

Working with National Crime Victimization Survey Data

Greg Ridgeway (gridge@upenn.edu)

Ruth Moyer (moyruth@upenn.edu)

July 26, 2018

Introduction - National Crime Victimization Survey Data

Through our work with the UCR, we've already discussed reported crime. Nonetheless, not all crimes are reported to the police. Also, sometimes the UCR doesn't provide us with specific information about a victim-involved crime incident such as whether the victim knew the offenders or the location of the crime incident.

Each year, the U.S. Census Bureau conducts the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), which is a valuable source of self-reported victimization data. The Census Bureau interviews a sample of people about the number and characteristics of crime victimizations they experienced during the prior 6 months. In 2015, for example, they collected data from 95,760 households and 163,880 persons.

The NCVS contains valuable information about nonfatal personal crimes such as rape or robbery as well as property crimes such as burglary. Additional information about the NCVS can be found at the BJS website. To give a sense of the type of data that the NCVS contains, refer to the Official 2012-2013 BJS Crime Victimization report.

Acquiring the NCVS data

The University of Michigan consolidates the NCVS data into a format that is easily accessible in R. We will be using 2012 and 2013 NCVS data.

First, we will download the NCVS 2012 data, ICPSR 34650. We will need to download the following files, DS1, DS2, DS3, DS4, and DS5 in R format. Also, download DS0, the Codebook (which is in PDF format). We will refer to the codebook frequently. As for the DS1, DS2, DS3, DS4, and DS5 files, we are interested in the .rda files.

Next, download the NCVS 2013 data, ICPSR 35164. Same drill as above - retrieve DS1, DS2, DS3, DS4, and DS5 in R format.

All told you should have ten .rda files, and one PDF codebook. For now, we won't be using the DS5 files that much. Also, the file names are admittedly a bit unwieldy with all the numbers so it might be a good idea to change the names to something that will help you quickly distinguish among all the files. We've created subfolders called NCVS2012 and NCVS2013 that contains the files extracted from the data download. Here are the files we have in our NCVS2012 and NCVS2013 subfolders.

```
list.files("NCVS2012/",recursive = TRUE)
```

```
[1] "34650-Codebook.pdf"           "34650-descriptioncitation.pdf"
[3] "34650-manifest.txt"          "34650-related_literature.txt"
[5] "DS0001/34650-0001-Data.rda"  "DS0002/34650-0002-Data.rda"
[7] "DS0003/34650-0003-Data.rda"  "DS0004/34650-0004-Data.rda"
[9] "DS0005/34650-0005-Data.rda"  "factor_to_numeric_icpsr.R"
[11] "series-95-related_literature.txt" "TermsOfUse.html"
```

```
list.files("NCVS2013/",recursive = TRUE)
```

```
[1] "35164-Codebook.pdf"           "35164-descriptioncitation.pdf"
[3] "35164-manifest.txt"          "35164-related_literature.txt"
[5] "DS0001/35164-0001-Data.rda"  "DS0002/35164-0002-Data.rda"
[7] "DS0003/35164-0003-Data.rda"  "DS0004/35164-0004-Data.rda"
[9] "DS0005/35164-0005-Data.rda"  "factor_to_numeric_icpsr.R"
[11] "series-95-related_literature.txt" "TermsOfUse.html"
```

Let's see what's in these .rda files. The DS1s for both 2012 and 2013 are the address record-type files. First, 2012:

```
load("NCVS2012/DS0001/34650-0001-Data.rda")
ls()
head(da34650.0001)
```

```
[1] "da34650.0001"

      V1001  YEARQ                      IDHH V1002
1 (1) Address record 2012.1 2501017260961929294229224 27296
2 (1) Address record 2012.1 2501051210759582293728435 24034
3 (1) Address record 2012.1 2501286218428920608853213 26233
4 (1) Address record 2012.1 2501382697440982298228224 27298
5 (1) Address record 2012.1 2501533299154388298804435 24033
6 (1) Address record 2012.1 2501586708146353299320324 27299

      V1003 V1004                      V1005 V1006 V1008 V1009
1 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25 01017260961929294229      2    24 2012
2 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25 01051210759582293728      4    35 2012
3 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25 01286218428920608853      2    13 2012
4 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25 01382697440982298228      2    24 2012
5 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25 01533299154388298804      4    35 2012
6 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25 01586708146353299320      3    24 2012

      V1010
1 6172013
2 6172013
3 6172013
4 6172013
5 6172013
6 6172013
```

As you can see, the DS1 for 2012 contains a unique identifier for each interviewed household. Let's load the address record-type file for 2013.

```
load("NCVS2013/DS0001/35164-0001-Data.rda")
```

```
dataAddr12 <- da34650.0001
dataAddr13 <- da35164.0001
```

```
load("NCVS2012/DS0002/34650-0002-Data.rda")
load("NCVS2013/DS0002/35164-0002-Data.rda")

dataHH12 <- da34650.0002
dataHH13 <- da35164.0002
```

```
load("NCVS2012/DS0003/34650-0003-Data.rda")
load("NCVS2013/DS0003/35164-0003-Data.rda")
dataPers12 <- da34650.0003
dataPers13 <- da35164.0003

load("NCVS2012/DS0004/34650-0004-Data.rda")
load("NCVS2013/DS0004/35164-0004-Data.rda")
dataInc12 <- da34650.0004
dataInc13 <- da35164.0004
```

```
rm(da34650.0001, da34650.0002, da34650.0003, da34650.0004,  
    da35164.0001, da35164.0002, da35164.0003, da35164.0004)
```

```
dataPers12[1:3, 1:40]
```

3

	V3012	V3013	V3014	V3015	V3016					
1 (11) Reference person	22	22	(1) Married	(1) Married						
2 (02) Wife	18	18	(1) Married	(1) Married						
3 (11) Reference person	28	28	(5) Never married	(6) Not inter last						
	V3017	V3018	V3019	V3020						
1 (1) Male	(1) Male	(1) Yes	(28) High school grad							
2 (2) Female	(2) Female	(2) No	(28) High school grad							
3 (1) Male	(1) Male	(2) No	(40) Some college(no degree)							
	V3023A	V3024	V3025	V3026	V3027	V3031	V3032	V3033		
1 (02) Black only	(2) No	(02) February	27	2012	NA	9	NA			
2 (01) White only	(1) Yes	(02) February	2	2012	9	NA	3			
3 (01) White only	(2) No	(03) March	11	2012	5	NA	3			
	V3034	V3035	V3036	V3037	V3038	V3039	V3040	V3041	V3042	V3043
1 (2) No	NA	<NA>	NA	<NA>	NA	(2) No	NA	(2) No	NA	
2 (2) No	NA	(2) No	NA	(2) No	NA	(2) No	NA	(2) No	NA	
3 (1) Yes	1	(1) Yes	1	(2) No	NA	(2) No	NA	(1) Yes	2	

