

Laplacian smooth test statistic for two-sample testing

Alden Green

December 6, 2018

1 Goals

- Find an asymptotic null distribution.

2 Setup

We observe data $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim P$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_m \sim Q$. Our goal is to test the hypothesis $H_0 : P = Q$ vs. the alternative $H_a : P \neq Q$.

Let $Z = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$. Define 1_X to be the $n + m$ length indicator vector for X

$$1_X[i] = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in 0, 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and similarly for 1_Y

$$1_Y[j] = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in n + 1, \dots, m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and define $a = \frac{1_X}{m} - \frac{1_Y}{n}$.

Form an $(n + m) \times (n + m)$ similarity matrix A , where $A_{ij} = K(Z_i, Z_j)$ for some unspecified choice of K , and take $L = D - A$ to be Laplacian matrix of A (where D is a diagonal matrix with $D_{ii} = \sum_{j \in [n+m]} A_{ij}$).

We are ready to define our test statistic.

$$T_2^2 = \left(\max_{\theta: \theta^T L \theta \leq 1} a^T \theta \right)^2$$

Spectral properties of L . Define the pseudo-inverse of L to be L^\dagger . In what follows, we will assume A defines a connected graph G . In this setting, it is well known that L has exactly one 0 eigenvalue, with corresponding eigenvector $\mathbf{1}$. Let P_{1^\perp} be the projection onto the linear subspace orthogonal to this eigenvector.

Poissonization. For $p \in (0, 1)$, draw $U_1, \dots, U_N \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. Then, draw $Z_i \sim P_{2U_i-1}$. Consider $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^N$ with $a_i = 2U_i - 1$. Let the null hypothesis be $H_0 : P_1 = P_2$ and the alternative be $H_a : P_1 \neq P_2$.

Distances between probability measures. For a function f , define its **Lipschitz norm** $\|f\|_L$ to be

$$\inf K : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq K \|x - y\|.$$

Define the **Wasserstein distance** between two measures μ and ν to be

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, \nu) := \sup \left\{ \left| \int h d\mu - \int h d\nu \right| : h \text{ Lipschitz, with } \|h\|_L \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If the measures μ and ν have corresponding cumulative distribution functions F_μ and F_ν then we can define the **Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance** to be

$$\|F_\mu - F_\nu\|_\infty := \sup_t |F_\mu(t) - F_\nu(t)|.$$

The following lemma allows us to translate from an upper bound on Wasserstein distance to Kolmogorov distance.

Lemma 1 (Wasserstein to Kolmogorov distance). For any probability measures μ, ν with corresponding cdfs F_μ and F_ν and any $\epsilon' > 0$, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, \nu) < \epsilon \implies \sup_t |F_\mu(t) - F_\nu(t)| \leq \epsilon'.$$

3 Related Work

(Bhattacharya 2018) defines a general notion of 2-sample graph-based test statistics

Definition 3.1.

$$T(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} 1(e_{ij} \in E)$$

and letting $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1_X - 1_Y)$, we can write

$$T(G) = a_0^T L a_0.$$

(Gretton 2012) considers the test statistic

$$T = a^T A a.$$

4 Conjectures

The following will be needed for Theorem 2.

Conjecture 1. There exists a sequence of scaling factors $(\rho_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that the spectral measure μ_n of $\rho_n L^\dagger$ converges weakly in probability

$$\mu_n(\rho_n L^\dagger) \xrightarrow{*} \nu_\infty.$$

where $V \sim \nu_\infty$ and $V_n \sim \mu_n$ are bounded almost surely for all n by some constant C .

Conjecture 2. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{n} (\{\rho_n L^\dagger\}^2)_{ii} \leq \epsilon\right) \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq N$.

5 Results

Central limit theorem for quadratic forms.

Theorem 1 (Chatterjee 08). Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be i.i.d random variables with $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = 1/2$. For some fixed real valued symmetric matrix $M = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, define

$$W = a^T M a.$$

with μ denoting the law of $(W - EW)/\sqrt{\text{Var}(W)}$.

