# Home Assignment 2 Solutions

STAT 154/254: Modern Statistical Prediction & Machine Learning

# **Problem 1:** Converting \*-values to p-values

Let X be a random variable on  $\mathbb{R}$  with density p(x). Assume p(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define

$$F_1(s) = P(X \le s), \quad F_2(s) = P(X \ge s).$$

Show that

$$F_1(X) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])$$
 and  $F_2(X) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])$ .

(Please avoid the confusing notation  $P(X \leq X)$ .)

**Solution.** Since we have p(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function

$$F_1(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{s} p(x) \, dx$$

is strictly increasing, and hence possesses an inverse  $F_1^{-1}$ . Thus, for any  $s \in [0,1]$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \le s) = \mathbb{P}(X \le F_1^{-1}(s)) = F_1(F_1^{-1}(s)) = s.$$

This shows that  $F_1(X)$  has a Uniform[0,1] distribution. Similarly, since p(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $F_2(s) = 1 - F_1(s)$  for all  $s \in [0,1]$ , hence by the above:

$$\mathbb{P}(F_2(X) \le s) = \mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \ge 1 - s) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \le 1 - s) = 1 - (1 - s) = s.$$

This shows that  $F_2(X)$  has a Uniform[0, 1] distribution as well.

## Problem 2: Logistic regression log-likelihood

Let  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$  be iid samples with  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and label  $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Define

$$P_{\beta}(Y=1\mid X) = \frac{\exp(\langle X,\beta\rangle)}{1+\exp(\langle X,\beta\rangle)}, \quad P_{\beta}(Y=-1\mid X) = 1-P_{\beta}(Y=1\mid X).$$

Let the log-likelihood be

$$\ell_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log P_{\beta}(Y = y_i \mid X = x_i).$$

Write down and simplify  $\log \ell_n(\beta)$ . Compute its gradient  $\nabla_{\beta}[\log \ell_n(\beta)]$  and its Hessian  $\nabla_{\beta}^2[\log \ell_n(\beta)]$ . **Solution.** By assumption we have

$$P_{\beta}(Y = +1 \mid X) = \frac{e^{X^{\top}\beta}}{1 + e^{X^{\top}\beta}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X^{\top}\beta}}.$$

Therefore,

$$P_{\beta}(Y = -1 \mid X) = 1 - P_{\beta}(Y = +1 \mid X) = 1 - \frac{e^{X^{\top}\beta}}{1 + e^{X^{\top}\beta}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{X^{\top}\beta}}.$$

To combine both expressions, we can write

$$P_{\beta}(Y \mid X) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-YX^{\top}\beta}}.$$

Then, using the fact that  $P_{\beta}(X) = P(X)$  does not depend on  $\beta$ , we find the log-likelihood

$$\log \mathcal{L}_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log P(X_i).$$

Now we take the gradient with respect to  $\beta$ . The second term vanishes since it is a constant, and to the first term we can apply the chain rule, yielding:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \log \mathcal{L}_{n}(\beta) = \nabla_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -\log \left( 1 + e^{-Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta} \right) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-\nabla_{\beta} e^{-Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}}{1 + e^{-Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Y_{i} X_{i} e^{-Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}}{1 + e^{-Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Y_{i} X_{i}}{1 + e^{-Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}}.$$

To compute the Hessian, we again use the chain rule:

$$\nabla_{\beta}^{2} \log \mathcal{L}_{n}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\beta} \left( \frac{Y_{i} X_{i}}{1 + e^{Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} X_{i} \frac{\nabla_{\beta} e^{Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}}{\left(1 + e^{Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}\right)^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_{i})^{2} X_{i} X_{i}^{\top} e^{Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}}{\left(1 + e^{Y_{i} X_{i}^{\top} \beta}\right)^{2}}.$$

Notice that  $(Y_i)^2 = 1$  whether  $Y_i = 1$  or  $Y_i = -1$ . Also, we can write

$$\frac{e^{Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}}{\left(1 + e^{Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{\beta}^2 \log \mathcal{L}_n(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^{\top} \frac{1}{1 + e^{Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-Y_i X_i^{\top} \beta}}.$$

