

# Non-equilibrium evolution of volatility in origination and extinction explains fat-tailed fluctuations in Phanerozoic biodiversity

*One sentence summary:* Phanerozoic marine invertebrate richness fluctuates out of equilibrium due to pulsed adaptive evolution.

Andrew J. Rominger<sup>1, \*</sup>, Miguel A. Fuentes<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, and Pablo A. Marquet<sup>1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501, US

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Filosóficas, SDAF, CONICET, Bulnes 642, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>3</sup>Facultad de Ingeniería y Tecnología, Universidad San Sebastián, Lota 2465, Santiago 7510157, Chile

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad de Chile, Alameda 340, Santiago, Chile

<sup>5</sup>Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile

<sup>6</sup>Laboratorio Internacional de Cambio Global (LINCGlobal), and Centro de Cambio Global UC, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile.

<sup>7</sup>Instituto de Sistemas Complejos de Valparaíso (ISCV), Artillería 470, Cerro Artillería, Valparaíso, Chile

<sup>8</sup>Centro de Ciencias de la Complejidad (C3), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed, e-mail: rominger@santafe.edu

1     Fluctuations in biodiversity, both large and small, are pervasive through  
2 the fossil record, yet we do not understand the processes generating them.  
3 Here we extend theory from non-equilibrium statistical physics to describe  
4 the previously unaccounted for fat-tailed form of fluctuations in marine inver-  
5 tebrate richness through the Phanerozoic. Using this theory, known as super-  
6 statistics, we show that the simple fact of heterogeneous rates of origination  
7 and extinction between clades and conserved rates within clades is sufficient  
8 to account for this fat-tailed form. We identify orders and the families they  
9 subsume as the taxonomic level at which clades experience inter-clade hetero-  
10 geneity and within clade homogeneity of rates. Following superstatistics we  
11 would thus posit that orders and families are subsystems in local statistical  
12 equilibrium while the entire system is not in equilibrium. The separation of  
13 timescales between background origination and extinction within clades com-  
14 pared to the origin of major ecological and evolutionary innovations leading  
15 to new orders and families allows within-clade dynamics to reach equilibrium,  
16 while between-clade diversification is non-equilibrial. This between clade non-  
17 equilibrium accounts for the fat-tailed nature of the system as a whole. The  
18 distribution of shifts in diversification dynamics across orders and families is  
19 consistent with niche conservatism and pulsed exploration of adaptive land-  
20 scapes by higher taxa. Compared to other approaches that have used simple  
21 birth-death processes, simple equilibrial dynamics, or non-linear theories from  
22 complexity science, superstatistics is superior in its ability to account for both  
23 small and extreme fluctuations in the richness of fossil taxa. Its success opens  
24 up new research directions to better understand the evolutionary processes  
25 leading to the stasis of order- and family-level occupancy in an adaptive land-  
26 scape interrupted by innovations that lead to novel forms.

# 1 Introduction

Biodiversity has not remained constant nor followed a simple trajectory through geologic time (1–5). Instead, it has been marked by fluctuations in the richness of taxa, both positive in the case of net origination, or negative in the case of net extinction. Major events, such as adaptive radiations and mass extinctions have received special attention (6, 7), but fluctuations of all sizes are ubiquitous (2, 5) and follow a fat-tailed distribution where large events are more probable compared to, e.g. a Gaussian distribution. Understanding the fat-tailed nature of these fluctuations continues to elude paleobiologists and biodiversity theoreticians.

The fat-tailed distribution of fluctuations in taxon richness inspired earlier researchers to invoke ideas from complex systems with similar distributions. Such ideas include the hypotheses that biological systems self-organize to the brink of critical phase-transitions (8, 9) and that environmental perturbations are highly non-linear (10). New data and analyses have not, however, supported these hypotheses at the scale of the entire Phanerozoic marine invertebrate fauna (5, 11). Other studies have modeled the mean trend in taxon richness as tracking a potentially evolving equilibrium (2, 12, 13) and yet ignore the role of stochasticity and non-equilibrium dynamics in producing observed patterns (4, 14, 15). Individual, population, and local ecosystem scale processes that could produce complex dynamics, such as escalatory co-evolutionary interactions (16), have not been documented to scale up to global patterns (17) and indeed should not be expected to do so (18). Thus, we still lack a theory to describe the striking fat-tailed nature of fluctuations throughout the Phanerozoic.

