Leinster - Basic Category Theory - Selected problem solutions for Chapter 3

Adam Barber

December 22, 2021

3.1.1

There are bijections

$$(A+B,C) \leftrightarrow ((A,B),\Delta C)$$

 $f \leftrightarrow \overline{f}$

where $\overline{f} = (f, f)$

$$(\Delta A, (B, C)) \leftrightarrow (A, B \times C)$$
$$g = (p, q) \leftrightarrow \overline{g}$$

where $\overline{g}(x) = (p(x), q(x))$

So the sum is left adjoint to Δ , and the product is its right adjoint.

3.1.2

We are given the definition of a sequence, where there is a unique function x such that the square below commutes.

We have $x_0 = a$, and $x_{n+1} = r(x_n)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{N} \\
\downarrow^{x} & & \downarrow^{x} \\
X & \xrightarrow{r} & X
\end{array}$$

This is precisely the definition of the comma category $(\mathbb{N} \Rightarrow X)$, where objects are $(n \in \mathbb{N}, x, t \in X)$.

3.2.12

(a)

$$\theta(S) = []\theta(R) \supseteq []R = S$$

But $\theta^2(S) = \theta(S)$, so $\theta(S) \subseteq S$.

Taken together, the above implies $\theta(S) = S$.

(b)

$$A \subseteq B$$

$$\Longrightarrow fA \subseteq fB$$

$$\Longrightarrow gfA \subseteq gfB$$

g and f are taken to be injections here.

So gf is order preserving. Which means by (a) there is some gf(S) = S, $S \in A$. This implies $gf(A \setminus S) = A \setminus S$. Since g is an injection $f(A \setminus S) = B \setminus fS$, giving $g(B \setminus fS) = A \setminus S$. (*).

(c) So by the injectivity of f and g we can vary A and B, leading to the isomorphism below

$$(g \circ f)(A \setminus S) = A \setminus S$$
, and $(f \circ g)(B \setminus fS) = B \setminus fS$

3.2.14

Need to prove that for any family $(A_i)_{i'\in I}$ of objects of \mathcal{A} , there is some object of \mathcal{A} not isomorphic to A_i for $i\in I$. It suffices to prove for A in F(S), $F:\mathbf{Set}\to \mathcal{A}$, then we know the condition holds for \mathcal{A} . Now UF is injective by Exercise 2.3.11, so U is injective on objects A of F(S). So if UA_i is not isomorphic to UA_j , this would imply A_i is not isomorphic to A_j . So we need to prove for a given i, $|UA_i| < |\mathcal{P}(UA)|$:

$$|UA_i| \le |\Sigma UA_i| < |\mathcal{P}(UA)|$$

The strict equality due to Theorem 3.2.2.