

	No marks	1/4 marks	1/2 marks	3/4 marks	Full marks
PROJECT SCHEDULE (25%)	The updated project schedule is absent or, if present, shows that the team has no understanding of the progress of the project.	Some of the following were present: An updated project schedule with staged outcomes. A description of the testing to be carried out. General risks have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been briefly discussed. A brief explanation of whether the project is on schedule.	An updated project schedule with staged outcomes is present. Testing of some functional components has been incorporated in the project schedule. General risks have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been briefly discussed. A brief explanation of whether the project is on schedule is present.	An updated project schedule with staged outcomes is present. Testing of most functional components has been incorporated in the project schedule. Some specific risks have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been clearly explained. A clear explanation of whether the project is on schedule is present.	A clear updated project schedule with staged outcomes is present. Testing of all key functional components has been incorporated in the project schedule. The risks for all activities have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been clearly explained. A thorough explanation of whether the project is on schedule is present.
DESIGN DECISIONS (30%)	Absent or simple rewording of the project specifications.	Most design decisions are missing. Design decisions on the system's response to most inputs are missing. Most design decisions relating to the appearance of the system, construction choices and meeting safety requirements are missing.	Design decisions on most inputs and outputs of the system are present. Design decisions on the system's response to most inputs are present. Most design decisions relating to the appearance of the system, construction choices and meeting safety requirements are present.	A sufficient set of design decisions is included. Design decisions on all inputs and outputs of the system are present. Design decisions on the system's response to all inputs are present. Design decisions relating to the appearance of the system, construction choices and meeting safety requirements are clearly explained.	A comprehensive set of design decisions is included. Design decisions on all inputs and outputs of the system are present. Design decisions on the system's response to all inputs are present. Design decisions relating to the appearance of the system, construction choices and meeting safety requirements are clearly explained.
ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN (20%)	Absent or simple rewording of the project specifications.	The purpose, design decisions and development status for most sub-systems are missing. The characteristics and architectural design for most sub-system interfaces are missing.	The purpose, design decisions and development status for some sub-systems are missing. The characteristics and architectural design for some sub-system interfaces are missing.	The purpose, design decisions and development status for all sub-systems are present. The characteristics and architectural design for all sub-system interfaces are present.	The purpose, design decisions and development status for all sub-systems are clearly explained. The characteristics and architectural design for all sub-system interfaces are clearly explained.
TRACEABILITY (10%)	Absent.	Forward and backward traceability between most sub-systems to the relevant system requirements is missing.	Forward and backward traceability between some sub-systems to the relevant system requirements is missing.	Forward and backward traceability between all sub-systems to the relevant system requirements is present.	Forward and backward traceability between all sub-systems to the relevant system requirements is clearly presented.
PRESENTATION (15%)	Graphs and diagrams were not clearly labelled. OR Figures were not clearly formatted and were not of an appropriate size. OR Many grammatical and typographical errors were present. OR The report was not clearly formatted with inappropriate font sizes and poor use of space around text, headings and figures. OR Word limits were exceeded.		Most graphs and diagrams were clearly labelled. Most figures were clearly formatted and of an appropriate size. A few grammatical and typographical errors were present. Most of the report was clearly formatted with appropriate font sizes and space provided around text, headings and figures. Word limits were not exceeded.		All graphs and diagrams were clearly labelled. All figures were clearly formatted and of an appropriate size. No grammatical and typographical errors were present. The report was clearly formatted with appropriate font sizes and space provided around text, headings and figures. Word limits were not exceeded.