## Notes for Week 2/8/19 - 2/15/19

## Alden Green

## February 15, 2019

Consider distributions  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  supported on  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  which are absolutely continuous with density functions f and g, respectively. For fixed  $t \geq 0$ , Let  $\mathbf{Z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_t)$ , where for  $i = 1, \ldots, t, z_i \sim \frac{\mathbb{P} + \mathbb{Q}}{2}$  are independent. Given  $\mathbf{Z}$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, t$  let

$$\ell_i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ with probability } \frac{f(z_i)}{f(z_i) + g(z_i)} \\ -1 \text{ with probability } \frac{g(z_i)}{f(z_i) + g(z_i)} \end{cases}$$

be conditional independent labels, and write

$$1_X = \begin{cases} 1, \ l_i = 1 \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad 1_Y = \begin{cases} 1, \ l_i = -1 \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will write  $\mathbf{X}=\{x_1,\ldots,x_{N_1}\}:=\{z_i:\ell_i=1\}$  and similarly  $\mathbf{Y}=\{y_1,\ldots,y_{N_2}\}:=\{y_i:\ell_i=-1\}$ , where  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are random.

Our statistical goal is hypothesis testing: that is, we wish to construct a test function  $\phi$  which differentiates between

$$\mathbb{H}_0: f=g \text{ and } \mathbb{H}_1: f\neq g.$$

For a given function class  $\mathcal{H}$ , some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and test function  $\phi$  a Borel measurable function of the data with range  $\{0,1\}$ , we evaluate the quality of the test using worst-case risk

$$R_{\epsilon}^{(t)}(\phi; \mathcal{H}) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{f, f}^{(t)}(\phi) + \sup_{\substack{f, g \in \mathcal{H} \\ \delta(f, g) \ge \epsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{f, g}^{(t)}(1 - \phi)$$

**Total variation test.** As in [1], define the K-NN graph  $G_K = (V, E_K)$  to have vertex set  $V = \{1, \ldots, t\}$  and edge set  $E_K$  which contains the pair (i, j) if and only if  $x_i$  is among the K-nearest neighbors (with respect to Euclidean distance) of  $x_j$ , or vice versa. Let  $D_K$  denote the incidence matrix of  $G_K$ .

Define the kNN-total variation test statistic to be

$$T_{TV} = \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^t: \\ \|D_k \theta\|_1 \le C_{n,k}}} \left( \sum_{i:(1_X)_i = 1} \theta_i - \sum_{j:(1_Y)_j = 1} \theta_j \right)$$
(1)

Hereafter, take  $\mathcal{D} = [0, 1]^d$ , and consider

$$\mathcal{H}_{lip}(L) = \left\{ f : [0,1]^d \to \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_{\mathcal{D}} f = 1, f \text{ $L$-piecewise Lipschitz, bounded above and below} \right\}$$

where

**Definition 0.1** (Piecewise Lipschitz). A function f is L-piecewise lipschitz over  $[0,1]^d$  if there exists a set  $S \subset [0,1]^d$  such that

- (a)  $\nu(S) = 0$
- (b) There exist  $C_{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $\epsilon_0$  such that  $\mu((\mathcal{S}_{\epsilon} \cup (\partial \mathcal{D})_{\epsilon}) \cap [0,1]^d) \leq C_{\mathcal{S}}\epsilon$  for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ .
- (c) For any z, z' in the same connected component of  $[0, 1]^d \setminus (\mathcal{S}_{\epsilon} \cup (\partial \mathcal{D})_{\epsilon})$ ,

$$|g(z) - g(z')|_2 \le L ||z - z'||_2$$

and

**Definition 0.2** (Bounded above and below). A function  $f: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above and below if there exists  $p_{\min}, p_{\max}$  such that

$$0 < p_{\min} < f(x) < p_{\max} < \infty \tag{} \forall x \in \mathcal{D}$$

Conjecture 1. For  $\tau = ????$  and  $K \approx \log^{1+2r}(n)$  for some  $r \geq 0$ , the test  $\phi_{TV} = \{T_{TV} \geq \tau\}$  has worst-case risk

$$R_{\epsilon}^{(t)}(\mathcal{H}_{lip}(L)) \le c_1/a^2$$

whenever  $\epsilon \geq c_2 a \log^{\alpha} mm^{-1/d}$  where  $\alpha = 3r + 5/2 + (2r+1)/d$  and  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants which depend only on (d, L).

