

Project 4

Alfred Murabito

March 22, 2020

Abstract

This report details results for the following exercises from *Certified Security by Design Using Higher Order Logic*: 9.5.1, 9.5.2, 9.5.3, 10.4.1, 10.4.2, and 10.4.3. Chapter 9 of the textbook focuses on goal-oriented proofs while Chapter 10 focuses on dealing with assumptions in goal-oriented proofs using PAT_ASSUM to match our supplied terms to those in the assumptions.. Each of the exercises includes a problem statement, relevant code, execution transcripts, and our pretty-printed theorems.

Acknowledgments: I received no assistance with this project.

Contents

1	Executive Summary	5
2	Chapter 9 Exercises	6
2.1	Problem Statement	6
2.2	Relevant Code	6
2.3	Test Results	7
2.4	Exercise 9 Theory	9
2.5	Theorems	9
3	Chapter 10 Exercises	11
3.1	Problem Statement	11
3.2	Relevant Code	11
3.3	Test Results	12
3.4	Exercise 10 Theory	14
3.5	Theorems	14
A	Source Code for Exercise9 Theory	15
B	Source Code for Exercise10 Theory	17

1 Executive Summary

All requirements for this project have been satisfied. A description of each exercise from Chapter 9 and 10 are included in the following chapters. Our HOL script files are contained in the HOL directory and pretty-print our theories to reports using EmiTex in the HOLReports directory. In the appendix we also include all HOL source files.

2 Chapter 9 Exercises

2.1 Problem Statement

Exercises 9.5.1 and 9.5.2 involve proving the absorption and constructiveDilemma theorems respectively. In exercise 9.5.3, we prove both theorems again using PROVE_TAC.

2.2 Relevant Code

Exercise 9.5.1

```

val absorptionRule =
TACPROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool).(p ==> q) ==> p ==> (p /\ q)' ),
  REPEAT STRIP_TAC THENL
  [(ACCEPT_TAC (ASSUME 'p:bool')),
   (ACCEPT_TAC (MP (ASSUME 'p:bool==>q:bool') (ASSUME 'p:bool')))]])

```

Exercise 9.5.2

```

val constructiveDilemmaRule =
TACPROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool)(r:bool)(s:bool).(p==>q)/\ (r==>s)==>(p\/r)==>(q\/s)' ),
  (REPEAT STRIP_TAC THENL
   [(RES_TAC THEN (ACCEPT_TAC (DISJ1 (ASSUME 'q:bool') 's:bool'))),
    (RES_TAC THEN (ACCEPT_TAC (DISJ2 'q:bool' (ASSUME 's:bool')))]])
  );

```

Exercise 9.5.3

```

val absorptionRule2 =
TACPROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool).(p ==> q) ==> p ==> (p /\ q)' ),
  PROVE_TAC [])

val constructiveDilemmaRule2 =
TACPROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool)(r:bool)(s:bool).(p==>q) /\ (r==>s) ==> p ==> (p /\ q)' ),
  PROVE_TAC [])

```

2.3 Test Results

Exercise 9.5.1:

```
-----
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
To exit type <Control>-D
-----
> > > > # # # # # # # # ** types trace now on
> # # # # # # # # ** Unicode trace now off
> # # # # # val absorptionRule =
  |- !(p :bool) (q :bool). (p ==> q) ==> p ==> p /\ q:
  thm
>
```

Exercise 9.5.2:

```
-----
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
To exit type <Control>-D
-----
> > > > # # # # # # # # ** types trace now on
> # # # # # # # # ** Unicode trace now off
> # # # # # val constructiveDilemmaRule =
  |- !(p :bool) (q :bool) (r :bool) (s :bool).
    (p ==> q) /\ (r ==> s) ==> p \/ r ==> q \/ s:
  thm
>
*** Emacs/HOL command completed ***
>
```

Exercise 9.5.3:

```
-----
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
To exit type <Control>-D
-----
> > > > # # # # # # # # ** types trace now on
> # # # # # # # # ** Unicode trace now off
> # # # Meson search level: .....
val absorptionRule2 =
  |- !(p :bool) (q :bool). (p ==> q) ==> p ==> p /\ q:
```

```
thm
> # # # Meson search level: .....
val constructiveDilemmaRule2 =
  |- !(p :bool) (q :bool) (r :bool) (s :bool).
    (p ==> q) /\ (r ==> s) ==> p ==> p /\ q:
thm
>
```


