# STK3405 – Exercises Chapter 2

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl

Department of Mathematics University of Oslo, Norway





What is the reliability function of a series system of order *n* where the component states are assumed to be independent?

SOLUTION: A series system of order n is a binary monotone system ( $C, \phi$ ) which functions if and only if all the *n* components function. Thus, the structure function of the system is:

$$\phi(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i.$$


Assuming that the component state variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent with reliabilites  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  respectively, we get that:

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = P(\phi(\mathbf{X}) = 1) = E[\phi(\mathbf{X})] = E[\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i] \text{ (using the independence)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$$







Consider the parallel structure of order 2. Assume that the component states are independent. The reliability function of this system is:

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = p_1 \coprod p_2 = 1 - (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) = p_1 + p_2 - p_1 p_2.$$

a) If you know that  $p_1 = P(X_1 = 1) = 0.5$  and  $p_2 = P(X_2 = 1) = 0.7$ , what is the reliability of the parallel system?

SOLUTION: 
$$h(0.5, 0.7) = 0.5 + 0.7 - 0.5 \cdot 0.7 = 0.85$$





b) What is the system reliability if  $p_1 = 0.9$  and  $p_2 = 0.1$ ?

SOLUTION: 
$$h(0.9, 0.1) = 0.9 + 0.1 - 0.9 \cdot 0.1 = 0.91$$

c) Can you give an interpretation of these results?

SOLUTION: For a parallel system it is better to have *one really good* component and *one really bad* component, than to have two components with reliabilities close 0.5.





Assume more generally that  $P(X_1 = 1) = p$  and  $P(X_2 = 1) = 1 - p$ . This implies that:

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = p + (1-p) - p(1-p) = 1 - p(1-p).$$

This is parabola with minimum at p = 0.5. Thus, the system reliability of the parallel system is smallest when the components have the same reliability.

For a series system of two components with  $P(X_1 = 1) = p$  and  $P(X_2 = 1) = 1 - p$  we have:

$$h(p) = p(1-p).$$


This is parabola with maximum at p = 0.5. Thus, the system reliability of the series system is largest when the components have the same reliability.

Consider a binary monotone system  $(C, \phi)$ , where the component set is  $C = \{1, \dots, 4\}$  and where  $\phi$  is given by:

$$\phi(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot (X_3 \coprod X_4).$$

a) Draw a reliability block diagram of this system.

#### SOLUTION:








b) Assume that the components in the system are independent. What is the corresponding reliability function?

SOLUTION: Since the system is an s-p-system, the reliability of the system is obtained by performing s-p-reductions:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot (p_3 \coprod p_4)$$







a) What is the structure function of this system?

SOLUTION: The structure function of this system is:

$$\phi(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot (X_3 \coprod X_4) \cdot X_5.$$





b) Assume that the components in the system are independent. What is the corresponding reliability function?

SOLUTION: Since the system is an s-p-system, the reliability of the system is obtained by performing s-p-reductions:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot (p_3 \coprod p_4) \cdot p_5$$






There are 8 different coherent systems of order less than or equal to 3 (not counting permutations in the numbering of components). What are they?


SOLUTION: There are three coherent systems of order 1 or 2:





There are five coherent systems of order 3:









Consider a monotone system  $(C, \phi)$  of order n, and let  $A \subset C$  the set of irrelevant components.

Furthermore, let  $(C \setminus A, \phi')$ , be a binary monotone system of order m = n - |A|, where  $\phi'$  is defined for all m-dimensional binary vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^m$  as:

$$\phi'(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{1}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus A})$$

Show that  $(C \setminus A, \phi')$  is coherent.

SOLUTION: Since  $\phi$  is non-decreasing in each argument, it follows that  $\phi(\mathbf{1}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C\setminus A})$  is non-decreasing in  $x_i$  for all  $i \in C \setminus A$ .

Hence,  $(C \setminus A, \phi')$  is indeed a binary monotone system.