Let's examine the corresponding household information. This dataset also has a lot of features so we will just show here the first 53 of 280 columns.

```
subset(dataHH12, IDHH=="2501017260961929294229224")[,1:53]
```

	V2001	YEARQ	IDHH	V2002				
1 (2) Household record	2012.1	2501017260961929294229224	27296					
	V2003	V2004	V2005	V2006	V2008	V2009		
1 (121) 2012, 1st quarter	25	01017260961929294229	2	24	0			
	V2010	V2011	V2012	V2013				
1 (1) Unit in smpl/prev	(1) Same hhld	2	(998) Residue					
	V2014	V2015	V2016	V2017	V2018			
1 (2) Rented for cash	(2) Rented for cash	(1) Urban	(1) Urban	<NA>				
	V2019	V2020	V2021	V2022				
1 (7) Item blank	(01) House/apt/flat	(01) House/apt/flat	(1) Phone/unit					
	V2023	V2024	V2025	V2025A	V2025B	V2026	V2027	V2028
1 (1) Yes	(04) Four	(1) Yes	(1) Yes	(1) Yes	(07) 17,500-19,999	<NA>	NA	
	V2029	V2030	V2031	V2032	V2033	V2034		
1 NA	(300) Interviewed hhld	<NA>	(02) Wife	18	(1) Married			
	V2035	V2036	V2037	V2038	V2040A			
1 (1) Married	(2) Female	(2) No	(28) High school grad	(01) White only				
	V2041	V2042	V2043	V2044	V2045	V2046		
1 (1) Yes	22	(1) Married	(1) Married	(1) Male	(1) Yes			
	V2047	V2049A	V2050	V2051	V2052			
1 (28) High school grad	(02) Black only	(2) No	NA	NA				

And the corresponding incident file (just the first 43 of 950 columns):

```
dataInc12[1:3, 1:43]
```

	V4001	YEARQ	IDHH
1 (4) Incident record	2012.1	2501051210759582293728435	
2 (4) Incident record	2012.1	2501051210759582293728435	

```

3 (4) Incident record 2012.1 2501051210759582293728435
      IDPER V4002                                V4003 V4004
1 250105121075958229372843501 24034 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25
2 250105121075958229372843501 24034 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25
3 250105121075958229372843501 24034 (121) 2012, 1st quarter 25
      V4005 V4006 V4008 V4009 V4010                                V4011
1 01051210759582293728      4    35    1    1 (36) 36:Indiv scrn quest
2 01051210759582293728      4    35    1    1 (37) 37:Hhld scrn quest
3 01051210759582293728      4    35    1    1 (41) 41:Indiv scrn quest
      V4012                                V4013                V4014 V4015 V4016                                V4017
1      1 (2) Bef mov this add (09) September 2011      1 (1) 1-5 incidents
2      1 (2) Bef mov this add (09) September 2011      1 (1) 1-5 incidents
3      1 (2) Bef mov this add (09) September 2011      2 (1) 1-5 incidents
      V4018 V4019                V4021B                V4022 V4023 V4023B
1 <NA> <NA> (01) Aft 6am-12am (4) Diff city etc (2) No (2) No
2 <NA> <NA> (01) Aft 6am-12am (4) Diff city etc (2) No (2) No
3 <NA> <NA> (06) Aft 9pm-12pm (4) Diff city etc (2) No (2) No
      V4024 V4025                V4026 V4027                V4028                                V4029
1 (02) R/hme-det bldg (2) No (1) Yes <NA> (1) Yes (1) At least 1 entry
2 (01) R/hme-own dwell (2) No (1) Yes <NA> (2) No                                <NA>
3 (12) Comm-rest/bar <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA>                                <NA>
      V4030 V4031 V4032 V4033 V4034 V4035 V4036 V4037 V4038
1 (0) No (0) No (0) No (0) No (0) No (0) No (1) Yes (0) No (0) No
2 <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA>
3 <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA> <NA>
      V4039                V4040 V4041A
1 (0) No out of range                                <NA> <NA>
2                                <NA> (04) Unlk door/win <NA>
3                                <NA> <NA> <NA>

```

Let's look at the month and year of crime incident variables

```

with(dataInc12, table(V4014,V4015))
with(dataInc13, table(V4014,V4015))

```

```

      V4015
V4014 2011 2012
(01) January      0  728
(02) February     0  658
(03) March        0  705
(04) April        0  751
(05) May          0  768
(06) June         0  825
(07) July        159  670
(08) August       296  560
(09) September   366  426
(10) October     492  298
(11) November    608  139
(12) December    766   0

```

(98) Residue	0	0
	V4015	
V4014	2012	2013
(1) January	0	566
(2) February	0	580
(3) March	0	615
(4) April	0	526
(5) May	0	688
(6) June	0	649
(7) July	144	580
(8) August	245	474
(9) September	306	306
(10) October	440	238
(11) November	557	116
(12) December	697	0
(98) Residue	0	0

Creating Dataframe and Weights with Incident Data

Next, we can create a 2012 incident dataframe. Importantly, the 2012 data contain incidents that occurred in 2012 as well as 2011 but were all self-reported to the Census Bureau in 2012. Likewise, the 2013 data contain incidents that occurred in 2012 as well as 2013. If we wanted to analyze crime that occurred in only 2012, we'd subset the data to include only 2012. We will combine the 2012 and 2013 incident dataframes and then subset this new dataframe so that we exclude 2011 and 2013. As we can see in the Codebook PDF, the variable V4015 refers to the year of occurrence.

```
dataInc <- rbind(dataInc12,dataInc13)
table(dataInc$V4015) # year crime occurred
dataInc <- subset(dataInc, V4015==2012)
```

```
2011 2012 2013
2687 8917 5338
```

We will also want to exclude crime that happens outside the United States or crimes for which we do not know the location (NA). According to the Codebook, V4022 refers to location.

```
dataInc <- subset(dataInc, (V4022!="(1) Outside U.S.") | is.na(V4022))
```

A lot of crimes happen in a series. The BJS convention is to include up to 10 occurrences in a series crime

```
i <- with(dataInc, which((V4019=="(2) No (is series)") & (V4016>=11) & (V4016<=996)))
dataInc$V4016[i] <- 10
dataInc$V4016[dataInc$V4016>=997] <- NA
```

Also, BJS analyses of NCVS data generally use weights because NCVS is survey data. There are three NCVS weight categories: household, personal, and incident.