## **Problem 3:** Projection Matrices I

Let  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be two projection matrices (i.e.  $P_i^{\top} = P_i$  and  $P_i^2 = P_i$ ) satisfying  $P_1 P_2 = 0$ . Let  $\operatorname{rank}(P_i) = r_i$  with  $r_1 + r_2 \leq n$ . Define the diagonal matrices

$$D_1 = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r_1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-r_1}), \quad D_2 = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r_2}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-r_2}).$$

Show that there exists an orthogonal  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$P_1 = U D_1 U^{\top}, \quad P_2 = U D_2 U^{\top},$$

- i.e.  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. One approach is:
  - i. Show there are orthogonal  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $P_1 = V_1 D_1 V_1^{\top}$  and  $P_2 = V_2 D_2 V_2^{\top}$ .
  - ii. Let  $\tilde{U}_1$  be the first  $r_1$  columns of  $V_1$ , and let  $\tilde{U}_2$  be columns  $r_1 + 1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2$  of  $V_2$ . Show  $P_1 = \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{U}_1^{\top}$  and  $P_2 = \tilde{U}_2 \tilde{U}_2^{\top}$ .
  - iii. Prove  $\tilde{U}_1^{\top} \tilde{U}_2 = 0_{r_1 \times r_2}$  using  $P_1 P_2 = 0$  and  $\tilde{U}_i^{\top} \tilde{U}_i = I_{r_i}$ .
  - iv. Extend  $\{\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2\}$  to an orthonormal basis  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .
  - v. Conclude  $P_1 = UD_1U^{\top}$  and  $P_2 = UD_2U^{\top}$ .

#### Solution.

i. Fix  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , and let us diagonalize  $P_i = W_i \Sigma_i W_i^{\top}$ , where  $\Sigma_i$  is diagonal and  $W_i$  is orthogonal. Now observe that  $P_i^2 = P_i$  is equivalent to  $W_i \Sigma_i^2 W_i^{\top} = W_i \Sigma_i W_i^{\top}$ , so, canceling the  $W_i$  matrix on either side, we get  $\Sigma_i^2 = \Sigma_i$ . This shows that every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $P_i$  satisfies  $\lambda^2 = \lambda$ , hence  $\lambda$  must equal 0 or 1. In summary, all of the eigenvalues of  $P_i$  are either 0 or 1.

Now we prove the result. Since all of the eigenvalues of  $P_i$  are either 0 or 1, there exists a permutation matrix  $\Pi_i$  such that  $\Sigma_i = \Pi_i D_i \Pi_i^{\top}$ . Thus,

$$P_1 = W_1 \Sigma_1 W_1^{\top} = W_1 \Pi_1 D_1 \Pi_1^{\top} W_1^{\top} = (W_1 \Pi_1) D_1 (W_1 \Pi_1)^{\top}.$$

Note that  $V_1 = W_1\Pi_1$  is itself an orthogonal matrix, so we have shown  $P_1 = V_1D_1V_1^{\top}$ , as desired. The same proof applies for i = 2, since we can get a permutation matrix  $\Pi_2$  such that  $\Sigma_2 = \Pi_2 D_2 \Pi_2^{\top}$ .

ii. For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , write  $v_j^i$  for the jth column of  $V_i$ , and recall that we can write the diagonalization  $P_i = V_i D_i V_i^{\top}$  as

$$P_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (D_i)_{jj} v_j^i (v_j^i)^{\top}.$$

Since each  $D_i$  has only 0 or 1 on its diagonal, we can simplify the sum. Indeed, we get

$$P_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{r_1} v_j^1 (v_j^1)^\top = \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{U}_1^\top \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = \sum_{j=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} v_j^2 (v_j^2)^\top = \tilde{U}_2 \tilde{U}_2^\top$$

as claimed.