Despite the heterogeneity of explanations of Phanerozoic biodiversity, consensus has emerged on one property of macroevolution: clades experience different rates of morpho-

logical evolution, origination and extinction (2, 3, 19, 20). Here we show that the simple fact of conserved rates within clades and variable rates across clades is sufficient to describe pervasive, fat-tailed fluctuations in taxonomic richness throughout the marine Phanerozoic. This biological mechanism has a precise correspondence to the non-equilibrium theory from statistical physics known as “superstatistics” (21) which has been applied across the physical and social sciences (22, 23). We leverage this correspondence to explain the distribution of fluctuations in the standing richness of marine invertebrates preserved in the Phanerozoic fossil record. We further show that the specific mathematical form of this superstatistical distribution is consistent with niche conservatism (24, 25) and pulsed exploration on an adaptive landscape by higher taxa (19, 25–27). We operationally define “adaptive landscape” to mean a clade’s set of characteristics, and the fitness they impart to the clade, that influences its macroevolution. Those characteristics could be ecological (e.g. substrate preference (25, 28, 29)), morphological (e.g. body plan (14)), or macroecological (e.g. range size (30, 31)).

## 1.1 Superstatistics of fossil biodiversity

Superstatistics (21) proposes that non-equilibrium systems can be decomposed into many local sub-systems, each of which attains a unique dynamic equilibrium. The evolution of these dynamic equilibria across sub-systems occurs more slowly. This separation in time scales allows local systems to reach equilibrium while the system as a whole is not (21). In the context of macroevolution we propose that a clade with conserved macroevolutionary rates corresponds to a sub-system in dynamic equilibrium.

In statistical mechanics, local sub-systems can be defined by a simple statistical parameter  $\beta$  often corresponding to inverse temperature. In macroevolutionary “mechanics” we define the  $\beta_k$  of clade  $k$  as the inverse variance of fluctuations  $x_k$  in the number of

genera within that clade, i.e. fluctuations in the genus richness. The  $\beta_k$  thus represent the inverse variances, what we term volatilities, of the origination-extinction processes of genera with clades. The details of this origination-extinction process, e.g. whether it is linear or subject to a carrying capacity, are not of central importance to our analysis; so long as fluctuations can reach a stationary distribution and are observed over time-averaged intervals in a temporally coarse-grained fossil record they will be approximately Gaussian (see Supplemental Section S1; (32)).

We make the hypothesis of dynamic equilibrium within a clade following MacArthur and Wilson (33) in recognition that while the identity and exact number of taxa will fluctuate stochastically from random origination and extinction (taking the place of local immigration and extinction in island biogeography), the overall process determining the number of taxa, and by extension, fluctuations in that number, is in equilibrium. Indeed, the different regions of adaptive space occupied by different clades can be conceptualized as islands with unique dynamic equilibria, albeit with macroevolutionary processes determining the “colonization” of adaptive peaks, as opposed to short timescale biogeographic processes.

The volatility of richness fluctuations will vary across these islands in adaptive space as an emergent trait of a clade resulting from the macroevolutionary fitness of the clade and the shape of the surrounding adaptive landscape. Ultimately, volatility emerges from the life histories, ecologies, and evolutionary histories that drive each clade’s macroevolutionary fitness and characterize its occupancy of different regions of an adaptive landscape. We do not attempt to diagnose which characteristics of different regions account for volatility differences, but others have found rates of origination and extinction to depend on larval type (34), body plan (14), body size (30), range size (30, 31), and substrate preference (25). Not all of these traits would be considered dimensions of an ecological niche

or characteristics of a guild (28, 29, 35), but they all point to different strategies that influence a clade’s macroevolutionary success. These characteristics result from interactions between heritable traits and environments, which themselves may be semi-heritable (36). Thus different regions of adaptive space, and the clades occupying them, will experience different magnitudes of stochastic fluctuations in taxonomic richness. As clades occasionally split to fill new regions of adaptive space their pulsed diversification determines the non-equilibrium nature of the entire biota.

## 1.2 Real paleontological data to test superstatistics

To uncover the superstatistical nature of the marine invertebrate Phanerozoic fauna we analyzed the distribution of fluctuations in genus richness (the lowest reliably recorded taxonomic resolution) using the Paleobiology Database (PBDB; [paleobiodb.org](http://paleobiodb.org)). We corrected these raw data for incomplete sampling and bias using a new approach described in the methods section. Occurrences from the PBDB were matched to 49 standard time bins all of approximately 11MY duration following previous publications (5, 12). Fluctuations in genus richness were calculated as the simple difference between bias-corrected richnesses in adjacent time bins.