2.4 Exercise 9 Theory

Built: 22 March 2020

Parent Theories: indexedLists, patternMatches

2.5 Theorems

[absorptionRule]

$$\vdash \forall p \, q. (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \wedge q$$

[absorptionRule2]

$$\vdash \forall p \, q. (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \wedge q$$

[constructiveDilemmaRule]

$$\vdash \forall p \, q \, r \, s. (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee s$$

[constructiveDilemmaRule2]

$$\vdash \forall p \, q \, r \, s. (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \wedge q$$

3 Chapter 10 Exercises

3.1 Problem Statement

We use PAT_ASSUM to deal with assumptions in the goal orientated proofs of chapter 10. All of the theorems were proved without using PROVE_TAC.

3.2 Relevant Code

Exercise 10.4.1

```
val problem1_thm =
  TAC.PROOF (
    ([ 'x: 'a. P(x) ==> M(x) ' ' (P: 'a->bool)(s: 'a) ' ' , ' (M: 'a->bool)(s: 'a) ' ' ),
    PAT_ASSUM 'x.t ' (fn th => (ASSUME_TAC (SPEC 's: 'a ' th))) THEN
    RES_TAC );
```

Exercise 10.4.2

```
val problem2_thm =
  TAC.PROOF (
    ([ 'p /\ q ==> r ' ' r ==> s ' ' ' ~s ' ' ], 'p ==> ~q ' ' ),
    PAT_ASSUM 'r ==> s ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_ELIM th)) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM ' ~r: bool /\ s: bool ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM] th)) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM 's: bool /\ ~r: bool ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP th)) THEN
    RES_TAC THEN
    PAT_ASSUM 'p: bool /\ q: bool ==> r: bool ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM](IMP_ELIM th))) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM 'r: bool /\ ~(p /\ q) ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP th)) THEN
    RES_TAC THEN
    (let
      val demorgan = SPEC 'q: bool ' (SPEC 'p: bool ' DEMORGAN.THM)
    in
      PAT_ASSUM ' ~(p /\ q) ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (DISJ_IMP (EQ_MP (CONJUNCT1 demorgan) (ASSUME ' ~(p /\ q) '))))
    end) THEN
    ASM_REWRITE_TAC []
  );
```

Exercise 10.4.3

```
val problem3_thm =
  TAC.PROOF (
    ([ ' ~(p /\ q) ' ' ' ~p ==> r ' ' ' ~q ==> s ' ' ], 'r /\ s ' ' ),
    (let
      val demorgan = SPEC 'q: bool ' (SPEC 'p: bool ' DEMORGAN.THM)
    in
      PAT_ASSUM ' ~(p /\ q) ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC ((EQ_MP (CONJUNCT1 demorgan) (ASSUME ' ~(p /\ q) '))))
    end) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM ' ~p /\ ~q ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (DISJ_IMP th))) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM 'p ==> ~q ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_TRANS th (ASSUME ' ~q ==> s '))) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM 'p ==> s ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM](IMP_ELIM th)))) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM ' ~s ==> ~p ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_TRANS th (ASSUME ' ~p ==> r '))) THEN
    PAT_ASSUM ' ~s ==> r ' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (IMP_ELIM th))) THEN
    ASM_REWRITE_TAC [DISJ_SYM]
  )
```

3.3 Test Results

Exercise 10.4.1:

```
-----
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
To exit type <Control>-D
-----
> > > > # # # # # # # # ** types trace now on
> # # # # # # # # ** Unicode trace now off
> *** Globals.show_assums now true ***
> # # # # <<HOL message: inventing new type variable names: 'a>>
val problem1_thm =

[(P : 'a -> bool) (s : 'a),
 ! (x : 'a). (P : 'a -> bool) x ==> (M : 'a -> bool) x]
|- (M : 'a -> bool) (s : 'a):
    thm
>
```

Exercise 10.4.2:

```
-----
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
To exit type <Control>-D
-----
> > > > # # # # # # # # ** types trace now on
> # # # # # # # # ** Unicode trace now off
> *** Globals.show_assums now true ***
> val problem2_thm =

[~(s : bool), (r : bool) ==> (s : bool),
 (p : bool) /\ (q : bool) ==> (r : bool)] |- (p : bool) ==> ~(q : bool):
    thm
val it = (): unit
>
*** Emacs/HOL command completed ***
>
```

