

# Can FIBA Rules Make the NBA Better?

## A Case for Change in Professional Basketball

Andrew Nerud

March 30, 2025

### Abstract

The NBA has long held global recognition as the premier basketball league, but its regular season is often criticized for low player intensity, predictable gameplay, and a pace that can lack urgency. In contrast, international basketball under FIBA rules produces shorter, more physical, and faster-paced contests that emphasize team strategy and effort. As viewership dynamics shift and fans demand more compelling experiences, evaluating alternative rule structures becomes increasingly relevant.

This paper investigates whether adopting select FIBA rules could enhance the NBA's competitiveness and entertainment value. I focus on three key differences: reducing game length from 48 to 40 minutes, eliminating the defensive three-second violation, and using a 14-second shot clock reset after offensive rebounds. These elements are analyzed through gameplay patterns and their impact on effort, strategy, and tempo.

As a case study, the 2024 Olympic Gold Medal Game between Team USA and France is examined. Played under FIBA rules, the game demonstrated higher urgency, physical defense, and sustained intensity, even among NBA-caliber athletes. Stephen Curry's decisive late-game performance highlights how elite players can thrive in this environment.

This analysis suggests that limited integration of FIBA rules could benefit the NBA, particularly through pilot testing in developmental leagues. The proposed changes may reintroduce consistent competitiveness while enhancing the viewer experience.

# Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
Game Duration . . . . .	3
Defensive Three-Second Rule . . . . .	3
Shot Clock Reset . . . . .	3
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Case Study: 2024 Olympic Gold Medal Game - USA vs. France</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Recommendations and Analysis</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1. Game Duration . . . . .	5
3.2. Defensive Three-Second Rule . . . . .	5
3.3. Shot Clock Reset After Offensive Rebounds . . . . .	6
<b>4. Conclusion</b>	<b>6</b>

## Executive Summary

To improve the overall quality, competitiveness, and entertainment value of professional basketball, this paper recommends that the NBA consider adopting select rules from the international (FIBA) rule set. While the NBA features the highest-caliber talent in the world, the current structure of the game has contributed to concerns about player effort, game pacing, and the viewing experience, especially during the regular season. I propose the following three key changes, each of which is detailed in the sections that follow:

**1. Game Duration:** The NBA should consider reducing game time from 48 minutes to 40 minutes, consistent with FIBA and Olympic play. This reduction increases the value of each possession, encourages sustained intensity across all quarters, and reduces the tendency for players to "coast" through long regular-season games.

**2. Defensive Three-Second Rule:** The NBA should eliminate the defensive three-second violation. Doing so would allow teams greater flexibility in implementing zone defenses, reward intelligent positioning, and increase the overall strategic diversity of the game—traits often observed in FIBA matchups.

**3. Shot Clock Reset:** The NBA should adopt FIBA's 14-second shot clock reset on offensive rebounds. This adjustment maintains game tempo, limits stagnant possessions, and promotes quicker decision-making—all of which contribute to a more engaging game flow.

Each of these changes would benefit from trial implementation in developmental settings such as the NBA G-League or Summer League. While adjustments to broadcasting formats and game operations may be required, the potential benefits—ranging from improved competitiveness to increased global appeal—make these recommendations worthy of serious consideration.

## 1. Introduction

The National Basketball Association (NBA) is widely considered the world’s premier basketball league, showcasing elite athletic talent and global cultural influence. However, its regular season has drawn increasing criticism for lacking intensity, featuring overly long games, and relying on repetitive offensive strategies. These concerns have prompted discussion about whether the current format best serves players, fans, or the evolving nature of the game.

My motivation for this paper stems from observing the contrast between typical NBA games and international competitions such as the Olympics or FIBA World Cup. In those tournaments, players compete under a different set of rules, and the gameplay often appears faster, more physical, and more strategic—even when the same athletes are involved.

At present, the NBA uses a 48-minute game structure, a 24-second shot clock, and enforces a defensive three-second rule. While this promotes open offensive play, it may unintentionally reduce defensive variety and overall competitiveness, especially over an 82-game season.

In the following sections, I propose that the NBA consider adopting three key FIBA rules: shortening games to 40 minutes, eliminating the defensive three-second violation, and resetting the shot clock to 14 seconds after offensive rebounds. Through analysis and a case study of the 2024 Olympic Gold Medal Game between Team USA and France, I explore how these changes could lead to more competitive and engaging basketball.