To focus attention on the variance of fluctuations we zero-centered each clade’s fluctuation distribution. In this way we focus on fluctuations about any possible trend toward net diversification or extinction. Because “equilibrium” in the statistical mechanical sense means a system undergoes coherent, concerted responses to perturbation, the mean trend line (positive or negative) is of less interest than deviations from it. We also note that the distributions of fluctuations for most clades are already very close to a mean of 0 (mean at the family level:  $0.038 \pm 0.176$  SD), and so centering has little influence on clade-specific fluctuation distributions, consistent with the observation that origination is often roughly

equal to extinction (37). Following (23) we also ignore all instances of no change (i.e. zero fluctuation).

We define potentially equilibrial sub-systems based on taxonomic hierarchies as a full phylogenetic hypothesis for all marine invertebrates is lacking. Taxa ideally represent groups of organisms that descend from a common ancestor and share similar ecologically and evolutionary relevant traits (38, 39). Thus our model assumes that at a given higher taxonomic level, within-taxon fluctuations in richness are driven by equilibrial processes characterized by Gaussian distributions. We further assume that new higher taxa arise due to the emergence of sufficiently novel traits (be they ecological, morphological, life history, or macroecological) so that those new taxa occupy a new region of an adaptive landscape. We lastly assume that different regions of adaptive space are characterized by different volatilities in origination and extinction.

To evaluate the optimal taxonomic level for sub-system designation, we test our superstatistical theory using taxonomic levels from family to phylum. Additionally, we compare our results to randomized taxonomies and confirm that the observed fit of superstatistics is not an artifact of arbitrary classification but instead represents real, biologically relevant diversification processes within and between clades. We find that families and orders conform to the assumptions of our superstatistical model while classes and phyla do not.

## 2 Results

We first evaluate the local equilibria of clades from family level to phylum. We find that family level fluctuation distributions are well approximated by Gaussians (Figs. 1 and S3). Three exemplar family-level dynamics are highlighted in Figure 1 to illustrate how different volatility equilibria express themselves as actual richness timeseries. This Gaussian approximation also largely holds for orders, but classes and phyla increasingly show

148 deviations from Gaussian with greater kurtosis corresponding to more frequent outliers  
 149 at these taxonomic levels (Fig. S3).




Figure 1: The distributions of within-family fluctuations in genus richness shown for the trajectories of three exemplar families (A) and shown as an empirical cumulative density functions aggregated across all families (B). To display all families simultaneously we simply collapse their fluctuation distributions by dividing by their standard deviations. If families conform to the Gaussian hypothesis their scaled fluctuations should fall along the cumulative density line of a normal  $N(0, 1)$  distribution, as shown in (B). We further confirm this normal distribution in the supplement (Fig. S3). In (C) the distribution of inverse variances  $\beta_k$  across all families matches very closely to a Gamma distribution (black line); exemplar families are again highlighted.

150 To predict the superstatistical behavior of the entire marine invertebrate Phanerozoic  
 151 fauna we must integrate over all possible local equilibria that each clade could experience.  
 152 The stationary distribution of  $\beta_k$  values describes these possible equilibria, specifying the



probability that a given clade, chosen at random, will occupy a region of adaptive space characterized by  $\beta_k$ .

We estimate the distribution of  $\beta_k$ 's simply as the maximum likelihood distribution describing the set of volatilities for all families, orders, classes, or phyla. Phanerozoic marine invertebrate families clearly follow a Gamma distribution in their  $\beta_k$  values (Fig. 1). The Gamma distribution also holds for orders but shows increasing deviations again for classes and especially phyla (Fig. S4).

Using the observation of within family statistical equilibrium and Gamma-distributed  $\beta_k$  parameters we can calculate, without further adjusting free parameters, the distributions of family-level fluctuations for the entire marine Phanerozoic,  $P(x)$ , as

$$P(x) = \int_0^\infty p_k(x | \beta) f(\beta) d\beta \quad (1)$$

where  $p_k(x | \beta) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\beta x^2}{2}}$  is the distribution of fluctuations within a family and  $f(\beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(b_1/2)} \left(\frac{b_1}{2b_0}\right)^{b_1/2} \beta^{(b_1/2)-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b_1\beta}{2b_0}\right)$  is the stationary distribution of volatilities in richness fluctuations. The integral in (1) leads to

$$P(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b_1+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{b_1}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{\pi b_1}} \left(1 + \frac{b_0 x^2}{b_1}\right)^{-\frac{b_1+1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

This corresponds to a non-Gaussian, fat-tailed prediction for  $P(x)$  which closely matches aggregated family level fluctuations in the bias-corrected PBDB (Fig. 2).

