HEAT Example Application

2024-03-15

In this document, we demonstrate how to use the provided software to implement HEAT. Note that this version of our software only allows for J = 2: a generalization of this software is forthcoming.

Let us begin by generating some data. Following the manuscript, there are two populations labeled AA and EA. Below, we generate data exactly as in the simulation studies, except the predictors are generated from a normal distribution.

```
set.seed(5)
p <- 200
n <- 500
SigmaEA <- matrix(0, nrow=p, ncol=p)</pre>
SigmaAA <- matrix(0, nrow=p, ncol=p)</pre>
for (jj in 1:p) {
  for (kk in 1:p) {
    SigmaEA[jj,kk] <- 0.9^abs(jj-kk)</pre>
    SigmaAA[jj,kk] <- 0.5^abs(jj-kk)</pre>
}
eoEA <- eigen(SigmaEA)
SigmaEAsqrt <- eoEA$vec\**\diag(eoEA$val^0.5)\**\t(eoEA$vec)
eoAA <- eigen(SigmaAA)
SigmaAAsqrt <- eoAA$vec%*%diag(eoAA$val^0.5)%*%t(eoAA$vec)
SNP.AA <- matrix(rnorm(n*p), nrow=n, ncol=p)%*%SigmaAAsqrt
SNP.EA <- matrix(rnorm(n*p), nrow=n, ncol=p)%*%SigmaEAsqrt
R2 < -0.5
Prop_pQTLsShared <- 0.95</pre>
sigmaDiff <- 3
s <- 10
aa.sd <- apply(SNP.AA, 2, sd)
ea.sd <- apply(SNP.EA, 2, sd)
beta.AA <- rep(0, p)
preds <- sample(1:p, s)</pre>
beta.AA[preds] <- aa.sd[preds]</pre>
beta.EA <- rep(0, p)
preds2 <- sample(preds, s*Prop_pQTLsShared)</pre>
beta.EA[preds2] <- ea.sd[preds2]</pre>
if(Prop_pQTLsShared < 1){</pre>
  preds3 <- sample(c(1:p)[-preds2], s - s*Prop_pQTLsShared)</pre>
  beta.EA[preds3] <- ea.sd[preds3]</pre>
beta.EA[which(ea.sd == 0)] <- 0
sign.vec.AA \leftarrow sample(c(1, -1), p, replace=TRUE, prob = c(0.8, 0.2))
sign.vec.EA \leftarrow sample(c(1, -1), p, replace=TRUE, prob = c(0.8, 0.2))
beta.AA <- beta.AA*sign.vec.AA
beta.EA <- beta.EA*sign.vec.EA
```

```
sigma <- sd(SNP.EA%*%beta.EA)
b0.AA <- -mean(SNP.AA%*%beta.AA)
prot.AA <- b0.AA + SNP.AA%*%beta.AA + rnorm(n, sd = sigma*sigmaDiff)
b0.EA <- -mean(SNP.EA%*%beta.EA)
prot.EA <- b0.EA + SNP.EA%*%beta.EA + rnorm(n, sd = sigma)</pre>
```

In order to run the HEAT algorithm we need to source the R functions.

```
source("~/HEATsims/Functions/penMLE_cvL.R")
source("~/HEATsims/Functions/penMLE_fixedRhos_cvL.R")
```

Exact version of HEAT

Now, we run the full version of HEAT using the function penMLE_CV based on five-fold cross-validation. This will take a few minutes, so please exercise patience.

```
## Through fold 1
## Through fold 2
## Through fold 3
## Through fold 4
## Through fold 5
```