iii. By the above, we have  $P_1P_2=0$  hence  $\tilde{U}_1\tilde{U}_1^{\top}\tilde{U}_2\tilde{U}_2^{\top}=0$ . Now multiply on the left by  $\tilde{U}_1^{\top}$  and on the right by  $\tilde{U}_2$  to get

$$0 = \tilde{U}_1^\top \, 0 \, \tilde{U}_2 = \tilde{U}_1^\top \tilde{U}_1 \, \tilde{U}_1^\top \tilde{U}_2 \, \tilde{U}_2^\top \tilde{U}_2 = I \, \tilde{U}_1^\top \tilde{U}_2 = \tilde{U}_1^\top \tilde{U}_2.$$

iv. Notice that  $\tilde{U}_1^{\top}\tilde{U}_2 = 0$  means that every column of  $\tilde{U}_1$  is orthogonal to every column of  $\tilde{U}_2$ . Thus, combining this with  $\operatorname{rank}(\tilde{U}_i) = r_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we find that the matrix  $\tilde{U} := [\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2]$  satisfies  $\operatorname{rank}(\tilde{U}) = r_1 + r_2$ . Now we can let  $\tilde{U}_3$  be any matrix whose columns are an orthonormal basis for the orthogonal complement of  $\operatorname{col}(\tilde{U})$ . It follows by construction that  $\bar{U} := [\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2, \tilde{U}_3]$  is orthogonal.

v. This follows from the construction, since, for each  $i \in \{1,2\}$ , the columns of  $\bar{U}$  are exactly the columns of  $\tilde{U}_i$  at the indices for which  $\bar{D}_i$  is non-zero, in which case they are equal to the corresponding columns of  $V_i$ . More explicitly, if we write  $u_j$  for the jth column of  $\bar{U}$ , then we can just compute:

$$P_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{r_1} v_j^1 (v_j^1)^\top = \sum_{j=1}^{r_1} u_j u_j^\top = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (D_1)_{jj} u_j u_j^\top = \bar{U} D_1 \bar{U}^\top,$$

and

$$P_2 = \sum_{j=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} v_j^2(v_j^2)^\top = \sum_{j=r_1+1}^n u_j u_j^\top = \sum_{j=1}^n (D_2)_{jj} u_j u_j^\top = \bar{U} D_2 \bar{U}^\top.$$

## **Problem 4:** Projection Matrices II

Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with  $n \geq d$  and rank(X) = d. Define

$$P = X(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

For any subset  $T \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., d\}$  of size |T| = t, let  $X_T$  be the  $n \times t$  submatrix of X with columns indexed by T, and set

$$P_T = X_T (X_T^{\top} X_T)^{-1} X_T^{\top}, \quad P_1 = I_n - P, \quad P_2 = P - P_T.$$

Show that:

- i.  $P=P^{\top},\ P_T=P^{\top}_T,\ P^2=P,\ P^2_T=P_T,\ \text{so }P\ \text{and }P_T\ \text{are projections.}$  ii.  $P_1=P_1^{\top},\ P_1^2=P_1,\ \text{so }P_1\ \text{is a projection of rank }n-d.$  iii.  $PX=X,\ \text{hence }PX_T=X_T.$

- iv.  $PP_T = P_TP = P_T$ . v.  $P_2 = P_2^{\top}, P_2^2 = P_2$ , so  $P_2$  is a projection of rank d t. vi.  $P_1P_2 = 0$ .

#### Solution.

i. We can easily check  $P^2 = P$  by using matrix associativity and the definition of the inverse:

$$P^2 = X \, (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \, X \, (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top = X \, (X^\top X)^{-1} \, (X^\top X) \, (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top = X \, (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top = P.$$

We can check  $P^{\top} = P$  by using properties of the transpose:

$$P^{\top} = (X(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top})^{\top} = X^{\top}((X^{\top}X)^{-1})^{\top}X = X^{\top}((X^{\top}X)^{\top})^{-1}X = X^{\top}(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X = P.$$

The properties  $P_T^2 = P_T$  and  $P_T^{\top} = P_T$  are proved exactly the same.

ii. Using the properties in the previous part, we compute

$$P_1^\top = (I-P)^\top = I^\top - P^\top = I - P = P_1, \quad P_1^2 = (I-P)^2 = I - 2P + P^2 = I - 2P + P = I - P = P_1.$$

Since P is a projection matrix with rank(P) = d, it follows that  $P_1$  is a projection matrix with  $rank(P_1) = n - d.$ 

iii. We can simply check:

$$PX = X (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}X = X (X^{T}X)^{-1}(X^{T}X) = X.$$

Since  $X_T$  is a matrix consisting of a subset of the columns of X, we see that PX = X implies  $PX_T = X_T$ .