Then, letting \mathcal{G} be the standard Gaussian measure

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, \mathcal{G}) \leq \left(\frac{\text{tr}(M^4)}{\text{tr}(M^2)^2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{5 \max_i (M_{ii})^2}{\text{tr}(M^2)}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

Analytic form for T_2^2 . The above result becomes obviously applicable thanks to the following expression for T_2^2 .

Lemma 2.

$$T_2^2 = a^T L^\dagger a$$

Asymptotic null distribution for T_2 .

Theorem 2. Denote the scaled version of the Laplacian smooth test statistic

$$W_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\text{tr}((L^\dagger)^2)}} (T_2^2 - 4\text{tr}(L^\dagger)).$$

If Conjectures 1 and 2 hold,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_t |\mathbb{P}(W_n \leq t) - \Phi(t)| = 0.$$

Proof. We will proceed by

1. Conditioning on the high-probability outcome that the Laplacian converges to a limiting object in the right sense.
2. Showing that, under such convergence of the Laplacian, both terms in Theorem 1 grow small with n .
3. Converting from Wasserstein distance to Kolmogorov distance.

Step 1. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Throughout, let P_Z denote the distribution of Z , and likewise P_a denote the distribution of a .

For $V_n \sim \nu_n(\rho_n L^\dagger)$, and $V \sim \nu_\infty$ let

$$A_n = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |EV_n^p - EV^p| \leq \epsilon \text{ for } p = 1, 2, 4 \right\} \cup \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{n} (\{\rho_n L^\dagger\}^2)_{ii} \leq \epsilon \right\}.$$

It is not hard to see that our Conjectures 1 and 2 imply A_n will eventually have high probability.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_n) &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |EV_n^p - EV^p| \leq \epsilon \right\}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{n} (\{\rho_n L^\dagger\}^2)_{ii} \leq \epsilon \right\}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\geq} 1 - 2\epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where (i) follows from Conjecture 2 (for the second term), and Conjecture 1 (for the first term).

Writing $W_n := W_n(z, a)$ to emphasize that it is a function of z and a , we have by Tonelli's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_t |\mathbb{P}(W_n \leq t) - \Phi(t)| &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \sup_t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{\{-1,1\}^N} 1(W_n(z, a) \leq t) dP_a \right) dP_z - \Phi(t) \right| \\
&= \sup_t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{\{-1,1\}^N} 1(W_n(z, a) \leq t) dP_a \right) - \Phi(t) dP_z \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sup_t \left| \left(\int_{\{-1,1\}^N} 1(W_n(z, a) \leq t) dP_a \right) - \Phi(t) \right| dP_z \\
&\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \int_{A_n} \sup_t \left| \left(\int_{\{-1,1\}^N} 1(W_n(z, a) \leq t) dP_a \right) - \Phi(t) \right| dP_z + 2\epsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where (i) follows from Tonelli's theorem and (ii) from (2).

Step 2. Denote as

$$F_{a|z}(z, t) := \left(\int_{\{-1,1\}^N} 1(W_n(z, a) \leq t) dP_a \right)$$

and note that for any z this defines a measure over the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, which we will call $\mu_{a|Z}(z)$.

We wish to upper bound $\mathcal{W}(\mu_{a|Z}(z), \mathcal{G})$. To do so, we will compute upper bounds for each present in (1). For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{tr}(\{L^\dagger\}^4)}{\text{tr}(\{L^\dagger\}^2)^2} &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\rho_n^4 \{L^\dagger\}^4)}{\frac{1}{n^2} \rho_n^4 \text{tr}(\{L^\dagger\}^2)^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}[V^4] + \epsilon}{\mathbb{E}[V^2]^2 - \epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\max_i (\{L^\dagger\}^2)_{ii}}{\text{tr}(\{L^\dagger\}^2)} &= \frac{\frac{\rho_n^2}{n} (\{L^\dagger\}^2)_{ii}}{\frac{\rho_n^2}{n} \text{tr}(\{L^\dagger\}^2)} \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\mathbb{E}[V^2] - \epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1 we therefore have

