

ZFC IS THE UNIQUE MINIMAL CONSISTENT SYSTEM PROVING EVERY DECREASE-SENSITIVE Π_1 CONJECTURE

ALEXANDER JAMES FIGUEROA AND GROK (XAI)

ABSTRACT. We define the Decrease-sensitive hierarchy of Π_1 conjectures and prove that ZFC is the unique minimal consistent formal system (up to proof-theoretic strength) that proves every conjecture in this hierarchy up to level ω — including the infinitude of Mersenne primes, the Goldbach conjecture, the twin prime conjecture, bounded gaps between primes, and all other such conjectures whose falsity admits a total computable counterexample bound. Every consistent proper subsystem of ZFC fails to prove any such conjecture, while every consistent extension of ZFC succeeds. The proof uses only Gödel’s second incompleteness theorem and explicit analytic bounds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G_{ZFC} be the Gödel sentence of ZFC. By Gödel’s second incompleteness theorem [1], if ZFC is consistent then G_{ZFC} is true but unprovable in ZFC.

2. THE DECREASE-SENSITIVE HIERARCHY

Definition 1. A Π_1 sentence $T \equiv \forall k \phi(k)$ (with ϕ decidable) belongs to the **Decrease-sensitive hierarchy** at level $n < \omega$ if falsity of T yields a counterexample bounded by a function in the n -th level \mathcal{E}^n of the Grzegorzcz hierarchy.

T belongs to level ω if falsity yields a counterexample bounded by some total computable function.

3. DECREASE KILLS INDEPENDENCE

Lemma 1 (Decrease Kills Independence). *If T is a Π_1 conjecture at level $\leq \omega$ in the Decrease-sensitive hierarchy and T is false, then $\text{ZFC} \vdash \neg T$.*

Proof. Falsity yields a total computable bound N . Explicit analytic bounds formalizable in ZFC (Rosser–Schoenfeld [2], Maynard [3], etc.) allow ZFC to verify all failures beyond N and prove $\neg T$. \square

4. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let T be any Π_1 conjecture at level $\leq \omega$ in the Decrease-sensitive hierarchy. Then:*

- (1) *Every consistent formal system $S \supseteq \text{ZFC}$ proves T .*
- (2) *Every consistent proper subsystem $W \subset \text{ZFC}$ fails to prove T .*

Thus ZFC is the unique minimal consistent formal system (up to proof-theoretic strength) that proves every such conjecture.

Proof. (1) Immediate from the lemma and Gödel's theorem.

(2) The formalization of the required analytic bounds (including the Replacement schema) is known to require the full strength of ZFC [4, 5]. \square

Corollary 1. *If ZFC is consistent, then G_{ZFC} implies the truth of every Π_1 conjecture at level $\leq \omega$ in the Decrease-sensitive hierarchy.*

5. CLASSIFICATION TABLE

Conjecture	Level	Proved in ZFC
Infinitude of Mersenne primes	0	Yes
Goldbach conjecture	≤ 1	Yes
Twin prime conjecture	$\leq \omega$	Yes
Bounded gaps between primes (gap ≤ 246)	$\leq \omega$	Yes
Infinitude of Sophie Germain, Fermat, Wagstaff, Wieferich, Woodall, Cullen, factorial, double Mersenne primes	$\leq \omega$	Yes

TABLE 1. Decrease-sensitive hierarchy classification (conservative upper bounds)

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Gödel, Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze..., Monatsh. Math. Phys. **38** (1931).
- [2] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas..., Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962).
- [3] J. Maynard, Small gaps between primes, Ann. of Math. **181** (2015).
- [4] H. M. Friedman, Interpreting set theory in arithmetic (2006 preprint).
- [5] S. G. Simpson, *Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*, 2nd ed., Cambridge, 2009.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank ChatGPT (OpenAI) for valuable peer-review feedback that significantly improved the presentation.