Now, we can look at the output.

```
str(t0)
```

```
## List of 9
## $ errs.AA
              : num [1:10, 1:10, 1:5] 12971 12971 12971 12971 12971 ...
             : num [1:10, 1:10, 1:5] 2880 2897 2897 2877 2897 ...
## $ errs.EA
## $ L.errs.AA : num [1:10, 1:10, 1:5] 587 587 587 587 587 ...
## $ L.errs.EA : num [1:10, 1:10, 1:5] 436 437 437 436 437 ...
## $ full.fit :List of 10
    ..$ :List of 4
##
    .. ..$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
    ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
    ....$ rhoA: num [1:10] 0.087 0.087 0.087 0.087 ...
    ....$ rhoE: num [1:10] 0.183 0.184 0.185 0.185 0.185 ...
##
    ..$ :List of 4
##
```

```
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:10] 0.087 0.0872 0.0876 0.0878 0.0878 ...
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:10] 0.183 0.19 0.195 0.197 0.198 ...
##
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:10] 0.087 0.0873 0.0884 0.0889 0.089 ...
##
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:10] 0.183 0.196 0.209 0.214 0.215 ...
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:10] 0.087 0.0878 0.0893 0.09 0.0902 ...
##
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:10] 0.183 0.207 0.224 0.229 0.23 ...
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:10] 0.087 0.0875 0.0897 0.0911 0.0916 ...
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:10] 0.183 0.208 0.232 0.24 0.243 ...
##
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:10] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:10] 0.087 0.0877 0.0906 0.0927 0.0936 ...
##
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:10] 0.183 0.216 0.241 0.25 0.253 ...
##
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:9] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:9] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:9] 0.087 0.0879 0.0917 0.0949 0.0962 ...
##
##
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:9] 0.183 0.222 0.249 0.259 0.262 ...
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:8] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:8] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:8] 0.087 0.088 0.093 0.0974 0.0992 ...
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:8] 0.183 0.226 0.254 0.266 0.27 ...
##
##
     ..$ :List of 4
##
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:8] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:8] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:8] 0.087 0.0881 0.0944 0.0999 0.1023 ...
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:8] 0.183 0.229 0.259 0.272 0.278 ...
##
##
     ..$ :List of 4
     ....$ phiA: num [1:200, 1:8] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ phiE: num [1:200, 1:8] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
     ....$ rhoA: num [1:8] 0.087 0.0882 0.0956 0.1023 0.1055 ...
     ....$ rhoE: num [1:8] 0.183 0.232 0.262 0.278 0.286 ...
##
   $ fold.id.AA: int [1:500] 1 2 4 1 3 5 2 1 1 3 ...
   $ fold.id.EA: int [1:500] 5 3 5 4 4 2 5 5 5 2 ...
##
   $ lambda
               : num [1:10] 0.373 0.27 0.195 0.141 0.102 ...
   $ gamma.mat : num [1:10, 1:10] 0.042292 0.011768 0.003275 0.000911 0.000254 ...
```

In the above output phiA and phiE are the estimated $\theta_{(AA)}$ and $\theta_{(EA)}$, respectively at different tuning parameter pairs. However, note that these are on scale of the standardized predictors: see, e.g., lines 101 and 103 in "penMLE_cvL.R".