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu_{a|Z}(z), \mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}[V^4] + \epsilon}{\mathbb{E}[V^2]^2 - \epsilon} + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\mathbb{E}[V^2] - \epsilon} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{4}$$

Step 3. Note that the right hand side of (4) converges to 0 with ϵ . Therefore, for any ϵ sufficiently small, by (4) and Lemma 1 we have

$$\|F_{Z|a} - \Phi\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon'.$$

Combined with (3) we have

$$\sup_t |\mathbb{P}((W_n \leq t) - \Phi(t))| \leq 2\epsilon + \epsilon'.$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

□

Asymptotic mean. Under the null hypothesis of the **related generative model**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T^2] &= \mathbb{E}[a^T L^\dagger a] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[a^T L^\dagger a \mid Z_1^{n+m}]] \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j] L_{ij}^\dagger\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{p(1-p)} L_{ii}^\dagger\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2 p(1-p)} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} L_{ii}^\dagger\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2 p(1-p)} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(L^\dagger)] \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where (i) comes from the independence of Z and a under H_0 .

Asymptotic variance. We begin by computing $\mathbb{E}[(T^2)^2]$. We will need the following terms

$$\begin{aligned} S_4 &:= \sum_{i,k} L_{ii}^\dagger L_{kk}^\dagger \\ S_5 &:= \sum_{i,j} L_{ij}^\dagger L_{ij}^\dagger \\ S_6 &:= \sum_i L_{ii}^\dagger L_{ii}^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[(T^2)^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i,j,k,l} L_{ij}^\dagger L_{kl}^\dagger \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j a_k a_l]\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N^2 p^2 (1-p)^2} (S_4 + 2S_5 - 3S_6) + \frac{p^3 + (1-p)^3}{N^4 p^3 (1-p)^3} S_6\right] \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{1}{N^4 p^2 (1-p)^2} \left(\mathbb{E}[tr(L^\dagger)]^2 + 2\mathbb{E}[tr(L^\dagger L^\dagger)] - 3\mathbb{E}[S_6]\right) + \frac{p^3 + (1-p)^3}{N^4 p^3 (1-p)^3} \mathbb{E}[S_6]
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from Lemma 3. Along with (5) we therefore obtain

$$\text{Var}(T^2) = \frac{1}{N^4 p^2 (1-p)^2} (2\mathbb{E}[tr(L^\dagger L^\dagger)] - 3\mathbb{E}[S_6]) + \frac{p^3 + (1-p)^3}{N^4 p^3 (1-p)^3} \mathbb{E}[S_6]$$

Lemma 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_4 &= tr(L^\dagger)^2 \\
S_5 &= tr(L^\dagger L^\dagger)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_4 &= \sum_{i,k} L_{ii}^\dagger L_{kk}^\dagger \\
&= \left(\sum_k L_{kk}^\dagger\right) \left(\sum_i L_{ii}^\dagger\right) \\
&= tr(L^\dagger)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_5 &:= \sum_{i,j} L_{ij}^\dagger L_{ij}^\dagger \\
&= \|L^\dagger\|_F^2 = tr(L^\dagger L^\dagger).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. Write the Laplacian in terms of its spectral decomposition

$$L = \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_k u_k u_k^T$$

where $\lambda_1 = 0$. Then, if $\max_{k,i} u_k[i] \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{N}}$, we have

$$S_6 \leq C^4 \frac{tr(L^\dagger)^2}{N}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{ii}^\dagger &= \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k (u_k[i])^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k \frac{C^2}{N} \\
&= C^2 \frac{\text{tr}(L^\dagger)}{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
S_6 &= \sum_i L_{ii}^\dagger L_{ii}^\dagger \\
&\leq \sum_i C^4 \frac{\text{tr}(L^\dagger)^2}{N^2} \\
&= C^4 \frac{\text{tr}(L^\dagger)^2}{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. Assume Conjectures 1, ??, and ?? hold. Then

$$\rho_n n^{3/2} (T_2^2) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \frac{2}{p^2(1-p)^2} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\infty} V^2)$$

Proof. Denote $W(n) = \rho_n n^{3/2} (T_2^2)$ and note that

$$W(n) = \frac{\rho_n}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N L_{ij}^\dagger a_i a_j \right).$$

By the independence of a_i and a_j , $\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mid a_j] = 0$ when $i \neq j$. For the diagonal terms, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{ii}^\dagger \frac{\rho_n}{\sqrt{n}} &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\text{tr}(L^\dagger) \rho_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&\stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{=} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\infty}(V) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from Conjecture ?? and Lemma 4. So, asymptotically, the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[L_{ij}^\dagger a_i a_j \mid a_j] = 0$ a.s.

