

Notes for the week of 3/13/19 - 3/17/19

Alden Green

March 19, 2019

For a given $\sigma > 0$ and some $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C} + B(0, \sigma)$ be the σ -expansion of \mathcal{C} . Fix $r > 0$. Let ν be the Lebesgue measure over Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , and $B(x, r)$ be a ball of radius r centered at x . Consider the *speedy r -ball walk*¹ over $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, defined by the following transition probability density function

$$\tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(x; \mathcal{S}) := \frac{\nu(\mathcal{S} \cap B(x, r))}{\nu(\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cap B(x, r))} \quad (x \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, \mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma))$$

where $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma)$ is the Borel σ -algebra of \mathcal{C}_σ .

Denote the stationary distribution for this Markov chain by $\pi_{\nu,r}$, which satisfies the relation²

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(x; \mathcal{S}) d\pi_{\nu,r}(x) = \pi_{\nu,r}(\mathcal{S}). \quad (\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma))$$

Letting the *local conductance* be given by

$$\ell_{\nu,r}(x) := \frac{\nu(\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cap B(x, r))}{\nu(B(x, r))} \quad (x \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma)$$

a bit of algebra verifies that

$$\pi_{\nu,r}(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{S}} \ell_{\nu,r}(x)}{\int_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} \ell_{\nu,r}(x)}. \quad (\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma))$$

We next introduce the *ergodic flow*, $\tilde{Q}_{\nu,r}$, defined by

$$\tilde{Q}_{\nu,r}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') := \int_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(x; \mathcal{S}') d\pi_{\nu,r}(x) \quad (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}' \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma))$$

and the *(continuous) conductance function*

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\nu,r}(t) := \min_{\substack{\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma) \\ 0 < \pi_{\nu,r}(\mathcal{S}) \leq t}} \frac{\tilde{Q}_{\nu,r}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}_\sigma \setminus \mathcal{S})}{\pi_{\nu,r}(\mathcal{S})} \quad (0 < t \leq 1/2)$$

1 Conductance over \mathcal{C}_σ

An essential step in upper bounding the mixing time over $G_{n,r}[\mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathbf{X})]$ is lower bounding the conductance function $\tilde{\Phi}_{\nu,r}(t)$.

To do so, our main assumption will relate \mathcal{C}_σ to a convex set via a Lipschitz transformation g .

¹We call it 'speedy' because it only considers moves within \mathcal{C}_σ .

²As we will see, in this case the existence of a stationary distribution for the ball walk will be easily verifiable. In order to ensure uniqueness, we could consider only the *lazy* version of the ball walk. For the moment we ignore this technicality.

Assumption 1 (Embedding). Assume there exists $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ convex space, and biLipschitz measure preserving mapping $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\exists L_\Omega, L_K > 0 : \forall x, y \in K, \frac{1}{L_K} |x - y| \leq |g(x) - g(y)| \leq L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} |x - y|, \det(D_x g) = 1$$

such that

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = g(K).$$

Theorem 1 (Uniform continuous conductance function). Assume $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies Assumption 1 with respect to some convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and biLipschitz function g with Lipschitz constants $L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}, L_K < \infty$. Then, for any $0 < r < 2\sigma/\sqrt{d}$, the continuous conductance function of the speedy r -ball walk satisfies

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\nu, r}(t) \geq \frac{r}{2^{10} D_K L \sqrt{d}}.$$

2 Supporting theory.

Begin by recalling the isoperimetric inequality of [Dyer and Frieze 1991](#).

Theorem 2 (Isoperimetry of convex sets). Let (R_1, R_2, R_3) be a partition of a convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then,

$$\text{vol}(R_3) \geq 2 \frac{d(R_1, R_2)}{D_K} \min(\text{vol}(R_1), \text{vol}(R_2))$$

The following result is from [AbbasiYadkori18](#). It is an adaptation of Theorem 2 to hold in the case where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is not convex, but is a Lipschitz embedding of a convex set in the sense of Assumption 1.

