

HW3

Abhishek Johri



APRIL 30, 2017

PARALLEL PROGRAMMING
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

1a.

Computation:

 $A*N^2/P$

Communication:

ΚN

Comm-to-Comp Ratio

K*P/N

Efficiency:

1/(1+(K*P/N))

Isoefficiency:

Let $W = N^2$

We know that N = sqrt(W)

 $P/sqrt(W) = k; W = (P/k)^2$

We know that the allgather on a hypercube has a depth of log(p)

Communication Cost:

We start with N/P data and that amount will increase with every level of the gather.

This gives us a sum of (Alpha + Beta * N/P * 2i) for when i = 0 to when i = log(p) - 1

b.

Computation:

A*N/P

Communication:

ΚN

Comm-to-Comp Ratio

K*P/A

Efficiency:

1/(1+(K*P/A))

Isoefficiency:

Problem size is W

2. a.

i) Diameter =
$$(x-1) + (y-1) + (z-1)$$

= $29 + 39 + 49$

Degree = [3, 6] (range of 3 to 6, inclusive)

The diameter of this given mesh is 117 and the degree for each node is between 3 and 6.

ii) If we had a 2D mesh of 30x50, the bisection width of this would be 30. If we have a 3D mesh of 30x40x50 we just have the 40 layers of the 30x50 stacked one top of one another. Because of this we have a bisection width of 30 * 40 = 1200. In order to find the bisection bandwidth of the mesh we will need to multiply the bisection by the channel bandwidth. We are given a channel bandwidth as 4 GB/s

bisection bandwidth = channel bandwidth * bisection width = 4(GB/s) * 1200 = 4800(GB/s)

So we have a resulting bisection bandwidth of the network will be 4800 GB/s

iii) Since we need to minimize bandwidth usage we need to take advantage of all the 2GB/s channel bandwidths. Because of this we need to cut parallels to the x axis apart from the z axis.

Bisection width = 40 * 50 = 2000 This give us 2 GB/s

Bisection bandwidth = channel bandwidth * bisection width = 2 * 2000

= 4000 GB/s

b.

Assumptions:

- 1. The original 2D grid of processes are i, j, and k where i = [0,199], j = [0, 299] and k = [0,0]
- 2. The 3D grid of the processes defined above are labeled with i', j', k' where i' = [0, 29], i' = [0, 39], k' = [0, 49]
- 3. The total # of nodes in all of the cases are the same:
 - a. $(200 * 300 \Rightarrow 60000 \Rightarrow 30 * 40 * 50)$ is true

There are various mappings that can be used in such a situation.

The simplest mapping would take advantage of this:

From this we get the following mapping

$$f(i', j', k') = (j/10, i/5, k/4)$$

From this mapping from the y-axis from the original grid to the x-axis of the 3D mesh. This uses the x axis then from the original grid. This finally creates the y and z axes of the 3D meshes.