*L1-2 Comment 1: Suggestion: this title could be a bit confusing about the paper content. Please, specify that the paper is a description of a dataset eg. Phenology of Mediterranean high-mountain meadows flora database (Sierra Nevada, Spain)*

----

*L38 Comment 2: Review inconsistences with the thousands separator*

We have reviewed all numbers with thousands throughout manuscript and we have homogenized them

----

*L70 Comment 3: Is it a reference??*

No. “c.” is an accepted abbreviation of the latin term “circa” which means “around, about”.

----

*L70 Comment 4: Please review taxa mentioned an number of records for them; the numbers and taxa don’t match with the dataset uploaded. Pinophyta phylum is not included in the text and it has not been taken into account when making calculations and graphics (see details below).*

* *Hemos corregido también en abstract*
* *En figuras*

----

*L128-129 Comment 5: In the uploaded dataset there are 10.940 Magnoliophyta, 63 Pteridophyta and* ***2 Pinophyta****. The calculations mentioned in the text add 11.003 records that does not match with the total.*

Response: We have corrected the numbers to match with the uploaded dataset. See Lines XXXX

----

L129 *Comment 6: 4.882* ***Liliposida*** *records in the dataset. Pinophyta has not been taken into account.*

Response: You are right. The correct number is 4882. We have corrected it, see LXXX

----

*L132 Comment 7: 20 in the dataset.*

L134

Comment 8: **Pinophyta** is not included in Figure2. Please review **Poales**, it seems that in the figure there are one record more than in the dataset published.

*L135 Comment 9: 29 families in the dataset.*

*L136 Comment 10: Cupressaceae, from phylum Pinophyta is not included in Figure3.*

*L137 Comment 11: Review*

*L142 Comment 12: + Pinophyta*

*L143 Comment 13: + Pinopsida*

*L146 Comment 14: + Cupressales*

*L153 Comment 15: + Cupressaceae*

*L161 Comment 16: + Juniperus*

L163

Comment 17: Many paragraphs contain the same information than in the "Study area" section. Suggestion: here you can mention Sierra Nevada high-mountain range but writing a more specific description concerning the geographic area covered by the records (borreguiles), it extension, geographical limits, climate, hydrology…

---

*L194-196 Comment 18: Fernandez Casas vs. Fernandez-Casas: The same author and reference cited in different ways.*

Response: You are rigth. It’s a mistake. We have corrected it.

---

**# Elements of DarwinCore.**

L270

Comment 22: Please review the fields that don’t match with the dataset uploaded.

L272

Comment 23: Not included

L275

Comment 24: Not included

L275

Comment 25: Not included

L276

Comment 26: Moreover in the dataset, there are the following fields not mentioned here:

DayCollected

MonthCollected

YearCollected

EventDate

**References:**

All the references are been revised again and we have corrected the mistakes and remove the references not cited in the text.

*L226 Comment 21: Not included in references. In references there is a Boza et al,* ***2007.***

Respones: It’s a mistake. The correct year is 2007.

**Table**

*L541 Comment 33: Describe “SN”*

Done. See Table 1

Reviewer B

Normally, in English I think we would write "the Sierra Nevada" rather the just "Sierra Nevada". There are several places in the text where the is relevant.  
  
*Comment: In the methods several words are in bold, but it is not clear why.*

Response: These words were in bold to distinguish the different parts of this ecosystem. Anyway, we agree with reviewer and we remove the bold format to avoid confusion.

---   
  
*Comment: The data on GBIF doesn't seem to have a Geodetic Datum associated with the coordinates. It would be better to complete this.*

Response: We have included the geodeticDatum term in the dataset and we have uploaded it to GBIF. In the Datapaper the datum was included at “Quality control description section”.