

4. Hypothesis Testing

Why we want to test?

Linear hypothesis

The examples of hypotheses can fall into a general class.

Let $p-1 = 5$. $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_5 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^6$

$$\textcircled{1} H_0: \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \end{pmatrix}}_{5 \times 6} \beta = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{A}_{5 \times 6} \underbrace{\beta}_{6 \times 1} = \underbrace{0}_{5 \times 1}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{5 \times 6}$$

$$A\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_5 \end{pmatrix} = I_{5 \times 5} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{\beta_1} \beta = 0$$

$$A\beta = 0$$

$$H_0: \beta_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \beta = 0$$

$i \geq 1$ 1×6

$$\textcircled{3} H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \beta = \beta_1 = 0 \\ &(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0) \beta = \beta_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \beta_1 = 0 \\ \beta_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times 6}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad H_0: \beta_1 = c \quad \Leftrightarrow (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \beta = \beta_1 = c$$

\downarrow
 fixed value

$$\Leftrightarrow A\beta = c$$

$$A = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow (0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0) \beta_{6 \times 1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0 \quad = \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A\beta = 0$$

$$A = (0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0)$$

Common thing: $A\beta = c$

Difference: Specify A and c differently

General theory for testing $H_0: A\beta = c$

Under full-rank model

Matrix

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + X_{i1}\beta_1 + \dots + X_{i,p-1}\beta_{p-1} + \epsilon_i \quad (Y = X\beta + \epsilon)$$

$$\text{If } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^T \\ a_2^T \\ \vdots \\ a_q^T \end{pmatrix}_{q \times p}, \quad A\beta = c \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} a_1^T \beta = c_1 \\ a_2^T \beta = c_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_q^T \beta = c_q \end{cases} \quad \text{q constraints}$$

Assume w.l.g. that rows of A are linearly independent
(otherwise, we can keep a subset of a_i^T 's that are linearly independent.)

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) = q \quad (q \leq p)$$

$H_0: A\beta = c$ specifies a constrained nest model

$H_A: Y = X\beta + \epsilon$ is a full model without constraints.

To compare 2 models \Rightarrow Likelihood Ratio Test
(F - test)

Suppose $L(\theta | Y)$ is the likelihood of parameters $\theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$
coefficient \swarrow error var \downarrow

Likelihood ratio statistic
(LR)

$$\frac{\max_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta | Y)}{\max_{\theta \in \omega} L(\theta | Y)}$$

Ω : full parameter space $\Omega: (\beta, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$

ω : $\omega \subseteq \Omega$ (ω is a subset of Ω under H_0)

Principle: Reject H_0 if LR statistic is too large

(How large is too large?) We need to characterize distributions.

[Test 1] Likelihood Ratio Test & F-test

Given the full linear model

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n) \quad \text{rank}(X) = p$$

(1) Likelihood function of (β, σ^2)

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 \right\}$$

(Notes Oct 17)

(2) MLE under the full model

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \quad (\text{OLS})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Derivative} = 0 \\ \text{MLE} \end{array} \right.$

Maximum value of the likelihood

$$L(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = (2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 \right\}$$

$$= (2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{n}{2} \right)$$

(3) MLE subjects to the constraints $H_0: A\beta = c$

(HW 1)

Lagrange multiplier

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2)$$

$$\text{linear constraints: } A\beta - c = 0$$

The Lagrange function is given by

(log likelihood)

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda) &= \log L(\beta, \sigma^2) - \lambda^T (A\beta - c) \\ &= \underbrace{-\frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - X\beta\|^2}_{(2)} - \underbrace{\lambda^T (A\beta - c)}_{(3)} + \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{rank}(A) = q \quad \lambda^T (A\beta - c) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i (a_i^T \beta - c_i)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^T \\ \vdots \\ a_q^T \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^q$$

$$(3) = -\lambda^T A \beta + \lambda^T c$$

$$\frac{\partial (3)}{\partial \beta} = -(\lambda^T A)^T$$

(by quiz 0)

Find stationary point by taking derivatives

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} X^T (Y - X\beta) - A^T \lambda & (*) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 & (*) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = -(A\beta - c) & (*) \end{cases}$$

Similar to Notes Oct 17, we consider 2 steps

[Step 1] Given \mathbf{y} (1) find $\hat{\beta}_H$ and $\hat{\lambda}_H$ solve $(*) = 0$

(2) Prove

$$L(\hat{\beta}_H, \mathbf{y}) \geq L(\beta, \mathbf{y})$$

for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ subject to $A\beta = c$

[1. (1)] $(*) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_H = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - A^T \hat{\lambda}_H \mathbf{y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_H = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} A^T \hat{\lambda}_H \mathbf{y} \quad (*), (1)$$