
MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF FINITE TEMPERATURE PRIMORDIAL ELECTRON-POSITRON PLASMA

A PREPRINT

Andrew Steinmetz[✉]*, Cheng Tao Yang[✉], and Johann Rafelski[✉]

Department of Physics, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

May 23, 2023

ABSTRACT

In the early universe above temperature $T > 20$ keV there was an gargantuan density of electron-positron pairs which rapidly vanished. We explore the possibility that this phenomenon was responsible for generating primordial magnetic fields in the universe. At higher electron-positron pair densities, spin paramagnetism is dominant over the Landau orbital diamagnetism of the gas. In this work, we seek to describe the magnetization of (and possible magnetogenesis within) the primordial electron-positron plasma as it underwent a rapid drop in density of order $\mathcal{O}(10^{12})$ relative to the baryon density in the temperature range $200 \text{ keV} > T > 20 \text{ keV}$. Our analysis focuses on the partition function of the relativistic Fermi gas at finite temperatures.

Keywords early universe cosmology · magnetization · electron-positron plasma · intergalactic magnetic fields

1 Introduction

Unlike electric fields which cannot be supported at large scales due to the charge neutrality of the universe, cosmic magnetic fields are easily generated [1, 2, 3] by a variety of physical phenomenon which are difficult to screen. Magnetic fields are present everywhere: around compact objects (stars, planets, etc...), between stars, within galaxies, between galaxies in clusters, and surprisingly in the deep extra-galactic void spaces where little matter exists. These intergalactic magnetic fields (IGMF) present a challenge both experimentally and theoretically in that they are (a) difficult to measure and (b) difficult to explain using known physics. The bounds for IGMF at a coherent length scale of 1 Mpc are today [4, 5, 6, 7]

$$10^{-8} \text{ G} > \mathcal{B}_{\text{IGFM}} > 10^{-16} \text{ G} . \quad (1)$$

There are three conventional explanations [8] for the existence of IGMF:

1. **Primordial fields** - Cosmic primordial magnetic fields (PMF) are produced in the universe before the recombination epoch possibly as far back as inflation. Such fields would arise from the cosmic-scale polarization of the early universe, phase transitions, or magnetogenesis from the breakdown of some unknown field.
2. **Dynamo amplification** - Initially small “seed” magnetic fields dynamically reorganize charged matter fluids amplifying the total magnetic flux in a process called dynamo. Such seed fields may be primordial or astrophysical in origin.
3. **Astrophysical sources** - Late times development of IGMF arises from stars, supernova and active galaxy nuclei (AGN) producing galactic outflows of charged matter which would contaminate and magnetize regions between galaxies.

Faraday rotation from distant radio AGN [9] suggest that neither dynamo nor astrophysical processes would sufficiently account for the presence of IGMF in the universe today if the IGMF strength was around the upper bound of

*Correspondence: ajsteinmetz@arizona.edu

$\mathcal{B}_{\text{IGMF}} \simeq 30 - 60$ nG as found in [7]. Such strong IGMFs would then require that at least some portion of the IGMF arise from primordial sources that predate the formation of stars and galaxies, or the CMB. It was shown by Jedamzik and Pogosian [10] that the presence of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{PMF}} \simeq 0.1$ nG could be sufficient to explain the Hubble tension. Such pre-recombination PMFs would lead to early universe baryon inhomogeneities which in turn would produce anisotropies in the CMB [11]. PMF strengths of around a tenth of a nanoGauss is also near the more stringent upper bound for PMFs found in [6, 12]. Even if IGMFs are found to be produced by some mixture all three scenarios listed above, the existence of PMFs would be uniquely interesting because of their effects on (or generation within) the early universe primordial plasmas which populated the universe before recombination.