Since we just performed cross-validation, we can now determine which tuning parameter pair minimized the cross-validation error. What metric to use for cross-validation is context dependent. Here, we look at the

```
total sum of squared residuals over both populations.
```

```
errs <- apply(t0\$errs.AA + t0\$errs.EA, c(1,2), sum)
Now, let us extract the estimated \beta_{(AA)} and \beta_{(EA)}. To do so, we get coefficients back to the original scale.
inds <- which(errs == min(errs), arr.ind=TRUE)</pre>
getInd <- inds[1,2]</pre>
full.fit <- t0$full.fit[[inds[1,1]]]</pre>
full.sd <- apply(rbind(SNP.AA, SNP.EA), 2, sd)</pre>
hat.beta.AA <- (full.fit$phiA[,getInd]/full.sd)/full.fit$rhoA[getInd]
hat.beta.EA <- (full.fit*phiE[,getInd]/full.sd)/full.fit*rhoE[getInd]
Next, we can check the estimation accuracy.
sum((hat.beta.AA - beta.AA)^2)
## [1] 5.512513
sum((hat.beta.EA - beta.EA)^2)
## [1] 4.222049
Now, let's compare this to using the lasso estimator for each population on its own, then both populations
aggregated.
library(glmnet)
## Loading required package: Matrix
## Loaded glmnet 4.1-8
fit.AA <- cv.glmnet(y = prot.AA, x = SNP.AA)</pre>
hat.beta.AA.lasso <- coef(fit.AA, s = fit.AA$lambda.min)[-1]
fit.EA <- cv.glmnet(y = prot.EA, x = SNP.EA)</pre>
hat.beta.EA.lasso <- coef(fit.EA, s = fit.EA$lambda.min)[-1]
sum((hat.beta.AA.lasso - beta.AA)^2)
## [1] 7.414414
sum((hat.beta.EA.lasso - beta.EA)^2)
## [1] 6.104603
fit.full <- cv.glmnet(y = rbind(prot.EA, prot.AA), x = rbind(cbind(1, SNP.EA), cbind(0, SNP.AA)), pena
hat.beta.lasso <- coef(fit.full, s = fit.full$lambda.min)[-c(1,2)]
sum((hat.beta.lasso - beta.AA)^2)
## [1] 8.144751
sum((hat.beta.lasso - beta.EA)^2)
## [1] 7.133988
We could alternatively use the validation likelihood error. This is denoted by L.errs.AA and L.errs.EA in
the software.
errs <- apply(t0$L.errs.AA + t0$L.errs.EA, c(1,2), sum)
inds <- which(errs == min(errs), arr.ind=TRUE)</pre>
getInd <- inds[1,2]</pre>
full.fit <- t0$full.fit[[inds[1,1]]]</pre>
hat.beta.AA <- (full.fit$phiA[,getInd]/full.sd)/full.fit$rhoA[getInd]
```

```
hat.beta.EA <- (full.fit$phiE[,getInd]/full.sd)/full.fit$rhoE[getInd]
sum((hat.beta.AA - beta.AA)^2)

## [1] 6.768338
sum((hat.beta.EA - beta.EA)^2)

## [1] 4.247376</pre>
```

One could also use error isolated to each population (AA or EA), though we do not recommend this. In our experience, this can lead to strange behavior.

Approximate version of HEAT

In this section, we show how to implement the approximation version of HEAT. This will require using the natural package in R.

```
library(natural)
sigma.AA.est <- nlasso_cv(x = SNP.AA, y = prot.AA, nfold=5)$sig_obj
sigma.EA.est <- nlasso cv(x = SNP.EA, y = prot.EA, nfold=5)$sig obj
t1 <- penMLE_fixedrhos_CV(prot.AA = prot.AA,</pre>
                              prot.EA = prot.EA,
                              X.AA = SNP.AA,
                              X.EA = SNP.EA,
                              nlambda = 10.
                              delta = 0.05,
                              ngamma = 10,
                              nfolds = nfolds,
                              fold.id.AA = fold.id.AA,
                              fold.id.EA = fold.id.EA,
                              rhoA = 1/sigma.AA.est,
                              rhoE = 1/sigma.EA.est)
## Through fold 1
## Through fold 2
## Through fold 3
## Through fold 4
## Through fold 5
errs <- apply(t1\$errs.AA + t1\$errs.EA, c(1,2), sum)
getInd <- inds[1,2]</pre>
full.fit <- t1$full.fit[[inds[1,1]]]</pre>
full.sd <- apply(rbind(SNP.AA, SNP.EA), 2, sd)</pre>
hat.beta.AA.app <- (full.fit$phiA[,getInd]/full.sd)*sigma.AA.est
hat.beta.EA.app <- (full.fit$phiE[,getInd]/full.sd)*sigma.EA.est
sum((hat.beta.AA.app - beta.AA)^2)
## [1] 5.424754
```

[1] 4.289603

sum((hat.beta.EA.app - beta.EA)^2)

We see this performs similar to the full version while requiring less computing time.