Biomasss

Model outcomes

Overall proportion of routes with winning models:

model_family	model_formula	n	prop
Gamma Gamma	1 timeperiod timeperiod * source	239 351 149	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3234100 \\ 0.4749662 \\ 0.2016238 \end{array}$

Of models with slope term, the proportion for which abundance and biomass are increasing:

abundance_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	335	0.67
TRUE	165	0.33


biomass_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	256	0.512
TRUE	244	0.488


Restricted to models with an interaction:

$abundance_increasing$	n	prop
FALSE	120	0.8053691
TRUE	29	0.1946309


biomass_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	41	0.2751678
TRUE	108	0.7248322

Direction and magnitude of slopes





Direction of decoupling



Model outcomes

Overall proportion of routes with winning models:

model_family	model_formula	n	prop
Gamma Gamma	1		$0.3112314 \\ 0.6170501$
Gamma	timeperiod * source	53	0.0170301 0.0717185

Of models with slope term, the proportion for which abundance and biomass are increasing:

abundance_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	355	0.697446
TRUE	154	0.302554


energy_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	329	0.6463654
TRUE	180	0.3536346


Restricted to models with an interaction:

abundance_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	42	0.7924528
TRUE	11	0.2075472


energy_increasing	n	prop
FALSE	16	0.3018868
TRUE	37	0.6981132

Direction and magnitude of slopes






Direction of decoupling



ISD overlap



- ## [1] 329.8478
- ## [1] 325.8016
- ## [1] 0.01288145

References