We then let  $i \in (C \setminus A)$ . By assumption i is relevant in  $(C, \phi)$ . That is, there exists a  $(\cdot_i, \mathbf{x})$  such that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}) = 1.$$

This equation can also be written as:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus A}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus A}) = 1.$$

Since all the components in A are irrelevant in the original system, we may replace  $\mathbf{x}^A$  by  $\mathbf{1}^A$  without changing the value of  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) = 1.$$

Hence, we have shown that there exists a vector  $(\cdot_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus A})$  such that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) = 1.$$

Thus, we conclude that i is relevant in  $(C \setminus A, \phi')$ , and since this holds for all  $i \in C \setminus A$ , we conclude that  $(C \setminus A, \phi')$  is coherent.

Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a non-trivial binary monotone system of order n. Then for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$  we have:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Prove the right-hand inequality.

SOLUTION: If  $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1$ , this inequality is trivial since  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

If on the other hand  $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$ , we must have  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Since  $(C, \phi)$  is assumed to be non-trivial, it follows that  $\phi(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ . Thus, the inequality is valid in this case as well.

This completes the proof of the right-hand inequality.





Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a binary monotone system of order n. Show that for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$  we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \le \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y})$$

Moreover, assume that  $(C, \phi)$  is coherent. Prove that equality holds for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^n$  if and only if  $(C, \phi)$  is a series system.

SOLUTION: Since  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{y}$  and  $\phi$  is non-decreasing in each argument, we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \le \phi(\mathbf{x})$$
 and  $\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \le \phi(\mathbf{y})$ .

Hence, we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \leq \min\{\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{y})\} = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y}).$$





It remains to prove that if  $(C, \phi)$  is coherent, then  $\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y})$  if and only if  $(C, \phi)$  is a series system.

SOLUTION: Assume first that  $(C, \phi)$  is a series system. Then:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{y})=\prod_{i=1}^n(x_i\cdot y_i)=[\prod_{i=1}^nx_i]\cdot[\prod_{i=1}^ny_i]=\phi(\boldsymbol{x})\cdot\phi(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

Assume conversely that  $\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y})$ , and choose  $i \in C$  arbitrarily.

Since  $(C, \phi)$  is coherent, there exists a vector  $(\cdot, \mathbf{x})$  such that:

$$\phi(1_i, \mathbf{x}) = 1 \text{ and } \phi(0_i, \mathbf{x}) = 0.$$





For this particular  $(\cdot, \mathbf{x})$  we have by the assumption that:

$$0 = \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \phi((\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}))$$
  
=  $\phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}$ 

Hence,  $\phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and since obviously  $\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ , we conclude that:

$$\phi(x_i, \mathbf{1}) = x_i$$
, for  $x_i = 0, 1$ .

Since  $i \in C$  was chosen arbitrarily, we must have:

$$\phi(x_i, \mathbf{1}) = x_i$$
, for  $x_i = 0, 1$ , for all  $i \in C$ .





By repeated use of the assumption, we get:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi((x_1, \mathbf{1}) \cdot (x_2, \mathbf{1}) \cdots (x_n, \mathbf{1}))$$

$$= \phi(x_1, \mathbf{1}) \cdot \phi(x_2, \mathbf{1}) \cdots \phi(x_n, \mathbf{1})$$

$$= x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdots x_n = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Thus, we conclude that  $(C, \phi)$  is a series system.





Prove that the dual system of a k-out-of-n system is an (n-k+1)-out-of-n system.

SOLUTION: Assume that  $(C, \phi)$  is a k-out-of-n-system. We then recall that  $\phi$  can be written as: The structure function,  $\phi$ , can then be written:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ge k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

More compactly we may write this as:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = I(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq k).$$





We then have:

$$\phi^{D}(\mathbf{y}) = 1 - \phi(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{y}) = 1 - I(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - y_{i}) \ge k)$$

$$= 1 - I(n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \ge k) = 1 - I(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \le n - k)$$

$$= I(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} > n - k) = I(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \ge n - k + 1)$$

Hence,  $(C^D, \phi^D)$  is an (n - k + 1)-out-of-n system.