Exercise 10.4.3:

```
-----
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]
```

```
For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
To exit type <Control>-D
```

```
-----
> > > > # # # # # # # # # ** types trace now on
> # # # # # # # # # ** Unicode trace now off
> *** Globals.show_assums now true ***
> val problem3_thm =

[~((p :bool) /\ (q :bool)), ~(p :bool) ==> (r :bool),
 ~(q :bool) ==> (s :bool)] |- (r :bool) /\ (s :bool):
  thm
val it = (): unit
>
*** Emacs/HOL command completed ***

>
```

3.4 Exercise 10 Theory

Built: 22 March 2020

Parent Theories: indexedLists, patternMatches

3.5 Theorems

[problem1_thm]

$\vdash M\ s$

[problem2_thm]

$\vdash p \Rightarrow \neg q$

[problem3_thm]

$\vdash r \vee s$

A Source Code for Exercise9 Theory

```
(*****)
(* Author: Alfred Murabito *)
(* Date: 21 March 2020 *)
(* email: acmurabi@syr.edu *)
(*****)

structure exercise9Script = struct

open HolKernel boolLib Parse bossLib

val _ = new_theory "exercise9"

(*Exercise 9.5.1 Proof *)
val absorptionRule =
TAC_PROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool).(p ==> q) ==> p ==> (p /\ q)',
  REPEAT STRIP_TAC THENL
  [(ACCEPT_TAC (ASSUME 'p:bool')),
  (ACCEPT_TAC (MP (ASSUME 'p:bool==>q:bool') (ASSUME 'p:bool')))]])

val _ = save_thm("absorptionRule",absorptionRule)

(* Exercise 9.5.2 *)

val constructiveDilemmaRule =
TAC_PROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool)(r:bool)(s:bool).(p==>q)/\ (r==>s)==>(p\/r)==>(q\/s)',
  (REPEAT STRIP_TAC THENL
  [(RES_TAC THEN (ACCEPT_TAC (DISJ1 (ASSUME 'q:bool') 's:bool'))),
  (RES_TAC THEN (ACCEPT_TAC (DISJ2 'q:bool' (ASSUME 's:bool')))]])
  );

val _ = save_thm("constructiveDilemmaRule",constructiveDilemmaRule)

(* ex9_5_3.sml for Exercise 9.5.3 *)

(* Prove ! p q. (p q) p p q *)
val absorptionRule2 =
TAC_PROOF (
  ( [], '!(p:bool)(q:bool).(p ==> q) ==> p ==> (p /\ q)',
  PROVE_TAC [])

(* p q r s. (p q) (r s) p r q s *)

val constructiveDilemmaRule2 =
```

```

TAC_PROOF (
  ( [], ‘‘!(p:bool)(q:bool)(r:bool)(s:bool).(p==>q) /\ (r==>s) ==> p ==> (p /\ q)‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC [])

val _ = save_thm("absorptionRule2",absorptionRule2)

val _ = save_thm("constructiveDilemmaRule2",constructiveDilemmaRule2)

val _ = print_theory "-";

val _ = export_theory();

end (* structure *)