To quantitatively evaluate how well the superstatistical prediction matches the family- and order-level data we constructed a 95% confidence envelope from bootstrapped maximum likelihood estimates of  $P(x)$ . Observed fluctuations for both taxonomic levels fall within these 95% confidence envelopes (Fig. 2), indicating that the data do not reject the superstatistical prediction. For further comparison, we fit a Gaussian distribution to the observed fluctuations, which corresponds to the equilibrium hypothesis that all




Figure 2: Distribution of fluctuations in genus richness within different taxonomic groupings of marine invertebrates in the Paleobiology Database (5) after sampling correction. The distribution is fat-tailed as compared to the maximum likelihood estimate of the normal distribution (blue line). At the family and order level the empirical distribution of fluctuations are well described by our superstatistical approach, both when computed from integrating over the distribution of observed variances (red line) and when fit via maximum likelihood (95% confidence interval; red shading in (A) and (B)).

168 families conform to the same dynamic. Using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) we  
 169 find that observed fluctuations are considerably better explained by the superstatistical  
 170 prediction than by the Gaussian hypothesis ( $\Delta\text{AIC} = 1895.622$ ). Thus, as expected under  
 171 the superstatistical hypothesis, the fat-tailed distribution of fluctuations arise from the

172 superposition of independent Gaussian statistics of fluctuations within families. Com-  
173 puting the distribution of aggregated fluctuations using orders also closely matches the  
174 observed data (Fig. 2) but as we further coarsen the taxonomy to classes and phyla we  
175 see increasingly poorer correspondence between data and theory (Fig. 2).

176 We quantify this change in the goodness of fit with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statis-  
177 tic (Fig. 3). We can see that both families and orders have low Kolmogorov-Smirnov  
178 statistics, and in fact order level designation of equilibril subsystems performs slightly  
179 better than the family level. Classes are substantially worse and phyla worse yet with  
180 the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic of phyla being no different than the null randomized  
181 taxonomies described below.

182 However, if superstatistical theory explains the data, this worsening fit with increas-  
183 ing taxonomic scale is expected as the different classes and phyla should not represent  
184 dynamically equilibril sub-systems in their fluctuation dynamics. Instead, classes and  
185 phyla aggregate increasingly disparate groups of organisms, and thus effectively mix their  
186 associated Gaussian fluctuations, meaning that one statistic should no longer be sufficient  
187 to describe class- and phylum-level dynamics. We see this confirmed by the increasing  
188 frequency of outlier fluctuations in within class and phylum level fluctuation distributions  
189 (Fig. S3). We can also see that families and orders represent, on average, 1 to 2 ecospace  
190 hypercubes (defined by taxon environment, motility, life habit, vision, diet, reproduc-  
191 tion, and ontogeny (28, 29, 35)), respectively. In contrast, classes and phyla represent, on  
192 average, 8 to 30 hypercubes, respectively (Fig. S5).

193 Our analysis indicates that orders and families are evolutionarily coherent units with  
194 all subsumed taxa sharing key ecological and evolutionary attributes allowing them to  
195 reach steady state diversification independently from other clades at global scale. The  
196 fact that both orders and families conform to theoretical predictions is consistent with

197 superstatistics. If superstatistics operates at the order level, then the families subsumed  
 198 by these orders should represent random realizations of their order's stationary  $\beta_k^{(order)}$   
 199 volatility. The sum of Gamma random variables is still Gamma, but with new parameters,  
 200 thus the family level distribution of  $\beta_k^{(family)}$  is still Gamma.




Figure 3: Distribution of Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistics from randomly permuting genera within families (gray shading represents 95% confidence interval). Solid colored lines are observed KS statistics at different taxonomic levels as indicated.

201 To further test the evolutionary coherence of families we conducted a permutation  
 202 experiment in which genera were randomly reassigned to families while maintaining the  
 203 number of genera in each family. For each permutation, we calculated the superstatistical  
 204 prediction and its Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic. The permutation simulates a null model  
 205 in which common evolutionary history is stripped away (genera are placed in random  
 206 families) but the total number of observed genera per family is held constant. [Because](#)

we ignore all instances of no change (i.e. 0 fluctuation) we remove any possible large and artificial gaps in the genus occurrences of these permuted clades. Controlling for the total number of genera per family is key because this could be purely an artifact of an arbitrary taxonomic process (40, 41) and genus richness alone could be solely responsible for differences in the  $\beta_k$  across clades.

