

1. **Normal games:** The players are denoted P1 and P2. Whichever player fails to move the token first, loses.
2. **Misère games:** The players are denoted P1 and P2. Whichever player fails to move the token first, wins.
3. **Escape games:** The players are denoted *Stopper* and *Escaper*. If either player fails to move the token, Stopper wins. Else Escaper wins. **Note:** No draw is possible in this game.

My set-up: multi-type Galton-Watson trees

- ▶ Let $\sigma_v \in \{\text{blue}, \text{red}\}$ denote colour of each vertex v in \mathcal{T} .
- ▶ Each vertex v gives birth independent of all else; offspring distribution depends on σ_v only:

$$\mathbf{P}[v \text{ has } m \text{ blue and } n \text{ red children} | \sigma_v = \text{blue}] = \chi_b(m, n),$$

$$\mathbf{P}[v \text{ has } m \text{ blue and } n \text{ red children} | \sigma_v = \text{red}] = \chi_r(m, n).$$

- ▶ Directed edge (u, v) *monochromatic* if $\sigma_u = \sigma_v$ and *non-monochromatic* if $\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v$.

My version of the games

- ▶ Players P1 (respectively Stopper) and P2 (respectively Escaper) take turns to move the token along directed edges.
- ▶ P1 / Stopper allowed to move token only along monochromatic edges.
- ▶ P2 / Escaper allowed to move token only along non-monochromatic edges.

The outcomes of the games are decided via the same rules as before:

- ▶ **Normal game:** Whoever fails to move the token, loses the game.
- ▶ **Misère game:** Whoever fails to move the token, wins the game.
- ▶ **Escape game:** Stopper wins if either player is unable to move the token. Else Escaper wins. Draw not possible.

Analysis of the normal game: defining subsets

- ▶ $NW_{1,b}$ set of blue vertices v such that, if v initial vertex and P1 plays first round, P1 wins. Likewise, define $NW_{1,r}$.
- ▶ $NL_{1,b}$ set of blue vertices v such that, if v initial vertex and P1 plays first round, P1 loses. Likewise, define $NL_{1,r}$.
- ▶ Similarly, define $NW_{2,b}$, $NW_{2,r}$, $NL_{2,b}$ and $NL_{2,r}$.
- ▶ For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $NW_{1,b}^{(n)} \subset NW_{1,b}$ comprising v such that if v initial vertex and P1 plays first round, game lasts $< n$ rounds. Set $NW_{1,b}^{(0)} = \emptyset$.
- ▶ Likewise, define $NW_{1,r}^{(n)}$, $NL_{1,b}^{(n)}$, $NL_{1,r}^{(n)}$, $NW_{2,b}^{(n)}$, $NW_{2,r}^{(n)}$, $NL_{2,b}^{(n)}$, and $NL_{2,r}^{(n)}$.

Analysis of the normal game: defining probabilities

- ▶ $\text{nw}_{1,b}^{(n)} = \mathbf{P} \left[\phi \in \text{NW}_{1,b}^{(n)} \mid \sigma_\phi = \text{blue} \right]$.
- ▶ $\text{nw}_{1,r}^{(n)} = \mathbf{P} \left[\phi \in \text{NW}_{1,r}^{(n)} \mid \sigma_\phi = \text{red} \right]$.
- ▶ Likewise, define $\text{nl}_{1,b}^{(n)}$, $\text{nl}_{1,r}^{(n)}$, $\text{nw}_{2,b}^{(n)}$, $\text{nw}_{2,r}^{(n)}$, $\text{nl}_{2,b}^{(n)}$ and $\text{nl}_{2,r}^{(n)}$.
- ▶ Define $\text{nw}_{1,b} = \mathbf{P} \left[\phi \in \text{NW}_{1,b} \mid \sigma_\phi = \text{blue} \right]$.
- ▶ Define $\text{nw}_{1,r} = \mathbf{P} \left[\phi \in \text{NW}_{1,r} \mid \sigma_\phi = \text{red} \right]$.
- ▶ Likewise, define $\text{nl}_{1,b}$, $\text{nl}_{1,r}$, $\text{nw}_{2,b}$, $\text{nw}_{2,r}$, $\text{nl}_{2,b}$ and $\text{nl}_{2,r}$.

Lemma: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{nw}_{1,b}^{(n)} = \text{nw}_{1,b}$. Similar results hold for the other sequences.