We seek in this work to describe the influence PMFs had on the dense electron-positron (e^\pm) plasma epoch in the temperature range $2 \text{ MeV} > T > 0.02 \text{ MeV}$ in the early universe. We note that above temperature $T > 85 \text{ keV}$ [13], the e^\pm primordial plasma density exceeded that of the Sun's core density $n_e \simeq 6 \times 10^{26} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [14]. Due to cosmological redshift, and the conservation of magnetic flux over a comoving volume, such PMFs would have extraordinary field strengths during the various primordial plasmas of the early universe subject to whatever temperature they were initially generated in. **Include ref on how QGP, EWT and Inflation are also candidates.** This combination of strong magnetic fields, high matter-antimatter density, and relatively high temperatures (far higher than the Sun's core temperature [15] of $T_\odot = 1.37 \text{ keV}$) make this era unique in cosmology and astrophysics.

2 Electron-positron magnetization

Within a homogenous field regime, the magnetic field varies over cosmic expansion as

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \mathcal{B}_0 \left(\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t)} \right)^\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(z) = \mathcal{B}_0 (1+z)^\kappa, \quad (2)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor for the universe's expansion (as given by the FLRW metric), z is the redshift, and \mathcal{B}_0 is the comoving value of the magnetic field defined by the contemporary value of the magnetic field today. The parameter κ is then determined by the physical origin of the magnetic field. For scale-invariant PMFs, $\kappa = 2$ as to conserve the total magnetic flux through comoving surfaces [3]. Magnetic fields which are generated through other mechanisms [9] (such as dynamo or astrophysical sources) will have values which differ and in general $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa(z)$ can be parametrized as a function of redshift. Even for PMFs, the measured value of κ will deviate to account for the change in flux from large scale structure formation in the mid to late universe's evolution. Under the PMF assumption of $\kappa = 2$ in Eq. (2), we can define a cosmic magnetic scale

$$b_0 \equiv \frac{e\mathcal{B}}{T^2} = \text{const.} \quad 10^{-3} > b_0 > 10^{-11}, \quad (3)$$

in terms of temperature and electric charge. In Eq. (3), we have computed the bounds given in Eq. (1) for today's contemporary temperature of $T_0 = 2.7 \text{ K}$.

While the universe is nearly homogeneous and isotropic (the Cosmological Principle) on the largest scales, the inhomogeneities of matter (and dark matter) evolution are non-trivial and must generally be solved numerically using magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) [16, 17]. Our focus however will be on the bulk properties of thermalized plasmas in (near) equilibrium. In considering e^\pm plasma, we introduce the microscopic energy of the charged e relativistic fermion within a homogenous (z -direction) magnetic field [18] in natural units ($c = \hbar = k_B = 1$)

$$E_n^\pm(p_z, \mathcal{B}) = \tilde{m}_\pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{p_z^2}{\tilde{m}_\pm^2} + \frac{e\mathcal{B}n}{\tilde{m}_\pm^2}}, \quad \tilde{m}_\pm^2 = m^2 + e\mathcal{B} \left(1 \mp \frac{g}{2} \right), \quad n \in 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

where p_z is the momentum parallel to the field axis and n is the Landau orbital quantum number. The subscript \pm refers to the spin polarization along the field axis: aligned (+) or anti-aligned (-). In Eq. (4) we also introduced the effective mass \tilde{m}_\pm which is distinct for each polarization and is a function of magnetic field strength \mathcal{B} . The parameter g is the gyromagnetic (g -factor) of the particle.

The magnetized plasma Fermi-Dirac partition function is then given by

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_{e^+e^-} = \frac{2e\mathcal{B}V}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_\sigma \sum_s \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[\ln \left(1 + \lambda_\sigma e^{-E_n^s/T} \right) \right], \quad \lambda_\sigma = e^{\mu_\sigma/T}, \quad (5)$$

where σ is a sum over electron and positron states and s is a sum over polarizations. We introduce the fugacity λ_σ and the chemical potential μ_σ noting that the electron and positron chemical potentials may differ. In a charge neutral plasma, $\mu \equiv \mu_{e^-} = -\mu_{e^+}$ [19]. While the primordial electron-positron plasma era was overall charge neutral, there

was a small asymmetry in the charged leptons from baryon asymmetry [20] and the presence of protons. The resulting asymmetry in chemical potentials was small [13] until the temperature of the universe dropped below $T < 20$ keV and the positrons vanished from the particle inventory of the universe.

