# Existence, Uniqueness and Regularity of Solutions to the 3D Navier–Stokes Equations: Understanding Singularities


Ajay Kumar
(2025RMA9073)

Sumbitted to:
Dr. Manish Kumar

Sumbitted by:
Ajay Kumar

Department of Mathematics
Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur


December 7, 2025

# Introduction

The Navier–Stokes equations describe the motion of viscous, incompressible fluids and form the cornerstone of fluid dynamics. They model diverse phenomena such as air flow, ocean currents, and blood circulation. Despite their seemingly simple appearance, these equations pose deep mathematical challenges in three dimensions. The central open question is whether smooth, globally defined solutions always exist or whether singularities points where velocity or pressure become infinite, can develop in finite time.

The problem of proving the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solutions in three dimensions is one of the seven Clay Mathematics Institute Millennium Prize Problems. Solving it would significantly advance our understanding of turbulence and the mathematical structure of fluid motion.

## Mathematical Background

The incompressible Navier–Stokes equations in vector form are:

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f},$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

where:

- $\mathbf{u}$ – Velocity vector,
- $p$ – Pressure,
- $\rho$ – Density,
- $\mu$ – Dynamic viscosity,
- $\mathbf{f}$ – External body forces such as gravity.

**Do solutions always exist for all time?**

We know that solutions exist for a short time (weak solutions).

But we don't know if a smooth solution continues to exist forever when the fluid becomes very turbulent.

**The Problem:**

The equations might produce extremely large velocities or infinite values in a finite time.

**Is the solution one-of-a-kind?**
Even if a solution exists, we do not know whether it is the only solution.
In very chaotic flows, it is possible that two different solutions may satisfy the equations with the same starting conditions.
**This is not yet proven.**

**Is the solution always smooth (no infinite spikes or jumps)?**

Regularity means:

- No blow-up of velocity.
- No infinite energy.
- No sudden singularities.

In 3D, it is unknown whether the velocity field always remains smooth. If smoothness breaks, the solution forms a singularity.

# Why the Problem Is Difficult

**The main challenges are:**

- The nonlinear convection term $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}$ can amplify small disturbances.
- Vorticity stretching in $3D$ can potentially cause blow-up.
- The diffusion term $\nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}$ must control the nonlinear growth, but it is not always clear if it succeeds.

In $2D$, vorticity cannot be stretched, so solutions stay regular for all time. This difference makes the $3D$ case much harder.

# Known Results

- **Local existence:** Smooth solutions exist for short time for any smooth initial data.
- **Global weak solutions (LerayHopf):** Proven to exist for all time, but may not be smooth.
- **Energy inequality:** Ensures basic control over weak solutions.

**Main unknown:** Do these weak solutions become smooth? Or can singularities form?

**What Are Singularities?**

A singularity occurs when:

- Velocity $|u|$ becomes infinite, or
- Derivatives like $\nabla u$ blow up, causing infinite energy concentration.

**Why Singularities Matter**

- If singularities form, global smooth solutions cannot exist.
- Without smoothness, we lose control over the models predictability.
- The Clay Millennium Prize Problem asks if solutions:
  - Stay smooth forever, OR
  - Become singular in finite time.

**In** $2D$ :

- vorticity cannot stretch,
- stays bounded,
- smoothness stays forever,
- no singularities.

**In** $3D$ :
The vorticity stretching mechanism may amplify rotation without bound. Mathematically, this effect appears in the nonlinear term

$$(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u},$$

which represents the stretching of vorticity by the velocity gradient. Uncontrolled growth of this term is one possible route to singularity formation.

# Smooth Initial Data Hypothesis

Assume the initial velocity field satisfies

$$\mathbf{u}_0(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0.$$

**The question:** Does this smoothness persist for all future times?

**Reason:**

- If the initial data is already rough, then singularities could appear trivially.
- The real challenge is to determine whether initially smooth data can develop a finite-time blow-up in the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations.

Assume certain norms of the velocity field remain finite. A classical example is the **Prodi–Serrin condition**:

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)), \qquad \frac{2}{p}+\frac{3}{q}=1, \qquad q>3,$$

then the solution remains smooth on the interval $[0,T]$.

**Idea:** If the velocity field is sufficiently "controlled" in certain space–time norms, then no singularity can form, and the solution stays smooth.

# Energy Inequality Hypothesis (Leray–Hopf Framework)

Any weak solution must satisfy the energy inequality

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \; + \; 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \; \leq \; \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

**Purpose:**

- Prevents energy blow-up.
- Ensures minimal physical dissipation.
- Used to construct global weak (Leray–Hopf) solutions.

The 3D NavierStokes equations pose a major unsolved challenge in mathematics. The core question is whether smooth solutions remain regular for all time or develop singularities. Understanding existence, uniqueness, and regularity would resolve a Millennium Prize problem and deepen our knowledge of turbulence and real fluid behavior.

**Alexey Cheskidov and Mimi Dai (jun 2025)**
They recently published a paper presenting improved regularity criteria for 3D Navier–Stokes / MHD systems – giving conditions under which blow-up can be ruled out.

## References


- Leray, J. (1934). Essai sur le mouvement dun liquide visqueux emplissant lespace. Acta Mathematica, 63(1), 193248.
- Ladyzhenskaya, O. A. (1963). The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow. Gordon and Breach.
- Serrin, J. (1962). On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier–Stokesequations. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 9, 187195.
- Caffarelli, L., Kohn, R., and Nirenberg, L. (1982). Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations.Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 35(6), 771831.
- Fefferman, C. L. (2006). Existence and Smoothness of the Navier–Stokes Equation. The Clay Mathematics Institute Millennium Prize Problem.

# THANK YOU