Probability generating functions

- For $x, y \in [0, 1]$, let

$$G_b(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^m y^n \chi_b(m, n).$$

- For $x, y \in [0, 1]$, let

$$G_r(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^m y^n \chi_r(m, n).$$

- Define

$$G_b^{(1)}(x) = G_b(x, 1), \quad G_b^{(2)}(x) = G_b(1, x),$$

$$G_r^{(1)}(x) = G_r(x, 1), \quad G_r^{(2)}(x) = G_r(1, x).$$

A glimpse of the recursions

Vertex $v \in \text{NW}_{1,b}^{(n+1)}$ if

- ▶ $\sigma_v = \text{blue}$, and
- ▶ v has at least one **blue** child u such that, if u is the initial vertex and P2 plays first round, P2 loses in less than n rounds, i.e. $u \in \text{NL}_{2,b}^{(n)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{nw}_{1,b}^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \text{n}\ell_{2,b}^{(n)} \right)^{m_1} \right\} \chi_b(m_1, m_2) \\ &= 1 - G_b^{(1)} \left(1 - \text{n}\ell_{2,b}^{(n)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\text{nw}_{1,r}^{(n+1)} = 1 - G_r^{(2)} \left(1 - \text{n}\ell_{2,r}^{(n)} \right).$$

A glimpse of the recursions

Vertex $v \in \text{NL}_{1,b}^{(n+1)}$ if

- ▶ $\sigma_v = \text{blue}$, and
- ▶ either v has no **blue** child, or each **blue** child u of v is such that, if u is the initial vertex and P2 plays first round, P2 wins in less than n rounds, i.e. $u \in \text{NW}_{2,b}^{(n)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{n}\ell_{1,b}^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \chi_b(0, m_2) + \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \left(\text{nw}_{2,b}^{(n)} \right)^{m_1} \chi_b(m_1, m_2) \\ &= G_b^{(1)} \left(\text{nw}_{2,b}^{(n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\text{n}\ell_{1,r}^{(n+1)} = G_r^{(2)} \left(\text{nw}_{2,r}^{(n)} \right).$$

What the recursions lead to

- ▶ We have $\text{nw}_{1,b}^{(n+4)} = H_1 \left(\text{nw}_{1,b}^{(n)} \right)$, where

$$H_1(x) = 1 - G_b^{(1)} \left(1 - G_b^{(2)} \left(1 - G_r^{(2)} \left(1 - G_r^{(1)}(x) \right) \right) \right).$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, conclude that $\text{nw}_{1,b}$ a fixed point of H_1 .

- ▶ In fact, using monotonically increasing properties of H_1 , we can say that $\text{nw}_{1,b}$ is the minimum fixed point of H_1 in $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ We have $\text{nw}_{2,b}^{(n+4)} = H_2 \left(\text{nw}_{2,b}^{(n)} \right)$, where

$$H_2(x) = 1 - G_b^{(2)} \left(1 - G_r^{(2)} \left(1 - G_r^{(1)} \left(1 - G_b^{(1)}(x) \right) \right) \right).$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, conclude that $\text{nw}_{2,b}$ a fixed point of H_2 .

- ▶ As above, $\text{nw}_{2,b}$ is the minimum fixed point of H_2 in $[0, 1]$.

A special case: bi-type binary Galton-Watson tree

- ▶ Given $\sigma_v = \text{blue}$, v has no child with probability p_0 , two blue children with probability p_{bb} , two red children with probability p_{rr} , and one red and one blue child with probability p_{br} .
- ▶ Given $\sigma_v = \text{red}$, v has no child with probability q_0 , two blue children with probability q_{bb} , two red children with probability q_{rr} , and one red and one blue child with probability q_{br} .
- ▶ Let $\text{nd}_{i,b}$ denote the probability, conditioned on $\sigma_\phi = \text{blue}$, that if ϕ is the initial vertex and P_i plays first round, the game ends in a draw, for $i = 1, 2$. Likewise, define $\text{nd}_{i,r}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma

- ▶ $\text{nd}_{i,b} = \text{nd}_{i,r} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ if $p_{br} = q_{br} = 1$.
- ▶ In all other cases, $\text{nd}_{i,b} = \text{nd}_{i,r} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Similar conclusions hold for draw probabilities in misère games and win probabilities for Escaper in escape games.