Lemma 1 (Isoperimetry of Lipschitz embeddings of convex sets.). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy Assumption 1 with respect to some convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and Lipschitz function g with Lipschitz constant $L < \infty$. Then, for any partition $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3)$ of Ω ,

$$\text{vol}(\Omega_3) \geq 2 \frac{\text{dist}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)}{L D_K} \min(\text{vol}(\Omega_1), \text{vol}(\Omega_2))$$

Proof. For $\Omega_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, denote the preimage

$$R_i = \{x \in K : g(x) \in \Omega_i\}$$

For any $x \in R_1, y \in R_2$,

$$|x - y| \geq \frac{1}{L} |g(x) - g(y)| \geq \frac{1}{L} \text{dist}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2).$$

Since $x \in \Omega_1$ and $y \in \Omega_2$ were arbitrary, we have

$$\text{dist}(R_1, R_2) \geq \frac{1}{L} d(\Omega_1, \Omega_2).$$

By Theorem 2, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(R_3) &\geq 2 \frac{\text{dist}(R_1, R_2)}{D_K} \min(\text{vol}(R_1), \text{vol}(R_2)) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{D_K L} d(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \min(\text{vol}(R_1), \text{vol}(R_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and by the measure-preserving property of g , this implies

$$\text{vol}(\Omega_3) \geq \frac{2}{D_K L} d(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \min(\text{vol}(\Omega_1), \text{vol}(\Omega_2)).$$

□

Lemma 2 (One-step distributions). *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be such that*

$$|u - v| \leq \frac{\sqrt{tr}}{L_K L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} \sqrt{d}}$$

for some $0 < t < 4/9$. Then,

$$\left\| \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u; \cdot) - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v; \cdot) \right\|_{TV} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{300(9+8t)L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}^d L_K^d}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $S_1 \cup S_2 = \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be an arbitrary partition of \mathcal{C}_σ . We will show that

$$\tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u; S_1) - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v; S_1) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{300(9+8t)L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}^d L_K^d}$$

The following manipulations reduce the problem to that of lower bounding the volume of the intersection of the balls $B(u, r)$ and $B(v, r)$ within \mathcal{C}_σ . They come directly from the proof of Lemma 3.6 in Kannan 97.

$$\tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u; S_1) - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v; S_1) = 1 - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u; S_2) - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v; S_1)$$

Denote the intersection $I := B(u, r) \cap B(v, r)$. Then we have

$$\tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u; S_2) \geq \frac{1}{\nu(B(u, r))} \nu(S_2 \cap (B(u, r))) \geq \frac{1}{\nu(B(u, r))} \nu(S_2 \cap I)$$

with a symmetric inequality holding for $\tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v; S_1)$. As a result,

$$1 - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u; S_2) - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v; S_1) \geq \frac{1}{\nu_d r^d} \nu(\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cap I) \quad (1)$$

For shorthand, let $\tilde{\nu}(\mathcal{S}) = \nu(\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C}_\sigma)$. We proceed, making repeated use of Assumption 1 along with Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}\left(B(u, r) \cap B(v, r)\right) &\geq \nu\left(g\left(B\left(x, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}\right) \cap B\left(y, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}\right) \cap K\right)\right) \\ &= \nu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}\right) \cap B\left(y, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}\right) \cap K\right) \\ &\geq \min\left\{\nu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}\right) \cap K\right), \nu\left(B\left(y, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}\right) \cap K\right)\right\} \cdot \frac{3}{9+8t} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \min\left\{\tilde{\nu}\left(B\left(u, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K}\right)\right), \tilde{\nu}\left(B\left(v, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K}\right)\right)\right\} \cdot \frac{3}{9+8t} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\nu\left(B\left(u, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K}\right)\right), \nu\left(B\left(v, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K}\right)\right)\right\} \cdot \frac{1}{10(9+8t)} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where (2) follows from Lemma 8 and (3) from Lemma 9. Plugging (3) back into (1) – and noting that Lemma 9 implies $\ell \geq \frac{1}{30}$ – we have

$$\tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(u, A) - \tilde{P}_{\nu,r}(v, A) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{300(9+8t)L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}^d L_K^d}.$$

Since this holds for any $A \subset \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, it holds over the supremum over all such A , therefore the desired statement is shown. \square

Lemma 3 (Lipschitz balls and local conductance.). *Under the assumption(s) of Theorem 2, for $x, y \in K$ and $u = g(x), v = g(y)$ such that $|u - v| \leq \frac{rt}{\sqrt{d}}$*