For more information about NCVS weights, consult the helpful summary.

To that extent, let's update the weight for series crimes and create a "date year" weight.

```
i <- which(dataInc$V4019=="(2) No (is series)")
dataInc$WGTVICDY <- dataInc$WGTVICCY
dataInc$WGTVICDY[i] <- with(dataInc, WGTVICDY[i] * V4016[i])
```

We can also tabulate total weight by crime type to estimate the count of a crime. As the Codebook instructs, V4529 is the variable for crime type.

```
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4529, data=dataInc, sum)
```

	V4529	WGTVICDY
1	(01) Completed rape	74309.666
2	(02) Attempted rape	59501.772
3	(03) Sex aslt w s aslt	41212.611
4	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	6515.781
5	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	79343.272
6	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	77564.887
7	(07) Rob wo injury	176027.246
8	(08) At rob inj s asl	28969.151
9	(09) At rob inj m asl	26869.716
10	(10) At rob w aslt	148857.011
11	(11) Ag aslt w injury	385348.494
12	(12) At ag aslt w wea	271055.951
13	(13) Thr aslt w weap	421411.004
14	(14) Simp aslt w inj	954981.736
15	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	32580.327
16	(16) Unw sex wo force	15992.059
17	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	2005635.943
18	(18) Verbal thr rape	39745.499
19	(19) Ver thr sex aslt	15369.782
20	(20) Verbal thr aslt	2019545.074
21	(21) Purse snatching	15990.538
22	(22) At purse snatch	7272.660
23	(23) Pocket picking	126418.096
24	(31) Burg, force ent	1215286.994
25	(32) Burg, ent wo for	1758044.551
26	(33) Att force entry	711352.327
27	(40) Motor veh theft	480278.161
28	(41) At mtr veh theft	165996.837
29	(54) Theft < \$10	1115139.162
30	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	2899929.059
31	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	4918627.396
32	(57) Theft \$250+	3790419.581
33	(58) Theft value NA	1369499.977
34	(59) Attempted theft	686151.735
35	(1) Completed rape	54822.944
36	(2) Attempted rape	1640.455
37	(3) Sex aslt w s aslt	5774.439

```

38      (5) Rob w inj s aslt    53467.958
39      (6) Rob w inj m aslt    64188.001
40      (7) Rob wo injury      59359.504
41      (9) At rob inj m asl    10626.371

```

As you can see, there are some irregularities with the coding of crime types. Sometimes a type is coded as "(01)", but other times it is coded as "(1)". Let's standardize this coding using regular expressions.

```

dataInc$V4529 <- gsub("\\\\([1-9])\\", "(0\\1)", dataInc$V4529)
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4529, data=dataInc, sum)

```

```

          V4529      WGTVICDY
1      (01) Completed rape 129132.610
2      (02) Attempted rape  61142.227
3      (03) Sex aslt w s aslt 46987.050
4      (04) Sex aslt w m aslt  6515.781
5      (05) Rob w inj s aslt 132811.230
6      (06) Rob w inj m aslt 141752.888
7      (07) Rob wo injury   235386.750
8      (08) At rob inj s asl  28969.151
9      (09) At rob inj m asl  37496.087
10     (10) At rob w aslt    148857.011
11     (11) Ag aslt w injury 385348.494
12     (12) At ag aslt w wea 271055.951
13     (13) Thr aslt w weap  421411.004
14     (14) Simp aslt w inj   954981.736
15     (15) Sex aslt wo inj   32580.327
16     (16) Unw sex wo force  15992.059
17 (17) Asl wo weap, wo inj 2005635.943
18     (18) Verbal thr rape   39745.499
19     (19) Ver thr sex aslt  15369.782
20     (20) Verbal thr aslt 2019545.074
21     (21) Purse snatching   15990.538
22     (22) At purse snatch   7272.660
23     (23) Pocket picking    126418.096
24     (31) Burg, force ent 1215286.994
25     (32) Burg, ent wo for 1758044.551
26     (33) Att force entry    711352.327
27     (40) Motor veh theft   480278.161
28     (41) At mtr veh theft  165996.837
29     (54) Theft < $10 1115139.162
30     (55) Theft $10-$49 2899929.059
31     (56) Theft $50-$249 4918627.396
32     (57) Theft $250+ 3790419.581
33     (58) Theft value NA 1369499.977
34     (59) Attempted theft   686151.735

```

Now, we can use the NCVS incident data to find out how many car thefts occurred in 2012.


```
with(subset(dataInc, V4529=="(40) Motor veh theft"),
      sum(WGTVICDY))
```

```
[1] 480278.2
```

Also, note that the definition of rape changed in 2013.

```
with(subset(dataInc, V4529=="(01) Completed rape"),
      sum(WGTVICDY))
```

```
[1] 129132.6
```

Merging in data from the household and person data

So far, we've created a dataframe and worked with weights for the Incident data. However, the Household and Person Data have data that we might need. Let's first create a 2012 data year household data frame, much like we did with the incident data. Note that YEARQ refers to the year and quarter of the interview. The variable V2130 is the month allocated from panel/rotation number. The panel/rotation number refer to the process through which interviews are conducted.

```
dataHH <- rbind(dataHH12, dataHH13)
dataHH <- subset(dataHH, YEARQ >= 2012.1 & YEARQ <= 2013.2)
```

Let's make the "month allocated" uniform, and using regular expressions, delete "0s" following parentheses.

```
table(dataHH$V2130)
dataHH$V2130 <- gsub("\\(0", "\\(", dataHH$V2130)
```

(01) January	(02) February	(03) March	(04) April	(05) May
10602	10567	10695	10614	10511
(06) June	(07) July	(08) August	(09) September	(10) October
10659	10572	10624	10678	10692
(11) November	(12) December	(1) January	(2) February	(3) March
10597	10630	10612	10573	10702
(4) April	(5) May	(6) June	(7) July	(8) August
10720	10661	10603	0	0
(9) September				
0				

Next, create a 2012 data year person data frame. We need to first fix incompatible factor/numeric in 2012/2013. The factor levels in 2012 look like "(1) Yes", but in 2013 are just "1."

```
i <- sapply(dataPers12, levels)
i <- i[!sapply(i, is.null)]
i <- sapply(i, function(x) all(substring(x, 1, 1) == "("))
var.fix <- names(i)[i]
for(xj in var.fix)
{
```

```

dataPers12[,xj] <- gsub("\\\\([0-9]+)\\\\.*", "\\1", dataPers12[,xj])
dataPers12[,xj] <- as.numeric(dataPers12[,xj])
}

