CSE 6363 - Machine Learning

Linear Discriminant Analysis

Alex Dillhoff

University of Texas at Arlington

What is covered?

- 1. Formulation for binary classification
- 2. Gaussian Class Conditional Densities
- 3. Estimating parameters via MLE
- 4. Example using scikit-learn

Discriminant Functions

Discriminative functions assign each input vector \mathbf{x} to a class depending on whether the output met a particular threshold.

Modeling the conditional probability distribution $p(C_k|x)$ grants us additional benefits while still fulfilling our original classification task.

Let's begin with a 2 class problem.

To classify this with a generative model, we use the class-conditional densities $p(x|C_i)$ and class priors $p(C_i)$.

The posterior probability for C_1 can be written in the form of a sigmoid function:

$$p(C_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|C_1)p(C_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|C_1)p(C_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|C_2)p(C_2)}$$

Then multiply the numerator and denominator by

$$\frac{(p(x|C_1))^{-1}}{(p(x|C_1))^{-1}}.$$

This yields

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{p(x|C_2)p(C_2)}{p(x|C_1)p(C_1)}}.$$

Noting that $a = \exp(\ln(a))$, we can rewrite further

$$\frac{1}{1+\exp(-a)},$$

where
$$a = \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|C_1)p(C_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|C_2)p(C_2)}$$
.

Writing this distribution in the form of the sigmoid function is convenient as it is a natural choice for many other classification models.

It also has a very simple derivative which is convenient for models optimized using gradient descent.

Given certain choices for the class conditional densities, the posterior probabilty distribution will be a linear function of the input features:

$$\ln p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\theta) = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} + c,$$

$$\ln p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\theta) = \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} + c,$$

where \mathbf{w} is a parameter vector based on the parameters of the chosen probability distribution, and c is a constant term that is not dependent on the parameters.

Gaussian Class Conditional

Densities

What do we mean by "certain choices for the class conditional densities?"

One convenient choice is to use Gaussian Class Conditional Densities.

Let's assume that our class conditional densities $p(x|C_k)$ are Gaussian.

We will additionally assume that the covariance matrices between classes are shared.

This will result in linear decision boundaries.

Since the conditional densities are chosen to be Gaussian, the posterior is given by

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\theta) \propto \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

where π_k is the prior probability of class k.

We can ignore the normalizing constant since it is not dependent on the class.

Since the conditional densities are chosen to be Gaussian, the posterior is given by

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\theta) \propto \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

where π_k is the prior probability of class k.

We can ignore the normalizing constant since it is not dependent on the class.

The class conditional density function for class k is given by

$$p(\mathbf{x}|C_k;\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)\right).$$

Let's go back to the simple case of two classes and define $a = \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|C_1)p(C_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|C_2)p(C_2)}$.

First, we rewrite a:

$$a = \ln p(\mathbf{x}|C_1) - \ln p(\mathbf{x}|C_2) + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}.$$

The log of the class conditional density for a Gaussian is

$$\ln p(\mathbf{x}|C_k;\boldsymbol{\mu}_k,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = -\frac{D}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k).$$

To simplify the above result, we will group the terms that are not dependent on the class parameters since they are consant:

In
$$p(\mathbf{x}|C_k;\boldsymbol{\mu}_k,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k) + c.$$

Observing that this quantity takes on a quadratic form, we can rewrite the above as

$$\ln p(\mathbf{x}|C_k;\boldsymbol{\mu}_k,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_k\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_k + \mathbf{x}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_k - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{x} + c.$$

Using this, we complete the definition of a:

$$a = \ln p(\mathbf{x}|C_1) - \ln p(\mathbf{x}|C_2) + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 + \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 \Sigma^{-1}\mu_2 - \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma^{-1}\mu_2 + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}^T (\Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1 \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 \Sigma^{-1}\mu_2 + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}$$

$$= (\Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_2))^T \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1 \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 \Sigma^{-1}\mu_2 + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}.$$

Finally, we define

$$\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$$

and

$$w_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}.$$

Thus, our posterior takes on the form

$$p(C_1|\mathbf{X};\theta) = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{X} + W_0).$$

What if we have more than 2 classes?

