

# Notes for Week 3/6/20 - 3/12/20

Alden Green

March 12, 2020

Suppose we observe independent design points  $X = x_1, \dots, x_n \sim P$  i.i.d – where we assume  $P$  has density  $p$  which is supported on  $[0, 1]^d$  – and responses

$$y_i = f(x_i) + \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, 1). \quad (1)$$

Our goal is to test

$$\mathbf{H}_0 : f = 0, \text{ vs. } \mathbf{H}_a : f \neq 0.$$

We will use as our test statistic the empirical norm of a Laplacian smoothing estimator. Let  $G = (X, E)$  be a graph formed over the design points  $X$ , the Laplacian smoothing estimator  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}(G) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}(G) = \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta_i)^2 + \rho \theta^T L_G \theta \quad (2)$$

i.e.  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}(G) = (I + \rho L_G)^{-1} y$ ; here  $\rho > 0$  is a tuning parameter controlling how much shrinkage the estimator performs. Then our Laplacian smoothing test statistic will simply be

$$T_{\text{LS}}(G) := \|\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}(G)\|_n^2. \quad (3)$$

with the corresponding test  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G) := \mathbf{1}\{T_{\text{LS}}(G) \geq \tau(b)\}$ , where  $b > 1$  is a user specified hyperparameter which controls the level of Type I and Type II error the user is willing to tolerate, and  $\tau$  is a function of  $b$  (and also, implicitly, of  $G$ ) to be specified later.

Let  $G_{n,r}$  be the random geometric graph of radius  $r$ , i.e  $G_{n,r} = (X, E_{n,r})$  where  $E_{n,r} \subseteq X \times X$  contains the edge  $e(x_i, x_j) \in E_{n,r}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{1}(\|x_i - x_j\|_2 \leq r)$ . When  $f \in H^1(\mathcal{X}; L)$  for  $d = 1, 2$  or  $3$ , and the density  $p$  satisfies typical regularity conditions, the test  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G_{n,r})$  achieves minimax optimal testing rates.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose we observe samples  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$  according to the model (1). Let  $L > 0$  and  $b \geq 1$  be fixed constants, and  $d = 1, 2$  or  $3$ . Suppose that  $P$  is an absolutely continuous probability measure over  $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]^d$  with density function  $p(x)$  bounded away from zero and infinity,*

$$0 < p_{\min} < p(x) < p_{\max} < \infty, \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

*and the test  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G_{n,r})$  is performed with parameter choices*

$$c \frac{(\log n)^{p_d}}{n^{1/d}} \leq r(n) \leq n^{-4/((4+d)(2+d))}, \quad \rho = \lambda_{\kappa}^{-1}, \quad \kappa = n^{2d/(4+d)}$$

$$\tau(b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^2 + \frac{4b}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^4}$$

for  $c$  a constant that depends only on  $\mathcal{X}, p_{\min}$  and  $p_{\max}$ . Then the following statements holds for every  $n$  sufficiently large: there exists constants  $c_1, c_2$  which do not depend on  $n, b$  or  $R$  such that for every  $\epsilon \geq 0$  satisfying

$$\epsilon^2 \geq c_1^2 \cdot b^2 \cdot L^2 \cdot n^{-4/(4+d)} \quad (4)$$

the worst-case risk is upper bounded

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\phi_{\text{spec}}(G_{n,r}); H^1(\mathcal{X}; L)) \leq \frac{c_2}{b}. \quad (5)$$

## 1 Fixed Graph Analysis

As usual, our analysis will proceed by showing that for any function  $f$ , there exists a set  $E_f$  satisfying  $\mathbb{P}(E_f) \geq 1 - \|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2$ , such that conditional on  $X = x$  for any  $x \in E_f$  our test has non-trivial power. This latter step amounts to analyzing the behavior of our test in the fixed graph setting. Formally, suppose we observe fixed design points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and random responses

$$y_i = \beta_i + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, 1) \quad (6)$$

In the following Lemma, we bound the Type I and Type II error of  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G)$ . For convenience, we denote  $S_\rho = (I + \rho L)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Fix  $\rho > 0$ . Suppose we observe data according to model (6), and perform the test  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G)$  with threshold

$$\tau(b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^2 + \frac{b}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^4}.$$

1. **Type I error:** Under the null hypothesis  $\beta = \beta_0 = 0$ , the Type I error of  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G)$  is upper bounded,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta_0} [\phi_{\text{LS}}(G)] \leq \frac{1}{b^2}$$

2. **Type II error:** For any  $b \geq 1$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2 \geq \frac{2b}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^4} \quad (7)$$

the Type II error of  $\phi_{\text{LS}}(G)$  is upper bounded,

$$\mathbb{E}_\beta [1 - \phi_{\text{LS}}(G)] \leq \frac{8}{b\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^4 \right)^{-1/2} + \frac{2}{b^2}. \quad (8)$$

In particular, there exist universal constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that if

$$\frac{c_1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^2 \geq c_2 \frac{\rho \beta^T L \beta}{n} + \frac{4b}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho \lambda_k} \right)^4}, \quad (9)$$

then (7) and thus (8) follow.