```

Then, stack the 2012 and 2013 data frames using `rbind()`.

```

dataPers <- rbind(dataPers12, dataPers13)
dataPers <- subset(dataPers, YEARQ>=2012.1 & YEARQ<=2013.2)

```

Now that we've created a person dataframe and an incident dataframe, we can merge them together. We will use `merge()` to pull age, marital status, and sex into the incident data. The `merge()` function has several parameters that communicate to R which features should be used to match and which ones should be merged. Here we tell `merge()` to use a pair of features from the incident data (IDPER and YEARQ) and look up a row in `dataPers` with the same values of IDPER and YEARQ. We've selected only the five columns IDPER, YEARQ, V3014, V3015, and V3018 from `dataPers`. The first two `merge()` uses to identify matching rows and the last three will be attached as new columns to `dataInc`.

```

a <- merge(dataInc,                                     # incident data
           dataPers[,c("IDPER", "YEARQ",               # IDPER & YEARQ unique IDs of person
                        "V3014",                        # age
                        "V3015",                        # marital status
                        "V3018")],                      # sex
           by=c("IDPER", "YEARQ"),                    # variables used to merge
           all.x=TRUE)                                # keep all incidents, even if not matched

# a should have the same number of rows as dataInc, but 3 additional new columns
dim(dataInc)

```

```
[1] 8852  951
```

```
dim(a)
```

```
[1] 8852  954
```

```

# replace dataInc with a, now containing age, marital, and sex
dataInc <- a

```

```

# check merge for first incident
dataInc[1,c("IDPER", "YEARQ", "V3014", "V3015", "V3018")]

```

```

      IDPER  YEARQ V3014 V3015 V3018
1 250105121075958229372843501 2012.3    28     3     1

```

```

# check dataPers for this person's age, marital, and sex
subset(dataPers, IDPER=="250105121075958229372843501" & YEARQ==2012.3,
       select = c("IDPER", "YEARQ", "V3014", "V3015", "V3018"))

```

```

      IDPER  YEARQ V3014 V3015 V3018
95199 250105121075958229372843501 2012.3    28     3     1

```

We can see that the first row of `dataInc` now has three additional columns, and that they have the

correct values merged from the dataPers data.

Let's give these new columns better names.

```
names(dataInc)[names(dataInc)=="V3014"] <- "age"
names(dataInc)[names(dataInc)=="V3015"] <- "marital"
names(dataInc)[names(dataInc)=="V3018"] <- "sex"
```

Let's also create a new variable that breaks age into age categories.

```
dataInc$ageGroup <- cut(dataInc$age, breaks=c(0,16,21,35,45,60,110))
```

Note that "8" is a missing value indicator for marital status. Always refer to the Codebook if you are not sure what a variable or a categorical variable value means.

```
dataInc$marital[dataInc$marital==8] <- NA
```

Factor variables in R put meaningful labels on categorical variables. Instead of working with the numbers 1-5 for marital status, let's assign the number values their actual corresponding names.

```
dataInc$marital <- factor(dataInc$marital, levels=1:5,
                          labels=c("married", "widowed", "divorced",
                                    "separated", "never married"))
dataInc$sex <- factor(dataInc$sex, levels=1:2,
                     labels=c("male", "female"))
```

Let's get estimated counts by age group and sex.

```
aggregate(WGTVICDY~ageGroup+sex, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)
```

	ageGroup	sex	WGTVICDY
1	(0,16]	male	1198909.6
2	(16,21]	male	1274033.7
3	(21,35]	male	3539889.7
4	(35,45]	male	2095416.6
5	(45,60]	male	3024668.5
6	(60,110]	male	1337477.9
7	(0,16]	female	887078.5
8	(16,21]	female	1243057.6
9	(21,35]	female	4320788.8
10	(35,45]	female	2307591.3
11	(45,60]	female	3240564.4
12	(60,110]	female	1921647.3

We can also find out common crime type by sex. As before, `aggregate()` will total up the weights, but as you see in the ageGroup/sex example above, `aggregate()` produces the results in a long form. Sometimes this is useful, but sometimes we want to have our results side-by-side. We will use `reshape()` to convert the "long format" results from `aggregate()` to a "wide format".

```
a <- aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4529+sex, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)
a <- reshape(a, timevar="sex", idvar="V4529", direction="wide")
a[is.na(a)] <- 0
names(a) <- c("crimeType", "male", "female")
```

a

	crimeType	male	female
1	(01) Completed rape	6318.130	122814.480
2	(02) Attempted rape	42077.861	19064.366
3	(03) Sex aslt w s aslt	38218.021	8769.029
4	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	80534.437	52276.793
5	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	35610.607	106142.282
6	(07) Rob wo injury	150662.017	84724.733
7	(08) At rob inj s asl	22330.349	6638.802
8	(09) At rob inj m asl	12200.917	25295.171
9	(10) At rob w aslt	104657.340	44199.671
10	(11) Ag aslt w injury	188925.090	196423.404
11	(12) At ag aslt w wea	185157.394	85898.556
12	(13) Thr aslt w weap	237527.692	183883.312
13	(14) Simp aslt w inj	448773.257	506208.479
14	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	3119.587	29460.740
15	(16) Unw sex wo force	2957.926	13034.133
16	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	1042741.375	962894.567
17	(18) Verbal thr rape	26408.008	13337.490
18	(19) Ver thr sex aslt	9298.262	6071.520
19	(20) Verbal thr aslt	1099721.249	919823.826
20	(23) Pocket picking	81230.111	45187.984
21	(31) Burg, force ent	609106.185	606180.810
22	(32) Burg, ent wo for	741492.194	1016552.357
23	(33) Att force entry	269383.309	441969.018
24	(40) Motor veh theft	256959.885	223318.276
25	(41) At mtr veh theft	87364.540	78632.297
26	(54) Theft < \$10	444360.185	670778.978
27	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	1217450.179	1682478.881
28	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	2261589.762	2657037.634
29	(57) Theft \$250+	1825854.971	1964564.610
30	(58) Theft value NA	588405.556	781094.421
31	(59) Attempted theft	349959.481	336192.254
35	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	0.000	6515.781
52	(21) Purse snatching	0.000	15990.538
53	(22) At purse snatch	0.000	7272.660