iv. Using the previous part, we get

$$PP_T = PX_T (X_T^{\top} X_T)^{-1} X_T^{\top} = X_T (X_T^{\top} X_T)^{-1} X_T^{\top} = P_T.$$

So, using the fact that both P and  $P_T$  are symmetric, we get

$$P_T P = P_T^{\top} P^{\top} = (P P_T)^{\top} = P_T^{\top} = P_T.$$

v. Using the previous part, we get

$$P_2^2 = (P - P_T)^2 = P^2 - PP_T - P_TP + P_T^2 = P - P_T - P_T + P_T = P - P_T = P_2.$$

Since P is a projection matrix with rank(P) = d and  $P_T$  is a projection matrix with rank $(P_T) = d$ t, it follows that  $P_2$  is a projection matrix with rank $(P_2) = d - t$ .

vi. Putting all the pieces together, we get:

$$P_1P_2 = (I - P)(P - P_T) = P - P_T - P^2 + PP_T = P - P_T - P + P_T = 0.$$

#### **Problem 5:** F-statistic follows the F-distribution

Let  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ . Write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ x_n^\top \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and assume  $n \geq d$  and rank(X) = d. Let  $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$  and  $S^c$  its complement, with  $|S^c| = d_0$ . Denote by  $X_{S^c}$  the  $n \times d_0$  submatrix of X with columns in  $S^c$ . Under the null hypothesis  $y_i = \langle \beta_{S^c}, x_{i,S^c} \rangle + \varepsilon_i, \ \varepsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , define

$$RSS_1 = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2, \quad RSS_0 = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0}} \|y - X_{S^c}\beta\|_2^2.$$

One shows that

$$RSS_0 - RSS_1 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2(d - d_0), \quad RSS_1 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2(n - d),$$

and that  $RSS_0 - RSS_1$  is independent of  $RSS_1$ . Hence the statistic

$$F = \frac{(RSS_0 - RSS_1)/(d - d_0)}{RSS_1/(n - d)}$$

follows an  $F_{d-d_0, n-d}$  distribution.

Outline of proof:

- i. Show  $RSS_1 = \varepsilon^{\top} (I_n X(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}) \varepsilon$  and  $RSS_0 = \varepsilon^{\top} (I_n X_{S^c}(X_{S^c}^{\top}X_{S^c})^{-1}X_{S^c}^{\top}) \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)^{\top}$ .
- ii. Define projection matrices

$$P_1 = I_n - X(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}, \quad P_2 = X(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top} - X_{S^c}(X_{S^c}^{\top}X_{S^c})^{-1}X_{S^c}^{\top},$$

so that  $RSS_1 = \varepsilon^T P_1 \varepsilon$ ,  $RSS_0 - RSS_1 = \varepsilon^T P_2 \varepsilon$ . Use Q4 to show  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are projections of ranks n - d and  $d - d_0$ , with  $P_1 P_2 = 0$ .

iii. By Q3, there is orthogonal U with  $P_1 = UD_1U^{\top}$ ,  $P_2 = UD_2U^{\top}$ . Conclude  $\varepsilon^{\top}P_1\varepsilon \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(n-d)$ ,  $\varepsilon^{\top}P_2\varepsilon \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(d-d_0)$ , and they are independent.

#### Solution.

i. By lecture,  $\beta' = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ . So we can write

$$RSS_{1} = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \|y - X\beta\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \|y - X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}y\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \|(I - X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})y\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \|(I - X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})\varepsilon\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \varepsilon^{T}(I - X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})\varepsilon.$$

The same steps (with  $X \to X_{S^c}$ ) give RSS<sub>0</sub>.

ii. Simply take

$$P = X (X^{\top} X)^{-1} X^{\top}, \quad T = S^c.$$

- iii. Using  $P_i = U D_i U^{\top}$  from Q3 and  $z = U^{\top} \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ :  $\varepsilon^{\top} P_i \varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\top} U D_i U^{\top} \varepsilon = z^{\top} D_i z$ . Hence (a)  $\varepsilon^{\top} P_1 \varepsilon = z^{\top} D_1 z = \sum_{j=1}^{n-d} z_j^2$ , so  $\varepsilon^{\top} P_1 \varepsilon \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2 (n-d)$ .
  - (b) Since the two chi-square sums involve disjoint subsets of the independent  $z_j$ , they are independent.