Proof. Write

$$\left(\sum_{i:(1_X)_i=1} \theta_i - \sum_{j:(1_Y)_j=1} \theta_j\right) = \langle \theta, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle$$

and let

$$\widehat{\theta} \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}} \left\{ \langle \theta, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle : \|D_K \theta\|_1 \le C_{n,k} \right\}$$

satisfy  $T_{TV} = \langle \widehat{\theta}, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, 2m$ , introduce  $\theta^*$  defined by

$$(\theta^{\star})_i = \left(\frac{f(z_i) - g(z_i)}{f(z_i) + g(z_i)}\right)$$

We have

$$T_{TV} = \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^*, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle + \langle \theta^*, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\theta} = \frac{\theta^{\star}}{\|D\theta^{\star}\|_{1}}.$$

We bound the first term, dividing into two cases.

Case 1: First, suppose 
$$\left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^*, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle \right| \ge \left\| \theta - \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_2^2$$
.

We begin by employing a basic inequality argument. Since  $\widetilde{\theta}$  is feasible and  $\widehat{\theta}$  optimal for (1), we have

$$\langle \widehat{\theta}, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle \ge \langle \widetilde{\theta}, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle$$

which, letting  $w = (1_X - 1_Y) - \theta^*$ , means

$$\langle \widehat{\theta}, \theta^* \rangle \ge \langle \widetilde{\theta}, \theta^* \rangle - \langle \widehat{\theta} - \widetilde{\theta}, w \rangle$$
 (2)

As a result, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, 1_{X} - 1_{Y} \rangle \right| &\leq \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, \theta^{\star} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, w \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \langle \widetilde{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, \theta^{\star} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \widetilde{\theta}, w \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, w \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \langle \widetilde{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, \theta^{\star} \rangle \right| + 2 \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \widetilde{\theta}, w \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \widetilde{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, w \rangle \right| \end{split}$$

where (i) follows from (2).

w are mean-zero, bounded random variables, and are therefore subgaussian with parameter 2. Then, from [1], we have

**Lemma 1** (Approximate restatement of [1]).

$$\left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, w \rangle \right| \lesssim \sqrt{K \log n} \left[ \left\| D_K \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_1 + \left\| D_K \widehat{\theta} \right\| \right] + \sqrt{K} \cdot \left( \left\| \widehat{\theta} - \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_2 \right)$$
 (3)

with probability at least

$$\Omega\left(1 - \frac{n}{K}\exp\left(-K\right) - n\exp(-K)\right)$$

Condition on the event of (3) holding. As a result, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, 1_{X} - 1_{Y} \rangle \right| &\lesssim \left| \langle \widetilde{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, \theta^{\star} \rangle \right| + \sqrt{K \log n} \left[ \left\| D_{K} \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_{1} + \left\| D_{K} \widehat{\theta} \right\| \right] + \sqrt{K} \cdot \left( \left\| \widehat{\theta} - \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_{2} \right) \\ &\leq \left| \langle \widetilde{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, \theta^{\star} \rangle \right| + \sqrt{K \log n} \left[ \left\| D_{K} \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_{1} + \left\| D_{K} \widehat{\theta} \right\| \right] + \sqrt{K} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, 1_{X} - 1_{Y} \rangle \right|} \right) \end{split}$$

which, along with the inequality  $ab - b^2/4 \le a^2$ , implies

$$\left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, 1_{X} - 1_{Y} \rangle \right| \leq \left| \langle \widetilde{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, \theta^{\star} \rangle \right| + \sqrt{K \log n} \left[ \left\| D_{K} \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_{1} + \left\| D_{K} \widehat{\theta} \right\| \right] + K$$

Continue from here.

Case 2: Otherwise, we may assume  $\left| \langle \widehat{\theta} - \theta^{\star}, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle \right| \leq \left\| \theta - \widetilde{\theta} \right\|_2^2$ .

To upper bound  $\left\|\theta - \widetilde{\theta}\right\|_2^2$ , we use the relation

$$\langle \widehat{\theta}, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle \le \langle \widetilde{\theta}, 1_X - 1_Y \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\left\|\widehat{\theta} - (1_X - 1_Y)\right\|_2^2 \le \left\|\widetilde{\theta} - (1_X - 1_Y)\right\|_2^2 + \left(\left\|\widehat{\theta}\right\|_2^2 - \left\|\widetilde{\theta}\right\|_2^2\right)$$

Continue from here using standard basic inequality + Poincare bound on the second term.

## REFERENCES

[1] Oscar Hernan Madrid Padilla, James Sharpnack, Yanzhen Chen, and Daniela M Witten. Adaptive non-parametric regression with the k-nn fused lasso.  $arXiv\ preprint\ arXiv:1807.11641$ , 2018.