We can then convert this result to column percentages. To obtain a column percentage, we divide counts for an individual cell by the total number of counts for the column. So, the sum of all the values in the male column should equal 100:

```
temp <- a
temp$male <- with(temp, 100*male/ sum(male))
temp$female <- with(temp, 100*female/sum(female))
colSums(temp[, -1]) # check that the columns sum to 100
```

```
male female
100      100
```

```
temp$ratio <- temp$female/temp$male
temp[order(-temp$ratio),]
```

	crimeType	male	female	ratio
35	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	0.00000000	0.04680632	Inf
52	(21) Purse snatching	0.00000000	0.11486855	Inf
53	(22) At purse snatch	0.00000000	0.05224339	Inf
1	(01) Completed rape	0.05066503	0.88224180	17.4132299
14	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	0.02501594	0.21163218	8.4598928
15	(16) Unw sex wo force	0.02371958	0.09363112	3.9474183
5	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	0.28556116	0.76247652	2.6700989
8	(09) At rob inj m asl	0.09783905	0.18170868	1.8572204
23	(33) Att force entry	2.16018250	3.17489877	1.4697364
26	(54) Theft < \$10	3.56332060	4.81856254	1.3522675
27	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	9.76272278	12.08614160	1.2379888
22	(32) Burg, ent wo for	5.94601969	7.30243682	1.2281219
30	(58) Theft value NA	4.71841922	5.61101711	1.1891731
28	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	18.13566934	19.08691602	1.0524517
13	(14) Simp aslt w inj	3.59870899	3.63636503	1.0104638
29	(57) Theft \$250+	14.64151570	14.11251359	0.9638697
10	(11) Ag aslt w injury	1.51498871	1.41101390	0.9313692
21	(31) Burg, force ent	4.88441739	4.35451951	0.8915126
31	(59) Attempted theft	2.80632214	2.41504796	0.8605740
16	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	8.36173435	6.91698435	0.8272189
25	(41) At mtr veh theft	0.70057552	0.56485766	0.8062766
24	(40) Motor veh theft	2.06055917	1.60421408	0.7785334
19	(20) Verbal thr aslt	8.81865547	6.60758428	0.7492734
12	(13) Thr aslt w weap	1.90473257	1.32093174	0.6934998
18	(19) Ver thr sex aslt	0.07456269	0.04361496	0.5849435
4	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	0.64580498	0.37553204	0.5814945
6	(07) Rob wo injury	1.20815745	0.60862286	0.5037612
20	(23) Pocket picking	0.65138358	0.32460935	0.4983382
17	(18) Verbal thr rape	0.21176560	0.09581030	0.4524356
11	(12) At ag aslt w wea	1.48477559	0.61705507	0.4155881
2	(02) Attempted rape	0.33742202	0.13694949	0.4058700
9	(10) At rob w aslt	0.83924634	0.31750977	0.3783273
7	(08) At rob inj s asl	0.17906688	0.04769005	0.2663253
3	(03) Sex aslt w s aslt	0.30646999	0.06299260	0.2055425

Or we can compute row percentages to determine what percentage of each crime is male and female.

```
temp <- a
row.total <- with(temp, male+female)
temp$male <- with(temp, 100*male/ row.total)
temp$female <- with(temp, 100*female/row.total)
rowSums(temp[, -1]) # check that the rows sum to 100
temp$ratio <- temp$female/temp$male
```

```
temp[order(-temp$ratio),]
```

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	35	52	53			
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			
	crimeType							male		female		ratio						
35	(04)	Sex aslt w m aslt						0.000000		100.00000					Inf			
52	(21)	Purse snatching						0.000000		100.00000					Inf			
53	(22)	At purse snatch						0.000000		100.00000					Inf			
1	(01)	Completed rape						4.892745		95.10725		19.4384234						
14	(15)	Sex aslt wo inj						9.575063		90.42494		9.4437952						
15	(16)	Unw sex wo force						18.496217		81.50378		4.4065110						
5	(06)	Rob w inj m aslt						25.121609		74.87839		2.9806367						
8	(09)	At rob inj m asl						32.539173		67.46083		2.0732188						
23	(33)	Att force entry						37.869182		62.13082		1.6406696						
26	(54)	Theft < \$10						39.847958		60.15204		1.5095389						
27	(55)	Theft \$10-\$49						41.982068		58.01793		1.3819694						
22	(32)	Burg, ent wo for						42.177099		57.82290		1.3709549						
30	(58)	Theft value NA						42.964992		57.03501		1.3274763						
28	(56)	Theft \$50-\$249						45.980099		54.01990		1.1748539						
13	(14)	Simp aslt w inj						46.992863		53.00714		1.1279827						
29	(57)	Theft \$250+						48.170260		51.82974		1.0759697						
10	(11)	Ag aslt w injury						49.027074		50.97293		1.0396894						
21	(31)	Burg, force ent						50.120357		49.87964		0.9951973						
31	(59)	Attempted theft						51.003220		48.99678		0.9606605						
16	(17)	Asl wo weap, wo inj						51.990561		48.00944		0.9234261						
25	(41)	At mtr veh theft						52.630244		47.36976		0.9000482						
24	(40)	Motor veh theft						53.502305		46.49770		0.8690784						
19	(20)	Verbal thr aslt						54.453910		45.54609		0.8364154						
12	(13)	Thr aslt w weap						56.364853		43.63515		0.7741553						
18	(19)	Ver thr sex aslt						60.497034		39.50297		0.6529736						
4	(05)	Rob w inj s aslt						60.638274		39.36173		0.6491235						
6	(07)	Rob wo injury						64.006159		35.99384		0.5623496						
20	(23)	Pocket picking																

Using the NCVS

Describe the context of assaults. For example, where did they occur?

As with all of these problems, it is very important to refer to the Codebook to find out relevant variables. Note that Variable V4024 refers to “Where did the incident happen?” and V4529 refers to the offense type. We first need to determine which V4529 values refer to some form of assault. When working with any open-ended criminological question, use your own judgment as to which crimes to include. For example, some researchers would exclude a verbal threat of assault, whereas others would include that as assault.

```
# first fix (x) -> (0x)
dataInc$V4024 <- gsub("\\\\([1-9])\\\\", "(0\\1)", dataInc$V4024)
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4024,
          data=subset(dataInc, V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
                                             "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
                                             "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
                                             "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
                                             "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
                                             "(13) Thr aslt w weap")),
          sum)
```

	V4024	WGTVICDY
1	(01) R/hme-own dwell	895253.205
2	(02) R/hme-det bldg	13818.172
3	(03) R/home-vac/2nd	3345.423
4	(04) R/hme-htl/mtl	2678.604
5	(05) N/hme-own yrd	627290.985
6	(06) N/hme apt hall	68571.278
7	(07) N/hme-on street	402878.734
8	(08) Frn/hme-at hme	329857.288
9	(09) Frn/hme-yard etc	175975.087
10	(10) Frn/hme-apt hall	11980.909
11	(11) Frn/hme-on str	64148.308
12	(12) Comm-rest/bar	226789.749
13	(14) Office	44540.780
14	(15) Park-parking etc	106711.649
15	(16) Park-noncomm	195867.551
16	(17) Park-apt etc	72970.815
17	(18) Schl-school bldg	641275.172
18	(19) Schl-school prop	257785.610
19	(20) Open-apt yd etc	167461.781
20	(21) Open-on street	742446.681
21	(22) Open-pub transp	53745.259
22	(23) Other-other	684124.062
23	(24) Bank	4260.630
24	(25) Gas station	38900.674
25	(26) Other comm bld	139386.966

When did the assaults occur?