- $B(u, r) \cap B(v, r) \cap \mathcal{C}_\sigma \supseteq g\left(B(x, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}) \cap B(y, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}) \cap K\right)$
- $|x - y| \leq \frac{tr}{L_K \sqrt{d}}.$
- $\nu\left(B(x, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}) \cap K\right) \geq \tilde{\nu}\left(B(u, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K})\right)$ and similarly $\nu\left(B(y, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}}) \cap K\right) \geq \tilde{\nu}\left(B(v, \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K})\right).$

Proof. See page 18 of handwritten notes. □

Lemma 4 (One-step distributions over convex sets.). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex set, and $u, v \in K$, be such that $|u - v| \leq \frac{tr}{\sqrt{d}}$ and $\ell(u), \ell(v) \leq \ell$. Then,*

$$\|P_{\nu, r}(x; \cdot) - P_{\nu, r}(y; \cdot)\|_{TV} \leq 1 + t - \ell$$

where $P_{\nu, r}(x; A) = \frac{\nu(B(x, r) \cap A)}{\nu(B(x, r) \cap K)}.$

3 Proof of (2)

This section is closely related to the results of Kannan 97. We will build, through several lemmas, to (2). Some preliminary notation: Fix $x, y \in K$, and denote $r' = r/L_K$. Define

$$C = B(x, r') \cap B(y, r')$$

the 'moons'

$$M_x = B(x, r') \setminus B(y, r'), \quad M_y = B(y, r') \setminus B(x, r')$$

and set

$$R_x = M_x \cap (x - y + C), \quad R_y = M_y \cap (y - x + C).$$

Lemma 5. *Let x, y be two points in K such that $|x - y| < \sqrt{tr'}/\sqrt{d}$. Let C' be the blowup of C around its center $\frac{1}{2}(x + y)$ by $\alpha^{-1} := \frac{4d+3t}{4d-t}$. Then*

$$M_x \setminus R_x \subseteq C'$$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $x = -y$, and let $z \in M_x \setminus R_x$. Write $z = \mu x + w$ where $w \perp x$. Then,

- $|z - x| \leq r'$, since $z \in B(x, r')$.
- $|z - y| > r'$, since $z \in B(y, r')$.
- $|z - 3x| > r'$, since $z \notin R_x$ means that either $|z - 3x| > r'$ or $|z - x| > r'$, and we know that second inequality will never hold.

As a result, we have that $\mu \in (0, 2)$.

Now, if $|\alpha z - y| \leq \delta$, this would imply $\alpha z \in C$, and therefore $z \in C'$. We do some straightforward, if tedious, algebra to obtain the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\alpha z - y|^2 &= |\alpha \mu x + \alpha w + x|^2 \\
&= (\alpha \mu + 1)^2 |x|^2 + \alpha^2 |w|^2 \\
&\leq (\alpha \mu + 1)^2 |x|^2 + \alpha^2 ((r')^2 - (\mu - 1)^2 |x|^2) \\
&= (\alpha \mu + 1)^2 \frac{t(r'^2)}{d} + \alpha^2 (\mu - 1)^2 \frac{t(r'^2)}{d} + \alpha^2 (r')^2 \\
&= (r')^2 \frac{t}{d} \left((4\frac{d}{t} + 3)\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1 \right) \\
&= (r')^2
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from our choice of α . □

Lemma 6. *Under the notation and conditions of Lemma 5, we have*

$$\text{vol}(K \cap (M_x \setminus R_x)) \leq (1 + \frac{8t}{3}) \text{vol}(K \cap C)$$

whenever $0 < t < 4/9$.

Proof. From Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{vol}(K \cap (M_x \setminus R_x)) &\leq \text{vol}(K \cap C') \\
&\leq \text{vol}((\alpha^{-1})(K \cap C)) \\
&= (1 + \frac{4t}{4d-t})^d \text{vol}(K \cap C) \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \left(1 + \frac{8td}{4d-t} \right) \text{vol}(K \cap C) \\
&\leq (1 + \frac{8t}{3}) \text{vol}(K \cap C)
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from a first order Taylor expansion of $(1+x)^d$ about $x=0$. □

The following lemma is taken directly from Kannan 97.