We test the possibility that richness is responsible for variation in  $\beta_k$  in two ways. First, we find that the distribution of genus richnesses within families is not itself distributed Gamma (Fig. S7), indicating that there is not a simple equivalence between  $\beta_k$  and the richness of family  $k$ . Second, we find that the number of genera in a family and that family's  $\beta_k$  value are indeed negatively correlated (Fig. S6). A negative correlation between clade richness and  $\beta_k$  is not unexpected because fluctuations are the sums of the random variables representing genus origination and extinction events; the more of these random variables in the summation (i.e. the more genus richness in a clade) the higher the variance of the summation. Because  $\beta_k \equiv 1/\sigma_k^2$  increasing richness should lead to decreasing  $\beta_k$  values. Thus we want to know if this correlation accounts for all downstream superstatistical results. The permutation test is specifically designed to determine if the  $\beta_k$  imposed by this correlation with richness are sufficient to explain the observed superstatistical fit.

Repeating the null permutation of genera in families 500 times yields a null distribution of Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics that is far separated from the observed values at the family and order levels (Fig. 3) suggesting that the good fit at these levels is not merely a statistical artifact of classification or the richness of clades, but carries important biological information. Classes approach the null and phyla are no different. It should also be noted that the width of 95% confidence interval of this null distribution is not far from the distance between the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics of orders versus families, suggesting

that differences of fit between these taxonomic levels is at least partially accounted for by the randomness of the sampling distribution of Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics.

### 3 Discussion

Our analysis makes no assumption that orders and families should correspond to superstatistical subsystems, but identifies them as the appropriate level for marine invertebrates. Our study is the first to demonstrate that complex patterns in the fluctuation of taxon richness in the fossil record are the result of a simple underlying process analogous to the statistical mechanisms by which complexity emerges in large, non-equilibrium physical (22) and social systems (23). We do so by identifying the biological scale at which clades conform to locally independent dynamic equilibria in fluctuations. Equilibrium could result from many processes, including neutrality (33, 42), diversity-dependence (43, 44) and processes that dampen—rather than exacerbate—fluctuations in complex ecological networks (45). These candidate processes are directly opposed to the presumption of instability underlying the self-organized criticality hypothesis for paleo biodiversity (8, 9).

We show that the distribution describing the evolution to different equilibria between orders and families is Gamma (Fig. 1). A Gamma distribution, while consistent with multiple processes, could result from evolution of diversification rates across an adaptive landscape that promotes niche conservatism and pulsed exploration of niche space (46). Specifically, if  $\beta_k$  values are associated with a clade’s macroevolutionarily-relevant traits, and those traits evolve via Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-like exploration of an adaptive landscape, the resulting stationary distribution of  $\beta_k$  will be Gamma (46). For macroevolutionary rates to vary in a way consistent with the observed superstatistical description of fluctuations this landscape cannot be flat (i.e. equal fitness everywhere), but instead must

be rugged. Thus, niche conservatism around local fitness optima in adaptive space interrupted by adaptive exploration is likely (27, 47). The specifics of how this adaptive landscape is shaped and is traversed by evolving clades determine the exact form of the distribution of  $\beta_k$  volatilities, in the case of the marine Phanerozoic resulting in a Gamma distribution. Our work thus motivates further study of the trait spaces and evolutionary shifts consistent with Gamma-distributed equilibria in richness fluctuation volatilities.

We show that the pulsed shift to different equilibria between orders and the families they subsume is sufficient to explain the characteristically fat-tailed distribution of richness fluctuations when the marine Phanerozoic invertebrate fauna is viewed as a whole macrosystem. Armed with an understanding of the statistical origin of this diversification pattern we can explore which models of niche conservatism and pulsed adaptive radiation are consistent with the statistical behavior of the Phanerozoic. Our statistical theory provides new motivation for identifying the eco-evolutionary causes of innovations between lineages and how those innovations are eventually conserved within lineages. Using the superstatistical prediction as a theoretical baseline, we can also go on to identify and robustly examine the mechanisms underlying deviations from statistical theory. For example, some clades wax and wane systematically, and possibly non-symmetrically, through time (4, 13, 31), a pattern that we cannot explain with superstatistics alone.