As our Codebook tells us, Variable V4021B refers to “About what time did incident occur?” and V4014 refers to “Month incident occurred.” Let’s use both variables to answer this question.

```
# first fix (x) -> (0x)
dataInc$V4021B <- gsub("\\\\([1-9])\\", "(0\\1)", dataInc$V4021B)
dataInc$V4014 <- gsub("\\\\([1-9])\\", "(0\\1)", dataInc$V4014)

aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4021B,
          data=subset(dataInc,V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
                                           "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
                                           "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
                                           "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
                                           "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
                                           "(13) Thr aslt w weap")),
          sum)

aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4014,
          data=subset(dataInc,V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
                                           "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
                                           "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
                                           "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
                                           "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
                                           "(13) Thr aslt w weap")),
          sum)
```

	V4021B	WGTVICDY
1	(01) Aft 6am-12am	763433.22
2	(02) Aft 12am-3pm	1047850.17
3	(03) Aft 3pm-6pm	1062739.25
4	(04) DK time of day	427090.11
5	(05) Aft 6pm-9pm	911527.24
6	(06) Aft 9pm-12pm	694262.33
7	(07) Aft 12pm-6am	674975.82
8	(08) DK time of night	138008.36
9	(09) DK day/night	324487.36
10	(98) Residue	13604.34

	V4014	WGTVICDY
1	(01) January	590263.0
2	(02) February	411182.4
3	(03) March	408158.0
4	(04) April	606052.6
5	(05) May	616605.2
6	(06) June	485787.1
7	(07) July	454993.5


```

8      (08) August 456887.9
9      (09) September 477749.5
10     (10) October 476838.6
11     (11) November 604212.7
12     (12) December 469247.8

```

Who was the offender?

Variable V4241 refers to “Single Offender Stranger” whereas V4245 refers to “Single Offender - How Did Respondent Know Offender?”, and V4248 refers to “Number of Offenders (Multiple Offenders).”

```

aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4241,
  data=subset(dataInc,V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
    "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
    "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
    "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
    "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
    "(13) Thr aslt w weap")),
  sum)

# first fix (x) -> (0x)
dataInc$V4245 <- gsub("\\((([1-9]))\\)", "(0\\1)", dataInc$V4245)
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4245,
  data=subset(dataInc,V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
    "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
    "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
    "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
    "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
    "(13) Thr aslt w weap")),
  sum)

```

```

      V4241    WGTVICDY
1 (1) Knew/had seen 3459931.87
2      (2) Stranger 1129235.51
3      (3) Dont know  16781.76
4      (8) Residue  126404.01

      V4245    WGTVICDY
1      (01) Spouse 246425.763
2      (02) Ex-spouse 53212.088
3      (03) Par or step-par 93048.639
4      (04) R child or step 96929.110
5      (05) Brother/sister 117660.581
6      (06) Other relative 119478.930
7      (07) Boy/girlfrnd, ex 352536.575
8      (08) Friend or ex 346111.873
9      (09) Roommate, board 48208.790
10     (10) Schoolmate 410076.775

```

```

11          (11) Neighbor 326835.377
12      (12) Customer/client 46448.351
13      (13) Oth nonrelative 339474.224
14          (14) Patient 120334.109
15 (15) Supervisor(cur/former) 13914.878
16 (16) Employee(cur/former) 19300.210
17 (17) Coworker(cur/former) 219160.371
18 (18) Teacher/school staff 9264.828
19          (98) Residue 134325.538

```

The codebook tells us that with the multi-offender variable V4248, “97” does not mean 97 offenders. It means “don’t know.” 98 actually means “missing”. 99 means “out of universe”, which really means that the response was out of range. We mark as missing any values greater than or equal to 97.

```

dataInc$V4248[dataInc$V4248>=97] <- NA
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4248,
          data=subset(dataInc,V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
                                           "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
                                           "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
                                           "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
                                           "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
                                           "(13) Thr aslt w weap")),
          sum)

```

	V4248	WGTVICDY
1	2	309205.579
2	3	201404.388
3	4	85858.774
4	5	71762.656
5	6	25225.004
6	7	24943.423
7	8	26335.800
8	10	2856.740
9	12	3285.894
10	15	60584.531
11	20	53590.187
12	30	2726.227
13	96	18440.345

What sort of weapons were used?

As the Codebook shows, Variables V4051-V4059 list types of weapons. Because it’s a bit difficult to interpret the output in R with just the variable names, let’s create new variables with better names.

```

dataInc$handgun <- dataInc$V4051
dataInc$otherGun <- dataInc$V4052
dataInc$knife <- dataInc$V4053
dataInc$sharpObject <- dataInc$V4054

```

```

dataInc$bluntObject <- dataInc$V4055
dataInc$other       <- dataInc$V4056
dataInc$unknownGun  <- dataInc$V4057
dataInc$hit         <- dataInc$V4059

assault <- subset(dataInc, V4529 %in% c("(11) Ag aslt w injury",
                                       "(14) Simp aslt w inj",
                                       "(12) At ag aslt w wea",
                                       "(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj",
                                       "(20) Verbal thr aslt",
                                       "(13) Thr aslt w weap"))

with(subset(assault, handgun == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, otherGun == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, unknownGun == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, knife == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, sharpObject == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, bluntObject == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, hit == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))
with(subset(assault, other == "(1) Yes"), sum(WGTVICDY))

```

```

[1] 221323.2
[1] 18237.02
[1] 2949.832
[1] 250788.1
[1] 45798.08
[1] 265465.8
[1] 2395996
[1] 143223.9

```

If you find yourself copying and pasting essentially the same code over and over again like this, there is a better way. You should think about using a loop or `sapply()`. A loop or `sapply()` might run faster on your computer, but more importantly they are easier to read, maintain, and less likely to create errors.