## 2 Analysis

### 2.1 Fixed Graph Testing

Decomposing  $y = \beta + \varepsilon$ , the Laplacian smoothing test statistic may be written as

$$T_{\text{LS}}(G) = \frac{1}{n}(\beta + \varepsilon)^T S_\rho^2 (\beta + \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta + 2\beta^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon + \varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon \right)$$

Writing the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian  $L = V\Lambda V^T$  – where  $V$  is orthonormal and  $\Lambda$  diagonal – and invoking the rotational invariance of the Gaussian distribution, we conclude that

$$\varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho\lambda_k} \right)^2 \varepsilon_k^2 \quad (10)$$

This equality (in distribution) will be useful for computing both the mean and variance of  $T_{\text{LS}}(G)$ .

**Mean of  $T_{\text{LS}}(G)$ .** Noting that  $\varepsilon$  is mean-zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T_{\text{LS}}(G)] &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon] \right) \\ &= \|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 + \rho\lambda_k)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

**Variance of  $T_{\text{LS}}(G)$ .** Note that since  $\beta^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon$  is symmetric about zero,

$$\text{Cov}[\beta^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon, \varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon] = 0,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[T_{\text{LS}}(G)] &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( 4\text{Var}[\beta^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon] + \text{Var}[\varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( 4\beta^T S_\rho^4 \beta + \text{Var}[\varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon] \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \left( 4\beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta + \text{Var}[\varepsilon^T S_\rho^2 \varepsilon] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( 4\beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho\lambda_k} \right)^4 \text{Var}[\varepsilon_k^2] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( 4\beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho\lambda_k} \right)^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality in previous display follows from  $\lambda_{\min}(S_\rho) \geq 1$ .

For convenience, we introduce the notation

$$t(b) := \frac{b}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \rho\lambda_k} \right)^4}.$$

**Type I error.** Using Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{\beta=0}(T_{\text{LS}}(G) \geq \tau(b)) &= \mathbb{P}_{\beta=0}(T_{\text{LS}}(G) - \mathbb{E}[T_{\text{LS}}(G)] \geq t(b)) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}_{\beta=0}(|T_{\text{LS}}(G) - \mathbb{E}[T_{\text{LS}}(G)]| \geq t(b)) \\
&\leq \frac{\text{Var}_{\beta=0}[T_{\text{LS}}(G)]}{[t(b)]^2} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{b^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Type II error.** We note that (11) along with assumption (7) implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{\text{LS}}(G)] - \tau(b) = \|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2 - t(b) \geq t(b).$$

Again applying Chebyshev's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_\beta(T_{\text{LS}}(G) < \tau(b)) &= \mathbb{P}_\beta(T_{\text{LS}}(G) - \mathbb{E}_\beta[T_{\text{LS}}(G)] < t(b) - \|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}_\beta(|T_{\text{LS}}(G) - \mathbb{E}_\beta[T_{\text{LS}}(G)]| > \frac{1}{2}\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2) \\
&\leq 4 \frac{\text{Var}_\beta(T_{\text{LS}}(G))}{\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^4} \\
&\leq \frac{16\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2/n + 8 \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1+\rho\lambda_k}\right)^4 / n^2}{\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^4}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2 \geq 2t(b)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^2} \leq \frac{1}{2nt(b)}, \quad \frac{1}{n^2\|S_\rho(\beta)\|_n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1+\rho\lambda_k}\right)^4 \leq \frac{1}{4b^2}.$$

and (8) follows.

**(9) implies (7).** Note that  $S_\rho$  lets constant signals pass through unfiltered, i.e. decomposing  $\beta = a_1 \tilde{1} + a_2 \beta_\perp$  where  $\tilde{1} = n^{-1/2}(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta = a_1^2 + a_2^2 \beta_\perp^T S_\rho^2 \beta_\perp \quad (12)$$

We use the following crude but sufficient lower bound on the quadratic form,

$$\beta_\perp^T S_\rho^2 \beta_\perp = (\beta_\perp + (S_\rho - I)\beta_\perp)^T (\beta_\perp + (S_\rho - I)\beta_\perp) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \beta_\perp^T \beta_\perp - (4\sqrt{2} - 1) \left(\beta^T (S_\rho - I)^T (S_\rho - I) \beta\right).$$

Then the derivations in (Sadhanala) show

$$\beta^T (S_\rho - I)^T (S_\rho - I) \beta \leq \frac{\rho}{4} \beta^T L \beta$$

and plugging back in to (12), we conclude that

$$\beta^T S_\rho^2 \beta \geq c_1 \beta^T \beta - c_2 \rho \beta^T L \beta$$

for  $c_1 = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2}$  and  $c_2 = \sqrt{2} - 1/4$ , which suffices to prove the claim.