

## Recitation Class 1

Q1 – For each statement below, either *formally* prove it or give a counterexample.

$$(A) f(n) = O(g(n)) \Rightarrow \log f(n) = O(\log g(n))$$

$$(B) f(n) = O(g(n)) \Rightarrow g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$$

**Answer:**

(A) True with assumption that  $g(n) = \Omega(1)$ . Start with

$$f(n) = O(g(n)) \Rightarrow \exists n > n_0 \text{ and } c > 0, f(n) \leq c(g(n))$$

by taking logarithm on both sides, we have

$$\Rightarrow \exists n > n_0 \text{ and } c > 0, \log(f(n)) \leq \log(c) + \log(g(n))$$

$$\text{let } c' = \sup \left\{ \frac{\log(c)}{\log(g(n))} \right\} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n > n_0, \log(f(n)) \leq c' \log(g(n)) + \log(g(n))$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n > n_0, \log(f(n)) \leq (c' + 1) \log(g(n))$$

$$\text{let } c'' = c' + 1$$

$$\log(f(n)) \leq c'' \log(g(n)) \Rightarrow \log f(n) = O(\log g(n))$$

(B) True.  $f(n) = O(g(n)) \Rightarrow \exists n > n_0 \text{ and } c > 0, f(n) \leq c(g(n))$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n > n_0 \text{ and } c > 0, \frac{1}{c} f(n) \leq (g(n))$$

$$\text{let } \varepsilon = \frac{1}{c}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n > n_0 \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon f(n) \leq (g(n))$$

$$\Rightarrow f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$$

Q2 – Prove the following using induction  $\sum_{i=1}^n i(2^i) = 2 + (n - 1)2^{n+1}$ .

**Answer:**

Let P(n) be  $\sum_{i=1}^n i(2^i) = 2 + (n - 1)2^{n+1}$ .

Base case:  $P(1)$  is correct  $\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^1 i(2^i) = 1(2) = 2 = 2 + 0$

Inductive step: Assume  $P(k)$  holds, which is  $\sum_{i=1}^k i(2^i) = 2 + (k-1)2^{k+1}$ . In the following we show that  $P(k)$  implies  $P(k+1)$ .

We start with LHS and rewrite it in this way:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i(2^i) = \sum_{i=1}^k i(2^i) + (k+1)(2^{k+1})$$

$$\text{(using induction hypothesis for } n = k) = 2 + (k-1)2^{k+1} + (k+1)(2^{k+1})$$

$$= 2 + (k-1 + k+1) 2^{k+1}$$

$$= 2 + (k) 2^{k+2}$$

This completes the proof.

Q3 – Sort the following functions in the order of their asymptotic growth  $\log n$ ,  $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $n/\log n$ .

**Answer:** The correct order is  $\log n \ll n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll n/\log n$ . We just need to show the order between consecutive pairs are correct.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{1/3}} = \text{by taking derivative} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n \ln 2}}{\frac{1}{3} n^{-2/3}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{\frac{n^1}{3}} = 0 \Rightarrow \log n = o(n^{1/3})$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n^{1/3}}{n}}{\log n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{2/3}} \text{ (similar to previous one) } = 0 \Rightarrow n^{1/3} = o\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$$

Q4 – In a summer internship program organized by tech companies, there are  $n$  tech companies that each of them has one open internship position for one graduate student for summer. There are  $n$  graduate students who are qualified to participate in this program. To assign students to tech companies, each of companies and students give a complete preference list of each other. Among these companies,  $k$  of them are located in Bay area and  $k$  of students live in the same area. Companies in bay area prefer these  $k$  students more than rest of them, also these  $k$  students prefer to do their internship in the same they live. Prove that in any stable matching, every  $k$  student who lives in the bay area is assigned to a company in the same area.

**Answer:** (Use contradiction) Consider an arbitrary perfect stable matching that some the  $k$  bay area companies are matched to some non-bay area student. Then there is a company in bay area  $C_B$  and a student  $S_B$  in bay area which are matched to a non-bay area student and company

respectively. Argue that  $(C_B, S_B)$  are unstable pair; Each prefer each other rather than their current matched company and student. This is contradictory with the assumption that the matching is stable.