Superstatistics could also be applied to other areas of evolution and macroecology. For example new phylogenetic models already consider heterogeneous rates of diversification (e.g., (20)) as expected between different subsystems. The superstatistics of clades in adaptive landscapes could motivate models that jointly predict changes in traits and diversification, a research area currently struggling with model inadequacy (48). This framework could also provide a new paradigm in modeling the distributions of richness, abundance, and resource use in non-neutral communities which can be viewed as emerging

from the combination of locally equilibrium subsystems. Non-neutral models in ecology are criticized for their over-parameterization (49), yet a persistent counter argument to neutral theory (42) is the unrealistic assumption of ecological equivalency and poor prediction of real dynamics (49). If ecosystems are viewed as the superposition of many individualistically evolving clades, each exploiting the environment differently and thus obeying a different set of statistics, then diversity dynamics could be parsimoniously predicted with superstatistics while incorporating real biological information on ecological differences between taxa.

Superstatistics is a powerful tool to derive macro-scale predictions from locally fluctuating sub-systems whose evolution is driven by interesting, but complex and difficult to model, biological mechanisms. As such, applications of superstatistics to a wide variety of patterns in ecological and evolutionary systems are ripe for exploration.

## 4 Methods and Materials

All data processing and analyses were preformed in R (50) and all code needed to reproduce our study are provided, with added explanation, in supplemental Appendix A.

### 4.1 Paleobiology Database data download and filtering

Data on individual fossil occurrences and the ecospace characteristics of Phanerozoic marine invertebrates were downloaded from the Paleobiology Database (PBDB; <https://paleobiodb.org>) on 16 November 2018 via the database’s API (data retrieval and processing script available in the supplement). Collections were filtered using the same approach as Alroy (5) to insure that only well preserved marine invertebrate occurrences were used in subsequent analyses. This filtering resulted in 815,222 unique genus-level occurrences. These were further filtered to exclude those occurrences without family-level



taxonomy and those collections with age estimate resolutions outside the 11MY time bins proposed by Alroy (5) resulting in 454,033 occurrences. Time bins were compiled from <http://fossilworks.org> with a custom script reproduced in the supplement. The first and last of these time bins, corresponding to the earliest Cambrian and the latest Cenozoic, were excluded from analysis because their sampling completeness (see below) could not be assessed.

## 4.2 Correcting for imperfect and potentially biased sampling

We use a new and flexible method to correct for known sampling incompleteness and biases in publication-based specimen databases (5, 12). Incompleteness is inherent in all biodiversity samples, the fossil record being no exception (51–54). In addition to incompleteness, bias may result from preferential publication of novel taxa (12) which exacerbates the difference between poorly-sampled and well-sampled time periods. We therefore develop a simple two-step method: we first correct genus richness for incomplete sampling using the “three-timer” correction (5) and then further correct this three-timer richness estimate by accounting for any correlation between the number of genera and the number of publications in a time period.

The three-timer correction estimates the probability of failure to observe a genus in a given time period  $p_t$  as the number of times any genus is recorded before and after that period but not during, divided by the number of genera whose occurrence histories span the period  $t$ . To calculate the sampling-corrected richness  $\hat{D}_{kt}$  of a clade  $k$  in the time period in question, the observed genera within that clade and time period are divided by  $1 - p_t$  and their occurrences summed:

$$\hat{D}_{kt} = \sum_{j \in k} \frac{I_{jt}}{1 - p_t} \quad (3)$$

where  $j \in k$  designates genera in clade  $k$  and  $I_{jt}$  is an indicator equal to 1 if genus  $j$  occurs in time period  $t$ .

$\hat{D}_{kt}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of richness in a simple occupancy through time type model assuming binomial sampling (55), and in that way mimics other proposed methods for the fossil record (52, 53). We avoid parametrically modeling the sampling process through time by instead taking a sliding window of time bins from the Cambrian to the Cenozoic. It should be noted that the three-timer correction compares favorably to other similar methods to account for imperfect detection (56)

To eliminate further bias due to preferential publication of novel taxa (12) we divide the three-timer-corrected number of genera per family per time period by the expected number of genera given publications in that time period. The expected number is calculated by regressing the log-transformed three-timer-corrected number of genera on log-transformed number of publications. There is only a weak trend toward higher richness with more publications (Fig. S1) meaning that the most important correction comes from the three timer correction.

Our new method re-scales each genus occurrence from 0 or 1 (absent or present) to a weighted number continuously ranging between 0 and 1. Because these weighted numbers represent sampling and bias-corrected *occurrences* we can add them arbitrarily, corresponding to the membership of any given genus in any given higher taxonomic group. We must, however, choose a taxonomic level at which to evaluate the relationship between richness and publications; we choose the level of family because this is the most finely resolved option.

