

Notes for Week 6/9/19 - 6/11/19

Alden Green

June 12, 2019

Let f, g be L^2 density functions supported on the d -dimensional unit cube $[0, 1]^d$, meaning

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} f(x)^2 dx, \int_{[0,1]^d} g(x)^2 dx < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[0,1]^d} f(x) dx, \int_{[0,1]^d} g(x) dx = 1.$$

with f, g bounded away from 0, $f(x), g(x) > p_{\min}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]^d$.

We observe data (X, ℓ) , a design matrix and associated labels, specified as follows. We let x_1, \dots, x_n be the rows of X , each sampled independently from $\mu = (f + g)/2$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we then sample ℓ_i according to

$$\ell_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } \frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \\ -1, & \text{with probability } \frac{g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \end{cases}$$

and let $\ell = (\ell_i)$.

Our statistical goal is hypothesis testing: that is, we wish to construct a test function ϕ which differentiates between

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : f = g \text{ and } \mathbb{H}_1 : f \neq g.$$

For a given function class \mathcal{H} , some $\epsilon > 0$, and test function ϕ a Borel measurable function of the data with range $\{0, 1\}$, we evaluate the quality of the test using *worst-case risk*

$$R_\epsilon^{(t)}(\phi; \mathcal{H}) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{f,f}^{(t)}(\phi) + \sup_{\substack{f, g \in \mathcal{H} \\ \delta(f, g) \geq \epsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{f,g}^{(t)}(1 - \phi)$$

where

$$\delta^2(f, g) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} (f - g)^2 dx.$$

We will consider those density functions which belong to $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_1^d(L)$, the d -dimensional Lipschitz ball with norm L . Formally, a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ if for all $x, y \in [0, 1]^d$, $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L \|x - y\|$.¹

1 Sieve-based test.

For a radius $r > 0$ to be determined later, the r -neighborhood graph $G = (V, E)$ consists of vertices $V = [n]$ corresponding to the n data points, and edges $E = \{(u, v) : \|x_u - x_v\| \leq r\}$. Write D for the incidence matrix of this graph, and $L = D^T D$ for the graph Laplacian. Denote the singular value decomposition of D by $D = U \Lambda^{1/2} V^T$, where U and V are orthonormal matrices and Λ is a diagonal matrix with entries

¹Note that the Lipschitz requirement, along with the restriction that f be a density function over a bounded domain, imply that f has finite L^2 norm.

$0 = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, so that $L = V\Lambda V^T$. For $k = 1, \dots, n$, write $V_k = (v_1 \dots v_k)$ for the $n \times k$ matrix containing the first k columns of V —that is, the first k eigenvectors of L . Our first test statistic will be as follows:

$$T_{LE}^{(k)} := \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \text{col}(V_k), \\ \|\theta\|=1}} \langle \theta, \ell \rangle^2 = \ell^T V_k V_k^T \ell. \quad (1)$$

1.1 Assumptions

The following are statements I wish to hold in order for the later theory to follow, but I cannot yet prove:

1. There exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ — which may depend on dimension d but not on n — such that for all $h \in 1, \dots, k$ and all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|v_{hi}| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (2)$$

If v_k were eigenvectors of the grid—rather than of the neighborhood graph—the first item would hold with $c = 2^{d/2}$.

1.2 Main result.

Let $d = 3, 4$, choose $r = c(\log(n)/n)^{1/d}$ to satisfy the condition of Lemma 6, and let $k = n^{2d/(d+4)}$. Let

$$\phi_{LE} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T_{LE}^{(k)} \geq k + a\sqrt{2k + c^4 \left(k + \frac{k^2}{n}\right)} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. *There exists some number $c > 0$ constant in sample size n such that for any $\epsilon \geq cn^{-2/(4+d)}$, we have*

$$R_\epsilon^{(t)}(\phi_{LE}; \mathcal{H}_1^d(L)) \leq \frac{1}{a^2}.$$

when $d = 3$ or 4 .

Proof.

□

1.3 Relating the eigenvectors to a grid.

For $\kappa = n^{1/d}$, let $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x} \in [\kappa]^d / \kappa\}$ be a grid over the unit cube. Consider the lattice graph $\text{Grid} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$, with vertices $\tilde{V} = \{v : v \in [\kappa]^d\}$, and edges $\tilde{E} = \left\{ (u, v) : \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}, \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\|_1 = 1 \right\}$, and write $\tilde{L} = \tilde{V}\Lambda\tilde{V}^T$ for the eigendecomposition of the associated Laplacian matrix.

We wish to exhibit a mapping $P : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{V}}$ such that the difference between the statistics $T_{LE}^{(k)} = \ell^T V_k V_k^T \ell$ and $\tilde{T}_{LE}^{(k)} = (P\ell)^T \tilde{V}_k \tilde{V}_k^T (P\ell)$ is small, which we accomplish through the use of optimal transport theory.

