Self-adjoint Kernels

Every H-S N has a singular value expansion

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{j\geq 1}^{\infty} G_{j}(x) \overline{V_{j}(y)}$$

which converges in L2(IRd, IRd).

=> Best rank-n approx in 11.11 and 11.1145 is

- => T= \(\text{I} + K \) satisfies Freelholm alternative:

 a) T' exists and 117-11(10, or

 b) N(T) is nontrivial and Tust

 has solutions Iff (5,v) 20 for all v6N(T*).
- 2) K is a compact operator, meening it can be approximated in norm (uniformly on all of L²(IR^d)) by finite-rank operators.

Self-adjoint Kurnels

If K(x,y): K(y,x), then K=K* is self-adjoint.

The eigenvectors/retrees of K are solutions of

Figure the

Kuzdu

Teigenvector

=> Every self-adjoint compact operator

N:1+-> 1+ has an ONB of eigenvectors

=> Ezementres are real and dx ->0 as K->00.

=> Eigenrector expansion of Kernel 13

K(x,y) = E \(\lambda \) \(\lamb

-hich coincides with SVE when 2; 20, for j=1,2,3,..., i.e., K is semidefinite.

Example: Principle Component Analysis (PCA)

Suppose that x1, x2, x3, ..., xm EIR" are a sequence of identically and indeposlently distributed random vectors with mem

u= [E[X]= (E[x"], [E[x"])

Texpected return of contact component
and covariance matrix

 $C_{i} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(x^{(i)} - x^{(i)}\right)\left(x^{(i)} - x^{(i)}\right)\right] = C = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(x - x^{(i)} - x^{(i)}\right)^{2}\right]$ expected value
of rank-1 relative

Intrition: think of vectors x, , , x as deta collected from midentical, independent experiments. Each entry of x; represents a particular "feature" measured during the ith experiment and the same features are measured across all m experiments.

In practice, one can use empirical estimates of the mean i covariance:

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j$$
 (average features all experiments)
$$\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (x_j - u_j)(x_j - u_j)^T$$

Note that in general, the cov. metrix is not rank I.

How should we analyze the data? Principle Component Analysis follows 2 guiday principles.

- (i) => Find a new coordinate system

 such that the bending coordinate

 directions captures as much variance
 in the data as possible.
- (ii) => Chose the coordinate system so
 that the features "decomple," i.e.,
 the features book like "independent
 variables (were precisely, diff. fat:
 have zero covariance).

The constraint is that the new coordinate system should be a notation freslection of the old coordinate system (teatures).

neur features → y; 2 U(x;·u) ← old features
orthogonal
bransform

Guided by principles (i)-(ii), how should we choose the orthogonal transformation U?

(ii) Can re choose U so that the andon variable y=U'(x-u) has diagonal covariance?

 $\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[yy^7\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[U^7(x-u)(x-u)^7U\right] \\
&= U^7\mathbb{E}\left[(x-u)(x-u)^7\right]U \\
&= U^7CU
\end{aligned}$

To diagonalize cov(y)= [E[yyi], we choose U to be the eigenvector matrix of C => C= U.N.U.T

This is possible ble covariance matrices are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices!

$$v^{7}(v) = \mathbb{E}\left[v^{7}(x-u)(x-u)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(v^{7}(x-u)\right)^{2}\right] \gtrsim 0 \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Possible} \\ \text{Semi-def.} \end{array}\right)$$

=> U is an orthogonal matrix

=> 1 is diagonal u/real non-negative entires

Therefore, y,, ym are distributed up mean zero and diagonal corretence matrix:

$$\mathbb{E}[y] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{U}(x-u)]$$

$$= \mathcal{U}^*\mathbb{E}[x-u]$$