Recall that a **generative classifier** is modeled as

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{p(C_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}|C_k,\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sum_{k'} p(C_{k'}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}|C_{k'},\boldsymbol{\theta})}.$$

As stated previously, $\pi_k = p(C_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $p(\mathbf{x}|C_k,\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c,\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

For LDA, the covariance matrices are shared across all classes.

This permits a simplification of the class posterior distribution $p(C_k|x;\theta)$:

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \boldsymbol{\pi}_k \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k + \log \boldsymbol{\pi}_k\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right).$$

The term $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\right)$ is placed aside since it is not dependent on the class k.

When divided by the sum per the definition of $p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta})$, it will equal to 1.

Under this formulation, we let

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{w}_k &= oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} oldsymbol{\mu}_k \ oldsymbol{b}_k &= -rac{1}{2} oldsymbol{\mu}_k^{ au} oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} oldsymbol{\mu}_k + \log oldsymbol{\pi}_k. \end{aligned}$$

This lets us express $p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta})$ as the **softmax** function:

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_k^T\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_k)}{\sum_{k'} \exp(\mathbf{w}_{k'}^T\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_{k'})}.$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Given this formulation using Gaussian densities, we can estimate the parameters of the model via maximum likelihood estimation.

Assuming K classes with Gaussian class conditional densities, the likelihood function is

$$p(X|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}(y_i|\boldsymbol{\pi}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^{\mathbb{M}(y_i=k)}.$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Taking the log of this function yields

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{1}(y_i = k) \ln \pi_k\Big] + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Big[\sum_{i:y_i = c} \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)\Big].$$

Class Prior

For multinomial distributions, the class prior parameter estimation $\hat{\pi}_k$ is easily calculated by counting the number of samples belonging to class k and dividing it by the total number of samples.

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \frac{n_k}{n}$$

Class Prior

The parameter estimates are

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i:y_i = k} \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i:y_i = k} (\mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

Linear Discriminant Analysis is a special case of Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA) where the covariance matrices are shared across all classes.

Assuming each class conditional density is Gaussian, the posterior probability is given by

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\theta) \propto \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mu_k, \Sigma_k).$$

Taking the log of this function yields

$$\ln p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\theta) = \ln \pi_k - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_k) + c.$$

With LDA, the term $\frac{1}{2} \ln |\Sigma_k|$ is constant across all classes, so we treat it as another constant.

Since QDA considers a different covariance matrix for each class, we must keep this term in the equation.

In the more general case of QDA, the decision boundary is quadratic, leading to a quadratic discriminant function.

As shown in the previously, the posterior probability function for LDA is linear in \mathbf{x} , which leads to a linear discriminant function.

- LDA is a generative classifier that assumes Gaussian class conditional densities.
- LDA assumes that the covariance matrices are shared across all classes.
- · LDA can be extended to multiple classes.
- LDA can be estimated via maximum likelihood estimation.

Given some data X and labels y, we can estimate the parameters of the model via maximum likelihood estimation.

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \frac{n_k}{n}$$

$$\hat{u}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i:y_i = k} x_i$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i:y_i = k} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k) (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)^T$$

Using these estimates, we can compute the weights and biases for our linear discriminant functions.

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{w}_k &= oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} oldsymbol{\mu}_k \ oldsymbol{b}_k &= -rac{1}{2} oldsymbol{\mu}_k^{ op} oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} oldsymbol{\mu}_k + \log oldsymbol{\pi}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Given these weights and biases, we can compute the class posterior probabilities using the softmax function.

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_k^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_k)}{\sum_{k'} \exp(\mathbf{w}_{k'}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_{k'})}$$

The class with the highest posterior probability is the class that is predicted.

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_k p(C_k | \mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$