Let S be a stochastic variable with values in  $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . We then define the *generating function* of S as:

$$G_S(y) = E[y^S] = \sum_{s=0}^n y^s P(S=s).$$

a) Explain why  $G_S(y)$  is a polynomial, and give an interpretation of the coefficients of this polynomial.

SOLUTION:  $G_S(y)$  is a polynomial because all the terms in the sum are of the form  $a_s y^s$ , s = 0, 1, ..., n.

The coefficient  $a_s$  is equal to P(S = s).





NOTE: A polynomial  $g(y) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} a_s y^n$  is a generating function for a random variable S with values in  $\{0, 1, \dots n\}$  if and only if:

$$a_s \geq 0, \quad s = 0, 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^n a_s = 1.$$





b) Let T be another non-negative integer valued stochastic variable with values in  $\{0, 1, ..., m\}$  which is independent of S. Show that:

$$G_{S+T}(y) = G_S(y) \cdot G_T(y).$$

SOLUTION: By the definition of a generating function and the independence of S and T we have:

$$G_{S+T}(y) = E[y^{S+T}] = E[y^S \cdot y^T]$$
  
=  $E[y^S] \cdot E[y^T]$  (using that  $S$  and  $T$  are independent)  
=  $G_S(y) \cdot G_T(y)$ 





c) Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be independent binary variables with  $P(X_i = 1) = p_i$  and  $P(X_i = 0) = 1 - p_i = q_i$ , i = 1, ..., n. Show that:

$$G_{X_i}(y) = q_i + p_i y, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

SOLUTION: By the definition of a generating function we get:

$$G_{X_i}(y) = E[y^{X_i}]$$
  
=  $y^0 \cdot P(X_i = 0) + y^1 P(X_i = 1)$   
=  $q_i + p_i y$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n$ .





d) Introduce:

$$S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and assume that we have computed  $G_{S_j}(y)$ . Thus, all the coefficients of  $G_{S_j}(y)$  are known at this stage. We then compute:

$$G_{S_{j+1}}(y) = G_{S_j}(y) \cdot G_{X_{j+1}}(y).$$

How many algebraic operations (addition and multiplication) will be needed to complete this task?





SOLUTION: Assume that we have computed  $G_{S_i}(y)$ , and that:

$$G_{S_j}(y) = a_{j0} + a_{j1}y + a_{j2}y^2 + \cdots + a_{jj}y^j.$$

Then:

$$G_{S_{j+1}}(y) = G_{S_j}(y) \cdot G_{X_{j+1}}(y)$$
  
=  $(a_{j0} + a_{j1}y + a_{j2}y^2 + \cdots + a_{jj}y^j) \cdot (q_{i+1} + p_{i+1}y)$ 

In order to compute  $G_{S_{j+1}}(y)$  we need to do 2(j+1) multiplications and j additions.





e) Explain how generating functions can be used in order to calculate the reliability of a k-out-of-n system. What can you say about the order of this algorithm.

SOLUTION: In order to compute  $G_S(y) = G_{S_n}(y)$ ,  $2 \cdot (2 + 3 + \cdots + n)$  multiplications and  $(1 + 2 + \cdots (n-1))$  additions are needed. Thus, the number of operations grows roughly proportionally to  $n^2$  operations.

Having calculated  $G_S(y)$ , a polynomial of degree n, the distribution of S is given by the coefficients of this polynomial.

If  $(C, \phi)$  is a k-out-of-n-system with component state variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , then the reliability of this system is given by:

$$P(\phi(X) = 1) = P(S \ge k) = \sum_{s=k}^{n} P(S = s)$$

Thus, the reliability of  $(C, \phi)$  can be calculated in  $Q(n^2)$ -time.

