

## Report

### Part (a) : Pseudocode

Initially all students are free and haven't exhausted all their proposals. Place them into a queue. Also keep track of what internship a student has to propose to next, given he has proposed to all earlier internships. I did this by keeping an ArrayList where index values represent students and the values at those indices represent the index at which that particular student has to propose to next.

```
1: while (size of queue != 0)
2:     remove student s from head of queue
3:     let I be the internship from s's preference list that he must propose to next
4:     if (I has open slots)
5:         match s with I
6:     else
7:         if (I prefers s over its lowest preferred current match, say x)
8:             match s with I
9:             add x to the queue since he/she is free
10:    else
11:        add s back onto the queue
12:
13: return the set of students and each of their internship assignments
```

### Part (b) : Runtime Complexity

The Big O of this algorithm is  $mn^2$ , where  $m$  is the number of internships and  $n$  is the number of students. There are certain difficulties involved with trying to implement an  $O(mn)$  solution algorithm for several reasons. For one, keeping a running track of every internship's current lowest preferred match involves looping through up to  $n$  students in an internship's list of current filled slots to find a least preferred current match. This is an  $O(n)$  runtime for this alone. On the other condition inside the loop, finding the size of the array list holding an internship's current student matches is an  $O(n)$  algorithm. Both of these algorithms are taking place inside the outer loop, which has a runtime of  $O(mn)$ . Therefore the overall runtime is  $O(mn^2)$  since  $O(mn)$  is multiplied by either one of the two conditionals that take place inside the outer loop, which both have a runtime of  $O(n)$  independently.