Lemma 1. Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. For some number $c_1 > 0$, dependent on $f+g$, d , and δ , but not n , with probability at least $1 - \delta$ there exists a mapping $T : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that

$$\|X - TX\|_\infty \leq c_1 \left(\frac{\log(n)}{n} \right)^{1/d} \quad (3)$$

If $r = c_1(\log n/n)^{1/d}$ and [3](#) holds, then letting $P(v)$ be the index of $T(x_v)$ on the grid graph, we have for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^V$,

$$(P\theta)^T \tilde{L} P \theta \leq \theta^T L \theta \leq (\log n)^{2+1/d} (P\theta)^T \tilde{L} P \theta. \quad (4)$$

1.4 Type I error

Under the null hypothesis, ℓ consists of n independent and identically distributed Rademacher random variables. Using this fact, we can bound the first two moments of T_{LE} under the null hypothesis.

Lemma 2. If $f = g$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{LE}^{(k)}) = k \quad (5)$$

and

$$\text{Var}(T_{LE}^{(k)}) \leq 2k + c_1^4 \left(k + \frac{2k^2}{n} \right) \quad (6)$$

Proof. Taking the expectation first, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(T_{LE}^{(k)}) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}((\ell^T v_j)^2) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\ell_i \ell_{i'}) v_{ji} v_{ji'} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n v_{ji}^2 = k. \end{aligned}$$

We defer the proof of [\(6\)](#) until the following subsection, where we show it in greater generality. □

1.5 Type II error.

Write $\ell =: \theta^* + w$, where $\theta^* = (\theta_i^*)$ is defined by

$$\theta_i^* = \frac{f(x_i) - g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)}$$

and $w = (w_i)$ therefore consists of n independent (although not identically distributed) zero-mean noise terms. We expand

$$\ell^T V_k V_k^T \ell = (\theta^*)^T V_k V_k^T \theta^* + w^T V_k V_k^T w + 2w^T V_k V_k^T \theta^*, \quad (7)$$

and focus at first our attention on the second term in [\(7\)](#).

Lemma 3. Assuming [\(2\)](#) holds,

$$\mathbb{E}(w^T V_k V_k^T w) \geq k - \frac{kc_1^2 \delta^2(f, g)}{p_{\min}^2} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\text{Var}(T_{LE}^{(k)}) \leq 2k + c_1^4 \left(k + \frac{2k^2}{n} \right) \quad (9)$$

Proof. We note that, conditional on X ,

$$w_i = \begin{cases} 2 \frac{g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)}, & \text{with probability } \frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \\ -2 \frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)}, & \text{with probability } \frac{g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \end{cases}$$

We first show (8). Expanding the quadratic form as a double sum, and using the law of iterated expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(w^T V_k V_k w) &= \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}(w_i w_j v_{hi} v_{hj}) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(w_i w_j | x_i, x_j) v_{hi} v_{hj}\right) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | x_i) v_{hi}^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | x_i)$ can be directly computed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | x_i) &= 4 \left(\frac{g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \right)^2 \frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} + 4 \left(\frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \right)^2 \frac{g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)} \\ &= 4 \frac{f(x_i)g(x_i)(f(x_i) + g(x_i))}{(f(x_i) + g(x_i))^3} \\ &= 4 \frac{f(x_i)g(x_i)}{(f(x_i) + g(x_i))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

and plugging this back into (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(w^T V_k V_k w) &= \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(4 \frac{f(x_i)g(x_i)}{(f(x_i) + g(x_i))^2} v_{hi}^2\right) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(v_{hi}^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{f(x_i) - g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)}\right)^2\right)\right) \\ &\geq k - \frac{c^2}{n} \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{f(x_i) - g(x_i)}{f(x_i) + g(x_i)}\right)^2 \\ &\geq k - \frac{kc_1^2 \delta^2(f, g)}{p_{\min}^2} \end{aligned}$$

and (8) is shown.

We now show (9). It will be helpful to note that for all $i, i', j, j' \in [n]$,

$$\text{Cov}(w_i w_j, w_{i'} w_{j'} | X) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \{i, i', j, j'\} \text{ has four distinct elements} \\ 0, & \text{if } \{i, i', j, j'\} \text{ has three distinct elements} \\ 0, & \text{if } \{i, i', j, j'\} \text{ has two distinct elements and } i = j \\ \mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | X) \mathbb{E}(w_j^2 | X), & \text{if } \{i, i', j, j'\} \text{ has two distinct elements and } i \neq j \\ \text{Var}(w_i^4 | X), & \text{if } \{i, i', j, j'\} \text{ has one distinct element.} \end{cases}$$

