

STK3100 Exercises, Week 2

Vinnie Ko

September 7, 2018

Exercise 2.11

i)

$$\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T = \mathbf{I}^T - \frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T)^T = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T$$

So, $\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T$ is symmetric.

ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\right) &= \mathbf{I} - \frac{2}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T + \frac{1}{n^2}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T \\ &= \mathbf{I} - \frac{2}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T + \frac{n}{n^2}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T \\ &= \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T$ is idempotent.

iii)

$$\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_n$$

Exercise 2.12

Let \mathbf{X} be a $n \times p$ matrix of full rank, then $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$. Since \mathbf{H} is a projection matrix, $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{H})$. So, we have

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{I}_p) = p = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Exercise 1.17

Recall the definition of *model space*:

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\boldsymbol{\eta} : \text{there is a } \boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\}.$$

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-singular matrix, then by definition, there exists \mathbf{A}^{-1} that satisfies $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$. This allows us to write $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ which yields

$$C(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}) = \{\boldsymbol{\eta} : \text{there is a } \mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\eta} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta})\}.$$

If we can show that vector space of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and vector space $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are same, namely \mathbb{R}^p , we have $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})$.

Proof.

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be a set of vectors that (linear) span \mathbb{R}^p . i.e. We can write any element of vector space $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as a linear combination of $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$.

Since $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, we can write

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{v}_k$$

where a_i 's are constants. By multiplying \mathbf{A}^{-1} on the both sides, we get

$$\mathbf{v}_i = a_1\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_k$$

So, any element in the spanning set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_k\}$. In other words, $\{\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_k\}$ is also a spanning set of \mathbb{R}^p . Thus, vector space of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and vector space of $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are same. \square

Exercise 2.13

Let \mathbf{X} be a $n \times p$ model matrix of full rank and \mathbf{A} be an arbitrary $p \times p$ non-singular matrix. Further, let \mathbf{P}_X denote the projection matrix onto model space $C(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbf{P}_{X\mathbf{A}}$ denote the projection matrix onto model space $C(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})$. Those projections matrices are unique (see p.34 of the book) and in the context of linear model, they are also called as *hat matrix*.

By using the least square principle, we can obtain the expression for the hat matrix $\mathbf{P}_{X\mathbf{A}}$ and we can write it further to show that $\mathbf{P}_{X\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{P}_X$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{X\mathbf{A}} &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}((\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})^T \\ &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{P}_X \end{aligned}$$

And since $\mathbf{P}_{X\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{P}_X$, we also have $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{P}_{X\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}_X \cdot \mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_X$.

Exercise 2.14

i)

In linear model, projection matrix is hat matrix. So,

$$\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}.$$

ii)

By definition of model space, we have

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\boldsymbol{\eta} : \text{there exists } \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\}$$

and

$$C(\mathbf{P}_X) = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} : \text{there exists } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{a}\}.$$

Take an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in C(\mathbf{P}_X)$. Then, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{a}$ for an $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{X} \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Hence, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in C(\mathbf{X})$.

Similarly, we can do the other direction. Then we have $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{P}_X)$.

Exercise 2.15

i)

When model a is a special case of model b , we have $\mathbf{P}_a \mathbf{P}_b = \mathbf{P}_b \mathbf{P}_a = \mathbf{P}_a$ (p.36 of the book). Since null model is nested within every possible linear model with an intercept, we can directly use this result for between \mathbf{P}_0 (the hat matrix of null model) and arbitrary hat matrix \mathbf{H} :

$$\mathbf{P}_0 \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{P}_0.$$

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_0 &= \mathbf{1}_n (\mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{1}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n^T \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_0 \mathbf{H} &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,1} & \cdots & h_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{n,1} & \cdots & h_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,n} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From i), we have $\mathbf{P}_0 \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{P}_0$. So,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and this implies $\sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,j} = 1$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, all columns sums of \mathbf{H} are 1.

By repeating the same procedure for $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{P}_0$ gives $\sum_{j=1}^n h_{i,j} = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, all row sums of \mathbf{H} are 1.

Exercise 2.16

(a)

Note that $\mathbf{v}_2 \in C(\mathbf{X})^\perp$. So, $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}^\top$ (p.32 of the book).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} &= (\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{v}_2^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} && \text{(by the definition of generalized inverse)} \\
&= (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{v}_2^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= (\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)^\top \mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}$$

Since \mathbf{v} is arbitrary, $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$.

(b)

From (a), we have $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$ and this results holds for any generalized inverse since we only used the definition of generalized inverse to derive this result.

Imagine that we repeated (a) with another generalized inverse \mathbf{H} , then we have $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \\
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{b} &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_1 &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_1 \\
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_2) &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}_2) \\
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{v}
\end{aligned}$$

Since \mathbf{b} is arbitrary, this result holds for all \mathbf{v} . Thus, $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}^\top = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^\top$.