```


B Source Code for Exercise10 Theory

```
(*****)
(* Author: Alfred Murabito *)
(* Date: 21 March 2020 *)
(* email: acmurabi@syr.edu *)
(*****)

structure exercise9Script = struct

open HolKernel boolLib Parse bossLib

val _ = new_theory "exercise10"

(* Exercise 10_4_1
set_goal
([ '!x:'a.P(x) ==> M(x) ', '(P:'a->bool)(s:'a)',
'(M:'a->bool)(s:'a)' );
*)

(* Proof Below *)
val problem1_thm =
TAC_PROOF (
([ '!x:'a.P(x) ==> M(x) ', '(P:'a->bool)(s:'a)', '(M:'a->bool)(s:'a)',
PAT_ASSUM '!x.t' (fn th => (ASSUME_TAC (SPEC 's:'a' th))) THEN
RES_TAC );

val _ = save_thm("problem1_thm",problem1_thm)

(* Solution to exercise 10.4.2
set_goal(['p /\ q ==> r', 'r ==> s', '~s'], 'p ==> ~q');

PAT_ASSUM 'r ==> s' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_ELIM th));
PAT_ASSUM '~r:bool \/ s:bool' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM] th));
PAT_ASSUM 's:bool \/ ~r:bool' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP th));
RES_TAC;
PAT_ASSUM 'p:bool /\ q:bool ==> r:bool' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM] (IMP_E
PAT_ASSUM 'r:bool \/ ~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP th));
(* PAT_ASSUM '~r ==> ~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (MP th (ASSUME '~r')))) *);
RES_TAC
let
  val demorgan = SPEC 'q:bool' (SPEC 'p:bool' DE_MORGAN_THM)
in
  PAT_ASSUM '~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (DISJ_IMP (EQ_MP (CONJUNCT1 demorg
end;
ASM_REWRITE_TAC []);
*)
```

```

(* Packed together in TAC_PROOF *)
val problem2_thm =
TAC_PROOF (
  ([ 'p /\ q ==> r', 'r ==> s', ' ~s'], 'p ==> ~q'),
  PAT_ASSUM 'r ==> s' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_ELIM th)) THEN
  PAT_ASSUM '~r:bool \/ s:bool' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM] th)) THEN
  PAT_ASSUM 's:bool \/ ~r:bool' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP th)) THEN
  RES_TAC THEN
  PAT_ASSUM 'p:bool /\ q:bool ==> r:bool' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM] th)) THEN
  PAT_ASSUM 'r:bool \/ ~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP th)) THEN
  RES_TAC THEN
  (let
    val demorgan = SPEC 'q:bool' (SPEC 'p:bool' DE_MORGAN_THM)
  in
    PAT_ASSUM '~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (DISJ_IMP (EQ_MP (CONJUNCT1 demorgan) th)
    end) THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC []
  );

val _ = save_thm("problem2_thm",problem2_thm)

(* Exercise 10_4_3
set_goal([ ' ~(p /\ q)', ' ~p ==> r', ' ~q ==> s'], 'r \/ s ');

(let
  val demorgan = SPEC 'q:bool' (SPEC 'p:bool' DE_MORGAN_THM)
in
  PAT_ASSUM '~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC ((EQ_MP (CONJUNCT1 demorgan) (ASSUME '~(p /\ q)
  end);
  PAT_ASSUM '~p \/ ~q' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (DISJ_IMP th)));
  PAT_ASSUM 'p ==> ~q' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_TRANS th (ASSUME '~q ==> s')));
  PAT_ASSUM 'p ==> s' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP(ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM](IMP_ELIM th)
  PAT_ASSUM '~s ==> ~p' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_TRANS th (ASSUME '~p ==> r')));
  PAT_ASSUM '~s ==> r' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (IMP_ELIM th)));
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC [DISJ_SYM];
  *)

(* Bundled together using TAC_PROOF *)
val problem3_thm =
TAC_PROOF (
  ([ ' ~(p /\ q)', ' ~p ==> r', ' ~q ==> s'], 'r \/ s '),
  (let
    val demorgan = SPEC 'q:bool' (SPEC 'p:bool' DE_MORGAN_THM)
  in
    PAT_ASSUM '~(p /\ q)' (fn th => ASSUME_TAC ((EQ_MP (CONJUNCT1 demorgan) (ASSUME '~(p /\ q)
    end) THEN

```

```

PAT_ASSUM ``~p \ / ~q`` (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (DISJ_IMP th))) THEN
PAT_ASSUM ``p ==> ~q`` (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_TRANS th (ASSUME ``~q ==> s``))) THEN
PAT_ASSUM ``p ==> s`` (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (DISJ_IMP(ONCE_REWRITE_RULE[DISJ_SYM](IMP_ELIM th))))
PAT_ASSUM ``~s ==> ~p`` (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (IMP_TRANS th (ASSUME ``~p ==> r``))) THEN
PAT_ASSUM ``~s ==> r`` (fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE [] (IMP_ELIM th))) THEN
ASM_REWRITE_TAC [DISJ_SYM]
)

val _ = save_thm("problem3_thm",problem3_thm)

val _ = print_theory "-";

val _ = export_theory();

end (* structure *)

```