Here's a for-loop example.

```

for(x in c("handgun", "otherGun", "unknownGun", "knife", "sharpObject", "bluntObject", "hit", "other"))
{
  print(x)
  print(sum(assault$WGTVICDY[!is.na(assault[,x]) & assault[,x] == "(1) Yes"]))
}

```

And here's another for-loop example using expressions.

```

for(x in c("handgun", "otherGun", "unknownGun", "knife", "sharpObject", "bluntObject", "hit", "other"))
{
  print(x)
  subsetExpression <- parse(text=paste(x, '== "(1) Yes"'))
  with(subset(assault, eval(subsetExpression)), print(sum(WGTVICDY)))
}

```

```
}
```

Or use `sapply()` and `by()`.

```
sapply(c("handgun","otherGun","unknownGun","knife","sharpObject","bluntObject","hit","other"),
       function(x) by(assault$WGTVICDY, assault[,x], sum))
```

Were the police called?

Note that V4399 refers to “Reported to Police”.

```
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4399, data=assault, sum)
```

	V4399	WGTVICDY
1	(1) Yes	2672833.68
2	(2) No	3266825.18
3	(3) Dont know	64705.82
4	(8) Residue	53613.53

How many victims used firearms defensively?

As the Codebook tells us, V4147 asks whether the victim was threatened with a gun.

```
aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4147, data=assault, sum)
```

	V4147	WGTVICDY
1	(0) No	3524132.97
2	(1) Yes	37942.75

How many victims by race and crime type

First, let’s use all the racial groups in the dataset.

```
# merge in race
a <- merge(dataInc,
           dataPers[,c("IDPER","YEARQ",
                       "V3023A",      # race
                       "V3024")],      # and get hispanic, while we're at it
           by=c("IDPER","YEARQ"),
           all.x=TRUE)

# check that the number of rows and columns look right
dim(dataInc)
```

```
[1] 8852  963
```

```
dim(a) # should have same # rows, but 2 extra columns
```

```
[1] 8852  965
```

```

# rename V3023A to be more readable as "race"
names(a)[names(a)=="V3023A"] <- "race"

dataInc <- a

# 98s are missing values
dataInc$race[dataInc$race==98] <- NA

# recode race as a factor variable
dataInc$race <- factor(dataInc$race, levels=1:20,
                        labels=c("White", "Black", "AmerInd", "Asian",
                                "HawaiianPacificIslander", "WhiteBlack",
                                "WhiteAmerIndian", "WhiteAsian", "WhiteHawaiian",
                                "BlackAmerIndian", "BlackAsian",
                                "BlackHawaiianPacificIslander",
                                "AmericanIndianAsian",
                                "AsianHawaiianPacificIslander", "WBamerInd",
                                "WBAAsian", "WamerIndAsian", "WAsianHawaiian",
                                "2or3races", "4or5 races"))

aggregate(WGTVICDY~race, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)

```

	race	WGTVICDY
1	White	20325363.491
2	Black	3922621.250
3	AmerInd	391752.018
4	Asian	717279.445
5	HawaiianPacificIslander	145000.471
6	WhiteBlack	159275.922
7	WhiteAmerIndian	431686.215
8	WhiteAsian	128478.066
9	WhiteHawaiian	34286.279
10	BlackAmerIndian	44587.209
11	BlackAsian	5431.531
12	AsianHawaiianPacificIslander	14399.561
13	WBamerInd	32562.096
14	WamerIndAsian	3253.276
15	WAsianHawaiian	2530.258
16	2or3races	5028.427
17	4or5 races	27588.216

```

# break down race and crime type
a <- aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4529+race, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)
# reshape and just show the first four columns here
reshape(a, timevar="race", idvar="V4529", direction="wide")[,1:4]

```

	V4529	WGTVICDY.White	WGTVICDY.Black	WGTVICDY.AmerInd
1	(01) Completed rape	103280.959	12643.248	NA
2	(02) Attempted rape	17775.773	1288.593	NA

3	(03) Sex aslt w s aslt	46987.050	NA	NA
4	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	1612.130	NA	NA
5	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	115894.521	12594.761	NA
6	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	78902.277	15950.122	39247.457
7	(07) Rob wo injury	194556.360	31047.979	NA
8	(08) At rob inj s asl	22603.790	6365.360	NA
9	(09) At rob inj m asl	18955.182	14245.997	NA
10	(10) At rob w aslt	103657.632	31357.316	NA
11	(11) Ag aslt w injury	285893.771	72627.882	4239.840
12	(12) At ag aslt w wea	206706.450	47414.402	8553.009
13	(13) Thr aslt w weap	272847.708	111649.084	8715.912
14	(14) Simp aslt w inj	785679.784	114291.382	11886.947
15	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	29951.777	2628.551	NA
16	(16) Unw sex wo force	15992.059	NA	NA
17	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	1567340.159	291080.022	17449.707
18	(18) Verbal thr rape	13393.918	NA	21818.327
19	(19) Ver thr sex aslt	8290.007	7079.775	NA
20	(20) Verbal thr aslt	1533465.127	350969.780	10830.742
21	(21) Purse snatching	9321.731	6668.807	NA
22	(22) At purse snatch	3006.697	2215.912	NA
23	(23) Pocket picking	95832.610	22775.079	NA
24	(31) Burg, force ent	832635.810	318494.080	10461.118
25	(32) Burg, ent wo for	1447606.070	142141.885	41339.995
26	(33) Att force entry	503437.423	160314.905	13766.940
27	(40) Motor veh theft	329864.267	98815.835	6295.737
28	(41) At mtr veh theft	125090.201	32724.272	NA
29	(54) Theft < \$10	898305.920	117303.794	20156.929
30	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	2282637.533	360916.388	31806.394
31	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	3843351.899	688365.830	82053.571
32	(57) Theft \$250+	2962503.394	556873.416	31067.968
33	(58) Theft value NA	1011664.176	231612.551	26315.302
34	(59) Attempted theft	556319.326	60164.243	5746.124

As the results indicate, the crime with the greatest number of victims, regardless of race, is generally Theft \$50-\$249.