## 2. Case Study: 2024 Olympic Gold Medal Game – USA vs. France

The 2024 Olympic Gold Medal Game between Team USA and France offers a valuable case study for understanding the potential benefits of FIBA rules in high-stakes, NBA-level basketball. Played under FIBA regulations, the game featured elite NBA talent on both sides, including Stephen Curry, Kevin Durant, and Jayson Tatum for the United States, and Victor Wembanyama and Rudy Gobert for France.

Despite the presence of familiar players, the game played out with a different tone and tempo than a typical NBA contest. With only 40 minutes of total game time, possessions were more urgent, and players appeared engaged for the full duration. The shorter format allowed little room for coasting or late-game catch-up, creating a sense of intensity more commonly associated with playoff basketball.

FIBA’s elimination of the defensive three-second rule was especially visible in France’s defensive approach. Anchored by Gobert, France frequently collapsed the paint and disrupted drives—something more difficult to do under NBA restrictions. This led Team USA to rely on perimeter ball movement and shooting, which ultimately paved the way for Curry’s dominant stretch of three-point shooting in the closing minutes.

Another key difference was the 14-second shot clock reset after offensive rebounds. This

prevented teams from slowing the game down after regaining possession and contributed to a continuous pace. Combined with the shorter game length, this created a more streamlined and energetic viewing experience.

This game demonstrates that even with NBA talent, FIBA rules produce a different—and arguably more compelling—style of basketball. It suggests that integrating similar rules into the NBA could enhance both player engagement and audience satisfaction.

### 3. Recommendations and Analysis

#### 3.1. Game Duration

**Present Status:** NBA games are currently played over four 12-minute quarters, totaling 48 minutes of game time. Combined with frequent stoppages, the average NBA game exceeds two hours in runtime. This extended length contributes to stretches of low intensity, particularly in regular season games.

**Recommendation:** Reduce total game time to 40 minutes, matching FIBA and Olympic standards.

**Discussion:** A shorter game forces players to treat each possession with greater urgency and minimizes the incentive to conserve energy early in games. It also reduces “dead time,” resulting in a more streamlined and engaging product for viewers. This model has proven successful in international competitions, where games often feel more competitive despite featuring many of the same athletes.

**Risks and Requirements:** A major concern is revenue loss tied to reduced ad slots in shorter broadcasts. Additionally, some fans may resist change to the traditional NBA format. Implementation would require coordination with broadcast partners and analysis of economic tradeoffs. Testing in the G-League or Summer League could offer insight without immediate disruption.

#### 3.2. Defensive Three-Second Rule

**Present Status:** NBA defenders may not remain in the paint for more than three seconds unless actively guarding an opponent. This rule was introduced to open the lane for drives and maintain offensive flow.

**Recommendation:** Eliminate the defensive three-second violation.

**Discussion:** Removing this rule would allow defenders to position themselves more freely and execute more complex team-oriented strategies, including zone and help-heavy schemes. FIBA games showcase how this freedom results in more compact defenses and tactical variation. It could help rebalance the offense-dominated nature of the NBA without altering

scoring potential drastically.

**Risks and Requirements:** Critics may argue that removing this rule could reduce offensive excitement by making scoring more difficult. To address this, league officials could monitor changes to offensive efficiency in trial settings. Coaches and players would also need time to adjust to new defensive principles.

### 3.3. Shot Clock Reset After Offensive Rebounds

**Present Status:** In the NBA, the shot clock resets to 24 seconds after an offensive rebound. This often results in teams slowing down the tempo and resetting their offense fully, which can disrupt flow.

**Recommendation:** Reset the shot clock to 14 seconds after an offensive rebound, in alignment with FIBA rules.

**Discussion:** A 14-second reset encourages faster play and discourages teams from “milking the clock” after an offensive rebound. It creates more possessions, keeps pace consistent, and maintains excitement for viewers. This rule is already used successfully in the WNBA and FIBA play, showing it can work in high-level basketball contexts.

**Risks and Requirements:** Players and coaches may initially resist the change, citing reduced flexibility after securing a rebound. However, with a relatively small adjustment window, teams can adapt over time. The rule could first be tested in preseason or Summer League games to evaluate its impact on game rhythm and scoring dynamics.

## Conclusion

While the NBA remains the most talented basketball league in the world, it faces growing challenges in maintaining consistent game intensity and fan engagement during its regular season. By selectively adopting elements of the FIBA rule set—namely shorter game duration, greater defensive flexibility, and a faster shot clock reset—the NBA has an opportunity to enhance the pace, competitiveness, and strategic depth of its games. The 2024 Olympic Gold Medal Game demonstrated how these rules can elevate player performance and audience experience, even among elite NBA athletes. Though changes to tradition carry inherent risk, the potential rewards of a more dynamic and engaging product make this a path worth exploring through controlled experimentation and gradual implementation.