

Short note on spin magnetization in quark-gluon plasma

Andrew Steinmetz¹ , Johann Rafelski¹ 

¹Department of Physics, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 85721, USA

Abstract. We outline the theory of spin magnetization of the primordial quark-gluon plasma (QGP) that existed shortly after the Big Bang, focusing on a magnetized fermion gas of light quarks and electrons within temperatures of 150 MeV to 500 MeV. Using a grand partition function approach and evaluating magnetized Fermi-Dirac integrals, we calculate the magnetization and estimate that a fully polarized up quark gas could generate cosmic magnetic fields of approximately 10^{16} Tesla. This field strength is significantly larger than the critical Schwinger field and exceeds magnetar surface fields by over three orders of magnitude. This suggest that even a weakly polarized quark gas would have a profound impact on the early Universe.

1 Introduction

Quark-gluon plasma (QGP) is a state of matter that existed microseconds after the Big Bang, where quarks and gluons were not confined within hadrons but existed as a free deconfined plasma [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. QGP is also produced in high-energy heavy-ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. While heavy-ion collisions provide our best experimental probe of the properties of primordial QGP, there are differences between the QGP produced in the early Universe (nearly baryon-symmetric) versus QGP produced in laboratory heavy-ion collisions (baryon-rich) as well as differing lepton abundance due to cosmic charge neutrality [12]. Primordial QGP also differs from dense quark matter in astrophysical compact objects where the quark chemical potential far exceeds the electron chemical potential [13].

Understanding the properties of QGP and cosmic magnetism is crucial for determining the conditions of the primordial Universe and the formation of matter as we observe it today [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. In Table 1, we list

Table 1. Properties of select particles

Particle	Mass [\approx MeV]	Charge	Magneton [μ/μ_B]	\mathfrak{g} dof.
Electron (e)	0.511	-1	-1	2
Up (u)	2.2	$+2/3$	$+0.155$	6
Down (d)	4.7	$-1/3$	-0.0362	6
Strange (s)	96	$-1/3$	-0.00177	6
Charm (c)	1270	$+2/3$	$+0.000268$	6

the properties of select particles relevant to the QGP era. The magnetic moment μ is given in units of the Bohr magneton $\mu_B \equiv e\hbar/2m_e \approx 0.5927$ MeV $^{-1}$. The degrees of freedom (dof.) $\mathfrak{g} = n_S \times n_C$ is the number of spin n_S and color n_C states available to the particle. We evaluated the magneton with gyromagnetic factor $g = 2$.

The electron-positron and light-quark gases were magnetically the most relevant particles in the QGP era due to their charge and low mass. Their number densities n_i would approximate a massless gas following the expression

$$n_i(T) = \frac{3\mathfrak{g}_i}{4\pi^2} \zeta(3) T^3, \quad (1)$$

where $\zeta(3) \approx 1.202$ is the Zeta function. If the dense gas of up (anti)quarks and electron(positrons) was fully polarized at a temperature of 300 MeV prior to hadronization, this would correspond to an estimated cosmic magnetization flux strength $M = \mathcal{M}/V$ of

$$M_{u\bar{u}} = \mathcal{M}_{u\bar{u}}/V = \mu_u(n_u + n_{\bar{u}}) = \frac{\mu_u}{\mu_B} \mu_B \frac{3\mathfrak{g}_u}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3) T^3 \sim 1.391 \times 10^{16} \text{ T}, \quad (2)$$

$$M_{e\bar{e}} = \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_u} \frac{\mathfrak{g}_e}{\mathfrak{g}_u} M_{u\bar{u}} = \sim 2.991 \times 10^{16} \text{ T}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the magnetization. While this is a overly simplified calculation, it illustrates the scale at which spin magnetization may be relevant. These values can also be understood as $\sim 10^6$ times the critical magnetic field strength $\mathcal{B}_C \equiv m_e^2/e \approx 0.862 \text{ MeV}^2$ and $\sim 10^5$ times stronger than the upper estimated surface field strength of magnetars [20]. This suggests that even a weakly polarized primordial gas would have a significant impact on the early Universe, with light quarks contributing on par with leptons. We can understand this as despite having a smaller magneton, the light quarks have a larger number density, due to added color degrees of freedom, and therefore contribute more to spin magnetization than otherwise expected.