Lemma 7. *For every convex body K ,*

$$\text{vol}(K \cap C)^2 \geq \text{vol}(K \cap R_x) \text{vol}(K \cap R_y)$$

Lemma 8. *Under the notation and conditions of Lemma 5*

$$\text{vol}(K \cap C) \geq \frac{3}{9+8t} \min \{ \text{vol}(B(x, r') \cap K), \text{vol}(B(y, r')) \}$$

Proof. From Lemma 6, we have

$$\text{vol}(K \cap R_x) \geq \text{vol}(K \cap M_x) - (1 + \frac{8t}{3}) \text{vol}(K \cap C)$$

which, by the identity $B(x, r') = C \cup M_x$, further implies

$$\text{vol}(K \cap R_x) \geq \text{vol}(K \cap B(x, r')) - (2 + \frac{8t}{3}) \text{vol}(K \cap C)$$

with a symmetric inequality holding fro $K \cap R_y$. Applying Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\text{vol}(K \cap C) \geq \min \{ \text{vol}(K \cap B(x, r')), \text{vol}(K \cap B(y, r')) \} - (2 + \frac{8t}{3}) \text{vol}(K \cap C)$$

and the desired result follows after some rearrangement. \square

4 Proof of (3)

Lemma 9. *Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C} + \sigma B$ for some $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for any $r' < \frac{\sigma}{4d}$,*

$$\nu(B(u, r') \cap \mathcal{C}_\sigma) \geq \nu(B(u, r')) \frac{1}{30}.$$

Proof. Since $u \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ there exists $v \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $u \in B(v, \sigma)$. Writing $r' := \frac{r}{L_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma} L_K}$, we have that

$$\tilde{\nu}(B(u, r')) \geq \nu(B(u, r') \cap B(v, \sigma))$$

The volume of such an intersection is clearly minimized when $|u - v| = \sigma$; in this case the intersection is formed by the union of two spherical caps. We will examine the larger of these two spherical caps, the cap of radius r and height

$$h = r' - (r')^2/2\sigma = r' \left(1 - \frac{r'}{2\sigma} \right)$$

Then, the volume of the cap ν_{cap} is known to be

$$\nu_{cap} = \frac{1}{2} \nu_d r^d I_{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{d+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

where

$$\alpha := 1 - \frac{2r'h - h^2}{(r')^2} \leq \frac{r'}{2\sigma}$$

and $I(\cdot; \cdot)$ represents the incomplete beta function:

$$I_\alpha(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma(z+w)}{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)} \int_0^\alpha u^{z-1} (1-u)^{w-1} du.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{cap} &= \frac{1}{2} \nu_d (r')^d \frac{\Gamma(d/2 + 1)}{\Gamma((d+1)/2) \Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\alpha u^{(d-1)/2} (1-u)^{-1/2} du \\ &\geq \nu_d (r')^d \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(d/2 + 1)}{\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \int_0^\alpha u^{(d-1)/2} (1-u)^{-1/2} du & (\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}) \\ &\geq \nu_d (r')^d \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^\alpha u^{(d-1)/2} (1-u)^{-1/2} du. & (\text{Gautschi's inequality}) \end{aligned}$$

Turning our attention to the relevant integral, letting $v = 1 - u$ and $\beta = 1/4d$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\alpha u^{(d-1)/2} (1-u)^{-1/2} du &= \int_\alpha^1 (1-v)^{(d-1)/2} v^{-1/2} dv \\ &\geq \int_\beta^{2\beta} (1-v)^{(d-1)/2} v^{-1/2} dv & (\alpha \leq \beta \leq 2\beta \leq 1) \\ &\geq 2(1-2\beta)^{(d-1)/2} \sqrt{\beta} (\sqrt{2} - 1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d}} (\sqrt{2} - 1). & (\text{Lemma 2}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the pieces, we have

$$\nu_{cap} \geq \nu_d(r')^d \frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \geq \frac{1}{30} \nu_d(r')^d.$$

□

5 Notation

- For a set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $D_K = \max_{x,y \in K} |x - y|$, where $|x - y|$ is the Euclidean norm between $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ν_d is the volume of the unit ball $B(0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^d .
- $D_x g = (D_{x_i} g_j)_{i,j=1}^d$ is the Jacobian matrix of g evaluated at x .
- $g(K) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : g(x) = y \text{ for some } x \in K\}$ is the image of K under g .
- For measures P, Q over (Σ, \mathcal{F}) , $\|P - Q\|_{TV} = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} |P(A) - Q(A)|$.