We implement the Boltzmann approximation for the domain where $|\mu_{e^-}|/T \ll 1$ which is approximately true in the temperature range of interest and apply the Euler-Maclaurin formula to convert the summation over Landau levels into an integration. The resulting partition function can then be written in terms of modified Bessel (Macdonald) functions yielding

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_{e^+e^-} \simeq \frac{T^3 V}{2\pi^2} \left[2 \cosh \left(\frac{\mu(T)}{T} \right) \right] \sum_s^{\pm} \left(x_s^2 K_2(x_s) + \frac{b_0}{2} x_s K_1(x_s) + \frac{b_0^2}{12} K_0(x_s) \right), \quad (6)$$

$$x_{\pm} = \frac{\tilde{m}_{\pm}}{T} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{m^2}{T^2} + b_0 \left(1 \mp \frac{g}{2} \right)}. \quad (7)$$

As the e^{\pm} density changes dramatically over this period, we have used the charge neutrality condition [13] to parametrize the chemical potential $\mu(T)$ as a function of temperature. The magnetization of the e^{\pm} plasma described by Eq. (6) can be written in terms of the effective mass as

$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}_{e^+e^-}}{\partial \mathcal{B}} = \sum_s^{\pm} \frac{T}{V} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{m}_s}{\partial \mathcal{B}} \right) \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}_{e^+e^-}}{\partial \tilde{m}_s}, \quad (8)$$

yielding

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{B}} \right) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi b_0} \left[2 \cosh \left(\frac{\mu(T)}{T} \right) \right] \sum_s^{\pm} [c_1(x_s) K_1(x_s) + c_0 K_0(x_s)], \quad (9)$$

$$c_1(x_{\pm}) = x_{\pm} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{g}{4} \right) \left(1 + \frac{b_0^2}{12x_{\pm}^2} \right) \right], \quad c_0 = b_0 \left[\frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{1}{4} \mp \frac{g}{8} \right) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Here α is fine-structure constant. We note that the expression for the magnetization simplifies significantly for $g = 2$ which is the “cusp” gyromagnetic factor [21] of the Dirac particle.

3 Stray thoughts (Put somewhere!)

It was found in [22] that the electron, proton, photon plasma of the immediate pre-recombination era generates (from density fluctuations) a weak field of $\mathcal{B} \simeq 10^{-30}$ G far below the known IGMF bound or necessarily seed field size for dynamo.

References

- [1] P. P. Kronberg. Extragalactic magnetic fields. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 57(4):325, 1994. doi:[10.1088/0034-4885/57/4/001](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/57/4/001).
- [2] B. M. Gaensler, R. Beck, and L. Feretti. The origin and evolution of cosmic magnetism. *New Astronomy Reviews*, 48(11-12):1003–1012, 2004. doi:[10.1016/j.newar.2004.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2004.09.003).
- [3] R. Durrer and A. Neronov. Cosmological magnetic fields: their generation, evolution and observation. *The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review*, 21:1–109, 2013. doi:[10.1007/s00159-013-0062-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-013-0062-7).
- [4] A. Neronov and I. Vovk. Evidence for strong extragalactic magnetic fields from fermi observations of tev blazars. *Science*, 328(5974):73–75, 2010. doi:[10.1126/science.1184192](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184192).
- [5] A. M. Taylor, I. Vovk, and A. Neronov. Extragalactic magnetic fields constraints from simultaneous gev–tev observations of blazars. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 529:A144, 2011. doi:[10.1051/0004-6361/201116441](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116441).
- [6] M. S. Pshirkov, P. G. Tinyakov, and F. R. Urban. New limits on extragalactic magnetic fields from rotation measures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(19):191302, 2016. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.191302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.191302).
- [7] T. Vernstrom, G. Heald, F. Vazza, T. J. Galvin, J. L. West, N. Locatelli, N. Fornengo, and E. Pinetti. Discovery of magnetic fields along stacked cosmic filaments as revealed by radio and X-ray emission. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 505(3):4178–4196, 05 2021. doi:[10.1093/mnras/stab1301](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1301).
- [8] R. A. Batista and A. Saveliev. The gamma-ray window to intergalactic magnetism. *Universe*, 7(7), 2021. ISSN 2218-1997. doi:[10.3390/universe7070223](https://doi.org/10.3390/universe7070223).