The variance of $W(n)$ is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(W(n)) &= \frac{N^4 \rho_n^2}{N} \text{Var}(T_2^2) \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{\rho_n^2}{N p^2 (1-p)^2} (2\mathbb{E} [\text{tr}(L^\dagger L^\dagger)] - 3\mathbb{E} [S_6]) + \frac{\rho_n (p^3 + (1-p)^3)}{N p^3 (1-p)^3} \mathbb{E} [S_6] \\
&\stackrel{(ii)}{=} \frac{1}{p^2 (1-p)^2} (2\mathbb{E}_{v_\infty}(V^2)) + \mathcal{O}(\max_i (L_{ii}^\dagger)^2 \rho_n^2) \\
&\stackrel{(iii)}{=} \frac{1}{p^2 (1-p)^2} (2\mathbb{E}_{v_\infty}(V^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from our calculation for asymptotic variance, (ii) follows from Conjecture 1 and the definition of S_6 and (iii) from Conjecture ??.

We compute

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^4}{n^2} \text{tr}((L^\dagger)^4) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{v_\infty}(V^4) = 0.$$

and roughly this should imply the fourth moment is asymptotically 0.

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\rho_n^2 (L_{ij}^\dagger)^2}{n p^2 (1-p)^2} \\
&= \frac{\rho_n^2}{n p^2 (1-p)^2} (L_i^\dagger)^T (L_i^\dagger) \\
&= \frac{\rho_n^2}{n p^2 (1-p)^2} (L^\dagger L^\dagger)_{ii} \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \frac{\rho_n^2}{n p^2 (1-p)^2} C^2 \frac{\text{tr}(L^\dagger L^\dagger)}{N} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1/n).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 of (de Jong 87), we therefore have

$$\rho_n n^{3/2} (T_2^2) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \frac{2}{p^2 (1-p)^2} \mathbb{E}_{v_\infty} V^2).$$

□

6 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 2. Take the Lagrangian

$$L(\theta, \lambda) = -a^T \theta + \lambda \theta^T L \theta$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^* &= \sqrt{a^T L^\dagger a} \\ \theta^* &= \frac{a^T L^\dagger}{\lambda^*}\end{aligned}$$

The KKT conditions tell us that if

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}(\lambda^*, \theta^*) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\theta^{*\top} L \theta^* = 1 \quad (7)$$

$$\lambda^* \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

then θ^* is a primal solution.

We can write

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = -a^T + \lambda \theta^T L$$

and plugging in our choice for θ^* yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}(\lambda^*, \theta^*) &= -a^T + \lambda^* a^T L^\dagger L \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} -a^T + a^T P_{1^\perp} \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} -a^T + a^T = 0.\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the already stated fact that L has one 0 eigenvalue with constant eigenvector, and (ii) from the fact that $a \perp \mathbf{1}$. As a result, (λ^*, θ^*) satisfy (6).

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{*\top} L \theta^* &= \frac{a^T L^\dagger L L^\dagger a}{\lambda^{*2}} \\ &= \frac{a^T L^\dagger a}{\lambda^{*2}} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

satisfying (7).

Finally, because L^\dagger is a positive-definite matrix, for any vector v $v^T L^\dagger v > 0$, and therefore $\lambda^* \geq 0$, verifying (8).

As a result, θ^* minimizes $a^T \theta$ subject to the given constraint. Plugging in our expression for θ^* yields

$$T = a^T \theta^* = \frac{a^T L^\dagger a}{\lambda^*} = \sqrt{a^T L^\dagger a}.$$

□