and additionally

$$\mathbb{E}(w_i w_j | X) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ E(w_i^2 | X), & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Then, by the law of total covariance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(w^T V_k V_k^T w) &= \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i,i'=1}^n \sum_{j,j'=1}^n \text{Cov}(w_i w_j v_{hi} v_{hj}, w_{i'} w_{j'} v_{h'i'} v_{h'j'}) \\ &= \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i,i'=1}^n \sum_{j,j'=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(v_{hi} v_{hj} v_{h'i'} v_{h'j'} \text{Cov}(w_i w_j, w_{i'} w_{j'} | X) \right) + \\ &\quad \text{Cov} \left(v_{hi} v_{hj} \mathbb{E}(w_i w_j | X), v_{h'i'} v_{h'j'} \mathbb{E}(w_{i'} w_{j'} | X) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i \neq j}^n \mathbb{E} \left(v_{hi} v_{hj} v_{h'i} v_{h'j} \mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | X) \mathbb{E}(w_j^2 | X) \right) + \\ &\quad \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(v_{hi}^2 v_{h'i}^2 \text{Var}(w_i^4 | X) \right) + \\ &\quad \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i,i'=1}^n \text{Cov} \left(v_{hi}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | X), v_{h'i'}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_{i'}^2 | X) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the upper bound $\mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | X), \mathbb{E}(w_j^2 | X) \leq 1$, we can bound the first term

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i \neq j}^n \mathbb{E} \left(v_{hi} v_{hj} v_{h'i} v_{h'j} \mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | X) \mathbb{E}(w_j^2 | X) \right) &\leq \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i \neq j}^n \mathbb{E} \left(v_{hi} v_{hj} v_{h'i} v_{h'j} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_{h'i} v_{hi} \sum_{j=1}^n v_{h'j} v_{hj} \right) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^k 1 = k. \end{aligned}$$

To bound the second term, we note that $\text{Var}(w_i^4 | X) \leq 1$, and along with (2) this yields

$$\sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(v_{hi}^2 v_{h'i}^2 \text{Var}(w_i^4 | X) \right) \leq \sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_1^4}{n^2} = \frac{c_1^4 k^2}{n}.$$

Finally, to bound the third term we note that if $h \neq h'$ and $i \neq i'$, $\text{Cov}(v_{hi}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_i^2 | X), v_{h'i'}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_{i'}^2 | X)) = 0$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h'=1}^k \sum_{i,i'=1}^n \text{Cov}(v_{hi}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_i^2|X), v_{h'i'}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_{i'}^2|X)) &= \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(v_{hi}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_i^2|X), v_{h'i'}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_{i'}^2|X)) + \\
&\quad \sum_{h \neq h'}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov}(v_{hi}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_i^2|X), v_{h'i}^2 \mathbb{E}(w_i^2|X)) \\
&\leq \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{c_1^4}{n^2} + \sum_{h \neq h'}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_1^4}{n^2} \\
&= c_1^4 k + c_1^4 \frac{k^2}{n},
\end{aligned}$$

and putting the pieces together yields (9). \square

Now, we turn our attention to the third term of (7).

Lemma 4.

$$\mathbb{E}(w^T V_k V_k^T \theta^*) = 0 \quad (11)$$

and assuming (2) holds,

$$\text{Var}(w^T V_k V_k^T \theta^*) \leq k \frac{c_1^2 \delta^2(f, g)}{p_{\min}^2}$$

Finally, we examine the first term in (7). We will need the following result.

Lemma 5. For any $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists a number $c_2 > 0$ depending only on d and δ such that, if $r \geq c_2(\log n/n)^{1/d}$, then

$$\|D\theta^*\|^2 \leq \frac{16L^4}{p_{\min}^2} n^2 r^{d+2}$$

and

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{4k^{2/d}}{\pi^2 n^{2/d}}$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

Lemma 6. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists a number $c_2 > 0$ constant in sample size such that if $r \geq c_2(\log n/n)^{1/d}$,

$$(\theta^*)^T V_k V_k^T \theta^* \geq n(\delta(f, g))^2 - \frac{n^2 r^{d+2} n^{2/d}}{k^{2/d}}$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

Proof. Letting $z = D\theta^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta^*)^T V_k V_k^T \theta^* &= \|\theta^*\|^2 - (\theta^*)^T (I - V_k V_k^T) \theta^* \\
&= \|\theta^*\|^2 - z^T (D^\dagger)^T (I - V_k V_k^T) D^\dagger z \\
&\geq \|\theta^*\|^2 - z^T z \lambda_{\max}((D^\dagger)^T (I - V_k V_k^T) D^\dagger) \\
&\geq \|\theta^*\|^2 - \frac{z^T z}{\lambda_k}
\end{aligned}$$

Examining $\|\theta^*\|^2$, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\theta^*\|^2) = n\delta^2(f, g) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(\|\theta^*\|^2) \leq n\delta^2(f, g)$$

Turning to the second term, we have given our choice of r , along with the fact $f - g \in \mathcal{H}_1^d(2L)$,

$$z^T z = \|D\theta^\star\|^2 \leq \frac{16L^4}{p_{\min}^2} n^2 r^{d+2}$$

and additionally

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{4k^{2/d}}{\pi^2 n^{2/d}},$$

each with probability $1 - \delta$. □