We opt not to use subsampling methods (12, 51, 57) because these approaches would not be advisable for clades with few genera. However, our new method achieves similar results to subsampling procedures at the global scale across all clades. We directly

compare our predicted time series of global fluctuations in genus richness with results derived from rarefaction and shareholder quorum subsampling (SQS) in Figure S2. Our method shows very minor differences with these subsampling-based predictions and any discrepancies do not impact the statistical distribution of fluctuations (Fig. S2).

### 4.3 Superstatistical methods

We first derive the superstatistical distribution  $P(x)$  by fitting Gaussian distributions to clade-level distributions of fluctuations  $p_k(x)$ , extracting the inverse variances  $\beta_k$  of those  $p_k(x)$ , testing the best function to describe the distribution of  $\beta_k$ , and then integrating  $P(x) = \int_{\beta} p_k(x|\beta)f(\beta)$ . This process allows no free parameters to hone the fit of  $P(x)$  to the data. However, each inverse variance must of course be estimated for each clade, making its good fit to data all the more surprising. To do so we use least squares instead of maximum likelihood because the asymmetric fluctuation distributions of small clades were more reliably fit with curve fitting than with maximum likelihood.

We also estimated  $P(x)$  directly from the family-level data using maximum likelihood to compare the fit of our superstatistical prediction and that of a simple Gaussian distribution using AIC. To calculate a likelihood-based confidence interval on our prediction we bootstrapped the data, subsampling fluctuations with replacement from all families and fit superstatistics using maximum likelihood to the aggregated fluctuation distribution of each bootstrap replicate.

## References

1. D. M. Raup, J. J. Sepkoski Jr, *et al.*, *Science* **215**, 1501 (1982).
2. J. J. Sepkoski, *Paleobiology* **10**, 246 (1984).

- 367 3. N. L. Gilinsky, *Paleobiology* pp. 445–458 (1994).
- 368 4. L. H. Liow, N. C. Stenseth, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*  
369 **274**, 2745 (2007).
- 370 5. J. Alroy, *et al.*, *Science* **321**, 97 (2008).
- 371 6. M. Benton, *Science* **268**, 52 (1995).
- 372 7. D. H. Erwin, *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **13**, 344 (1998).
- 373 8. P. Bak, K. Sneppen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 4083 (1993).
- 374 9. R. V. Solé, S. C. Manrubia, M. Benton, P. Bak, *Nature* **388**, 764 (1997).
- 375 10. M. E. J. Newman, B. W. Roberts, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* **260**,  
376 31 (1995).
- 377 11. J. W. Kirchner, A. Weil, *Nature* **395**, 337 (1998).
- 378 12. J. Alroy, *Science* **329**, 1191 (2010).
- 379 13. T. B. Quental, C. R. Marshall, *Science* (2013).
- 380 14. D. H. Erwin, *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental*  
381 *Evolution* **318**, 460 (2012).
- 382 15. S. M. Jordan, T. G. Barraclough, J. Rosindell, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**, 20150221  
383 (2016).
- 384 16. G. J. Vermeij, *Evolution and Escalation* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.,  
385 1987).
- 386 17. J. S. Madin, *et al.*, *Science* **312**, 897 (2006).

- 387 18. G. J. Vermeij, *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **263**, 3 (2008).
- 388 19. G. Simpson, *The Major Features of Evolution* pp. 313–337 (1953).
- 389 20. D. L. Rabosky, *PloS one* **9**, e89543 (2014).
- 390 21. C. Beck, E. Cohen, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **322**, 267  
391 (2003).
- 392 22. C. Beck, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **193**, 195 (2004).
- 393 23. M. A. Fuentes, A. Gerig, J. Vicente, *PLoS ONE* **4**, e8243 (2009).
- 394 24. K. Roy, G. Hunt, D. Jablonski, A. Z. Krug, J. W. Valentine, *Proceedings of the Royal*  
395 *Society B: Biological Sciences* **276**, 1485 (2009).
- 396 25. M. J. Hopkins, C. Simpson, W. Kiessling, *Ecology letters* **17**, 314 (2014).
- 397 26. N. Eldredge, S. J. Gould, *Models in paleobiology* **82**, 115 (1972).
- 398 27. C. Newman, J. Cohen, C. Kipnis, *Nature* **315**, 400 (1985).
- 399 28. R. K. Bambach, *Biotic interactions in recent and fossil benthic communities* (Springer,  
400 1983), pp. 719–746.
- 401 29. A. M. Bush, R. K. Bambach, G. M. Daley, *Paleobiology* **33**, 76 (2007).
- 402 30. P. G. Harnik, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 13594 (2011).
- 403 31. M. Foote, J. S. Crampton, A. G. Beu, R. A. Cooper, *Paleobiology* **34**, 421 (2008).
- 404 32. W. K. Grassmann, *Annals of Operations Research* **8**, 165 (1987).