Let's also consider the most common crimes that affect Hispanics (binary variable Y/N):

```
names(dataInc)[names(dataInc)=="V3024"] <- "hispanic"
# recode "8" as missing
dataInc$hispanic[dataInc$hispanic==8] <- NA
# 1=Yes, 2=No
dataInc$hispanic <- factor(dataInc$hispanic, levels=1:2, labels=c("Yes","No"))
aggregate(WGTVICDY~hispanic, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)
```

```
hispanic WGTVICDY
1      Yes  4301807
2       No  22065485
```

```
a <- aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4529+hispanic, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)
a[order(a$WGTVICDY),]
```

		V4529	hispanic	WGTVICDY
3	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	Yes		1612.130
17	(21) Purse snatching	Yes		2970.145
8	(09) At rob inj m asl	Yes		4488.080
33	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	No		4903.651
2	(02) Attempted rape	Yes		4957.303
14	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	Yes		6881.510
51	(22) At purse snatch	No		7272.660
7	(08) At rob inj s asl	Yes		10479.560
1	(01) Completed rape	Yes		10780.821
50	(21) Purse snatching	No		13020.393
48	(19) Ver thr sex aslt	No		15369.782
45	(16) Unw sex wo force	No		15992.059
37	(08) At rob inj s asl	No		18489.591
5	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	Yes		19204.230
18	(23) Pocket picking	Yes		21016.080
4	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	Yes		22696.666
44	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	No		25698.818
38	(09) At rob inj m asl	No		33008.007
11	(12) At ag aslt w wea	Yes		34179.950
9	(10) At rob w aslt	Yes		37448.047
47	(18) Verbal thr rape	No		39745.499
32	(03) Sex aslt w s aslt	No		46987.050
23	(41) At mtr veh theft	Yes		48049.885
6	(07) Rob wo injury	Yes		54986.206
31	(02) Attempted rape	No		56184.924
10	(11) Ag aslt w injury	Yes		58664.051
24	(54) Theft < \$10	Yes		78977.110
13	(14) Simp aslt w inj	Yes		102626.228
52	(23) Pocket picking	No		105402.016
34	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	No		106025.744
12	(13) Thr aslt w weap	Yes		109611.202
39	(10) At rob w aslt	No		111408.964
22	(40) Motor veh theft	Yes		113997.226
29	(59) Attempted theft	Yes		117863.216
57	(41) At mtr veh theft	No		117946.953
30	(01) Completed rape	No		118351.788
35	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	No		122548.659
21	(33) Att force entry	Yes		163024.403
36	(07) Rob wo injury	No		180400.544
20	(32) Burg, ent wo for	Yes		192780.336
19	(31) Burg, force ent	Yes		205536.893
28	(58) Theft value NA	Yes		236546.647
41	(12) At ag aslt w wea	No		236876.001

16	(20) Verbal thr aslt	Yes	268343.527
42	(13) Thr aslt w weap	No	311799.803
40	(11) Ag aslt w injury	No	326684.444
56	(40) Motor veh theft	No	366280.935
15	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	Yes	377860.765
25	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	Yes	437121.328
55	(33) Att force entry	No	548327.924
63	(59) Attempted theft	No	568288.519
27	(57) Theft \$250+	Yes	724332.870
26	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	Yes	834770.816
43	(14) Simp aslt w inj	No	852355.509
53	(31) Burg, force ent	No	1009750.102
58	(54) Theft < \$10	No	1036162.053
62	(58) Theft value NA	No	1132953.330
54	(32) Burg, ent wo for	No	1562012.064
46	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	No	1627775.178
49	(20) Verbal thr aslt	No	1751201.548
59	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	No	2457732.889
61	(57) Theft \$250+	No	3061290.705
60	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	No	4077236.982

Find crime types that disproportionately affect black victims

As the below analysis finds, the crimes that disproportionately affect black victims compared to white victims are Verbal Threat of Sexual Assault, Attempted robbery with injury, Attempted Purse Snatching, and Purse Snatching.

```
a <- aggregate(WGTVICDY~V4529+race, data=dataInc, FUN=sum)
a <- subset(a, race %in% c("Black","White"))
temp <- reshape(a, timevar="race", idvar="V4529", direction="wide")
temp[is.na(temp)] <- 0
names(temp) <- c("crimeType","White","Black")

temp$White <- with(temp, 100*White/sum(White))
temp$Black <- with(temp, 100*Black/sum(Black))
temp$ratio <- temp$Black/temp$White
temp[order(-temp$ratio),]
```

	crimeType	White	Black	ratio
19	(19) Ver thr sex aslt	0.040786513	0.18048582	4.4251347
9	(09) At rob inj m asl	0.093258761	0.36317544	3.8942770
22	(22) At purse snatch	0.014792833	0.05649058	3.8187804
21	(21) Purse snatching	0.045862557	0.17000895	3.7069226
13	(13) Thr aslt w weap	1.342400140	2.84628765	2.1202975
24	(31) Burg, force ent	4.096535888	8.11941964	1.9820209
26	(33) Att force entry	2.476892593	4.08693307	1.6500243
10	(10) At rob w aslt	0.509991529	0.79939700	1.5674711
27	(40) Motor veh theft	1.622919401	2.51912761	1.5522198

8	(08) At rob inj s asl	0.111209772	0.16227313	1.4591625
28	(41) At mtr veh theft	0.615438938	0.83424501	1.3555285
11	(11) Ag aslt w injury	1.406586262	1.85151401	1.3163174
23	(23) Pocket picking	0.471492727	0.58060867	1.2314266
12	(12) At ag aslt w wea	1.016987715	1.20874280	1.1885520
33	(58) Theft value NA	4.977348505	5.90453515	1.1862812
20	(20) Verbal thr aslt	7.544588945	8.94732775	1.1859265
6	(06) Rob w inj m aslt	0.388196141	0.40661895	1.0474575
32	(57) Theft \$250+	14.575401789	14.19646151	0.9740014
17	(17) Asl wo weap, wo inj	7.711252788	7.42054874	0.9623013
31	(56) Theft \$50-\$249	18.909142271	17.54861829	0.9280494
7	(07) Rob wo injury	0.957209743	0.79151100	0.8268940
30	(55) Theft \$10-\$49	11.230488123	9.20089821	0.8192786
14	(14) Simp aslt w inj	3.865514063	2.91364816	0.7537544
29	(54) Theft < \$10	4.419630281	2.99044406	0.6766277
1	(01) Completed rape	0.508138312	0.32231630	0.6343082
5	(05) Rob w inj s aslt	0.570196550	0.32108023	0.5631045
34	(59) Attempted theft	2.737069507	1.53377651	0.5603718
25	(32) Burg, ent wo for	7.122165715	3.62364540	0.5087842
15	(15) Sex aslt wo inj	0.147361579	0.06701006	0.4547322
2	(02) Attempted rape	0.087456112	0.03285030	0.3756204
3	(03) Sex aslt w s aslt	0.231174463	0.00000000	0.0000000
4	(04) Sex aslt w m aslt	0.007931615	0.00000000	0.0000000
16	(16) Unw sex wo force	0.078680311	0.00000000	0.0000000
18	(18) Verbal thr rape	0.065897559	0.00000000	0.0000000