- [9] V. P. Pomakov, S. P. O’Sullivan, M. Brüggen, F. Vazza, E. Carretti, G. H. Heald, C. Horellou, T. Shimwell, A. Shulevski, and T. Vernstrom. The redshift evolution of extragalactic magnetic fields. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 515(1):256–270, September 2022. doi:[10.1093/mnras/stac1805](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1805).
- [10] K. Jedamzik and L. Pogosian. Relieving the hubble tension with primordial magnetic fields. *Physical Review Letters*, 125(18):181302, 2020. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.181302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.181302).
- [11] K. Jedamzik and T. Abel. Small-scale primordial magnetic fields and anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background radiation. *JCAP*, 10:050, 2013. doi:[10.1088/1475-7516/2013/10/050](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2013/10/050).
- [12] K. Jedamzik and A. Saveliev. Stringent limit on primordial magnetic fields from the cosmic microwave background radiation. *Physical review letters*, 123(2):021301, 2019. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.021301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.021301).
- [13] J. Rafelski, J. Birrell, A. Steinmetz, and C. T. Yang. A short survey of matter-antimatter evolution in the primordial universe. *MDPI Universe*, 2023. doi:[10.48550/arXiv.2305.09055](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.09055).
- [14] J. N. Bahcall, M. H. Pinsonneault, and S. Basu. Solar models: Current epoch and time dependences, neutrinos, and helioseismological properties. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 555(2):990, jul 2001. doi:[10.1086/321493](https://doi.org/10.1086/321493).
- [15] V. Castellani, S. Degl’Innocenti, G. Fiorentini, M. Lissia, and B. Ricci. Solar neutrinos: Beyond standard solar models. *Physics Reports*, 281(5-6):309–398, 1997. doi:[10.1016/S0370-1573\(96\)00032-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(96)00032-4).
- [16] D. Melrose. *Quantum plasmadynamics: Magnetized plasmas*. Springer, 2013. doi:[10.1007/978-1-4614-4045-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4045-1).
- [17] F. Vazza, M. Brüggen, C. Gheller, S. Hackstein, D. Wittor, and P. M. Hinz. Simulations of extragalactic magnetic fields and of their observables. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 34(23):234001, 2017. doi:[10.1088/1361-6382/aa8e60](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa8e60).
- [18] A. Steinmetz, M. Formanek, and J. Rafelski. Magnetic Dipole Moment in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics. *Eur. Phys. J. A*, 55(3):40, 2019. doi:[10.1140/epja/i2019-12715-5](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2019-12715-5).
- [19] H. T. Elze, W. Greiner, and J. Rafelski. The relativistic Fermi gas revisited. *J. Phys. G*, 6:L149–L153, 1980. doi:[10.1088/0305-4616/6/9/003](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4616/6/9/003).
- [20] L. Canetti, M. Drewes, and M. Shaposhnikov. Matter and Antimatter in the Universe. *New J. Phys.*, 14:095012, 2012. doi:[10.1088/1367-2630/14/9/095012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/9/095012).
- [21] J. Rafelski, S. Evans, and L. Labun. Study of QED singular properties for variable gyromagnetic ratio $g \simeq 2$. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107, 2023. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.107.076002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.076002).
- [22] R. Gopal and S. Sethi. Generation of magnetic field in the pre-recombination era. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 363:521–528, 2005. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09442.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09442.x).