- 405 33. R. H. MacArthur, E. O. Wilson, *The theory of island biogeography* (Princeton Uni-  
406 versity Press, 1967).
- 407 34. D. Jablonski, *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **39**, 501 (2008).
- 408 35. R. K. Bambach, A. M. Bush, D. H. Erwin, *Palaeontology* **50**, 1 (2007).
- 409 36. F. J. Odling-Smee, K. N. Laland, M. W. Feldman, *Niche construction: the neglected*  
410 *process in evolution* (Princeton university press, 2003).
- 411 37. M. Foote, *Evolution since Darwin: the first 150 years* pp. 479–510 (2010).
- 412 38. E. Mayr, *Systematic Zoology* **14**, 73 (1965).
- 413 39. D. H. Erwin, *Palaeontology* **50**, 57 (2007).
- 414 40. G. U. Yule, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B* **213**,  
415 21 (1925).
- 416 41. A. Capocci, G. Caldarelli, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **41**,  
417 224016 (2008).
- 418 42. S. P. Hubbell, *The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography (MPB-32)*,  
419 vol. 32 (Princeton University Press, 2001).
- 420 43. D. Moen, H. Morlon, *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **29**, 190 (2014).
- 421 44. M. Foote, R. A. Cooper, J. S. Crampton, P. M. Sadler, *Proc. R. Soc. B* **285**, 20180122  
422 (2018).
- 423 45. E. L. Berlow, *et al.*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 187 (2009).

- 424 46. J. C. Cox, J. E. Ingersoll Jr, S. A. Ross, *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric*  
425 *Society* pp. 385–407 (1985).
- 426 47. S. Gavrillets, *Fitness landscapes and the origin of species*, vol. 41 (Princeton University  
427 Press, 2004).
- 428 48. D. L. Rabosky, E. E. Goldberg, *Evolution* **71**, 1432 (2017).
- 429 49. J. Rosindell, S. P. Hubbell, R. S. Etienne, *Trends in ecology & evolution* **26**, 340  
430 (2011).
- 431 50. R Core Team, *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, R Foun-  
432 dation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (2018).
- 433 51. A. I. Miller, M. Foote, *Paleobiology* **22**, 304 (1996).
- 434 52. M. Foote, *Paleobiology* **42**, 707 (2016).
- 435 53. J. Starrfelt, L. H. Liow, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**, 20150219 (2016).
- 436 54. R. A. Close, S. W. Evers, J. Alroy, R. J. Butler, *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*  
437 **9**, 1386 (2018).
- 438 55. J. A. Royle, R. M. Dorazio, *Hierarchical modeling and inference in ecology: the*  
439 *analysis of data from populations, metapopulations and communities* (Academic Press,  
440 2008).
- 441 56. J. Alroy, *Paleobiology* **40**, 374 (2014).
- 442 57. A. T. Kocsis, C. J. Reddin, J. Alroy, W. Kiessling, *bioRxiv* p. 423780 (2018).

## Acknowledgments

**General:** We thank John Harte, Rosemary Gillespie, Linden Schneider, Jun Ying Lim, and David Jablonski for helpful discussion. We thank Aaron Clauset and four anonymous reviewers for greatly improving the quality of this manuscript. We thank the many contributors to the Paleobiology Database for making data available.

**Funding:** AJR thanks funding from Fulbright Chile, the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program and the Omidyar Program at the Santa Fe Institute; MAF thanks FONDECYT 1140278; PM thanks CONICYT AFB170008, ICM-P05-002 and FONDECYT 1161023.

**Author contributions:** AJR, MAF and PAM designed the study; AJR and MAF performed the analyses; AJR, MAF and PAM interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** none.

**Data and materials availability:** Data are available through the Paleobiology Database ([paleobiodb.org](http://paleobiodb.org)) and all code needed to interface with the [paleobiodb.org](http://paleobiodb.org) API, process, clean, and ultimately analyze the data are available online at [github.com/ajrominger/paleo\\_supStat](https://github.com/ajrominger/paleo_supStat). This github repository also hosts the exact download from [paleobiodb.org](http://paleobiodb.org) used in this analysis. All required scripts are also available and explained in supplemental Appendix A.