In Ref. [21,22], we explored the magnetic properties of the magnetized electron-positron plasma around the period of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis [23,24] as well as neutrino flavor [25]. We expand those efforts to now consider the thermodynamic properties of a magnetized QGP in the primordial Universe, focusing on the interplay between quarks, leptons, and magnetic fields. We provide an theoretical outline and point to where future efforts may be directed. The presence of strong magnetic fields in the primordial Universe could have significantly affected the equilibrium properties of Standard Model particles in the earliest moments after the Big Bang [26,27]. Such magnetic fields have long been thought to be connected to baryon asymmetry [28,29]. Chiral magnetism in QGP has also been studied [30,31,32].

2 Magnetization of a polarized gas

We consider a free but magnetized fermion gas in the temperature range $500 \text{ MeV} > T > 150 \text{ MeV}$ composed of light-quark species $q \in u, d$ and electrons. As the magneton scales with $\mu \propto 1/m$, these species are magnetically the most relevant due to their lighter masses (see Table 1) and consequently larger magnetic moments. For relativistic species [33], under conditions of thermal and chemical equilibrium (as was the case in the primordial Universe) the chemical potential η of each particle is opposite in sign to that of its antiparticle

$$\eta_q = T \ln \lambda_q, \quad \lambda_q = 1/\lambda_{\bar{q}}, \quad \eta_q = -\eta_{\bar{q}}, \quad (4)$$

where λ is the fugacity. The magnetic dipole of a particle is also opposite in sign to its antiparticle $\mu_i = -\mu_{\bar{i}}$ as charge is flipped. Any deviation from this condition would represent a violation of CPT [34,35,36]. During this period, particle-antiparticle pairs of (anti)quarks were freely produced and annihilated through photon-mediated processes, represented by $q + \bar{q} \rightleftharpoons 2\gamma$. Since the Universe expanded very slowly compared to collision reaction times during the QGP epoch [3,12], the expansion can be considered adiabatic, conserving entropy.

Accounting for the internal energy U of magnetized QGP, including the energies of neutrinos [37], involves the following properties:

- (a) The energy of adding or removing a baryon $\eta_B B$,
- (b) the energy of adding or removing a lepton $\eta_\ell (N_\ell - N_{\bar{\ell}})$ with $\ell \in e, \nu$,
- (c) the magnetic energy $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{M} is the net magnetization and \mathcal{B} is the magnetic field strength and
- (d) the electromagnetic energy density generated by the external magnetic field.

Magnetic field strength \mathcal{B} should not be confused with baryon number B . Additionally, the internal energy and chemical potential of QGP is sensitive to electric fields which cause a statistical Coulomb distortion [38,1]. For the purpose of clarity and to maintain focus on magnetism, electrical fields will be omitted. See Ref. [39,40,41] for discussion on QGP electrical conductivity and EM response.

The dependency of U on \mathcal{M} reflects that \mathcal{B} is the incremental energy cost to change the magnetization by flipping the spin of a particle [42]. Therefore, this makes magnetization \mathcal{M} an extensive property of the system which changes with particle number. We see this explicitly by writing the magnetization as the sum over all particles $i \in 1, \dots, k$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mu_i N_i^\uparrow + \mu_{\bar{i}} N_{\bar{i}}^\uparrow - \mu_i N_i^\downarrow - \mu_{\bar{i}} N_{\bar{i}}^\downarrow), \quad N_i = N_i^\uparrow + N_i^\downarrow, \quad (5)$$

where μ_i is the magnetic dipole moment per particle. The $\uparrow\downarrow$ notation refers to spin-up (\uparrow) and spin-down (\downarrow) states along the direction of the external field. Therefore, $N_i^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ refers to the i -th constituent population number in either spin-up or spin-down orientation. The signs of each term in Eq. (5) arises from the sign of the spin eigenvalue. While Eq. (5) presumably includes contributions from each particle with a magnetic dipole, we expect the magnetization to be dominated by electrons(positrons) and the lightest quarks due to their charge and low mass therefore we sum over $i \in u, d, e$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} \approx & |\mu_u|(N_u^\uparrow - N_u^\downarrow) - |\mu_u|(N_{\bar{u}}^\downarrow - N_{\bar{u}}^\uparrow) \\ & - |\mu_d|(N_d^\uparrow - N_d^\downarrow) + |\mu_d|(N_{\bar{d}}^\downarrow - N_{\bar{d}}^\uparrow) \\ & - |\mu_e|(N_e^\uparrow - N_e^\downarrow) + |\mu_e|(N_{\bar{e}}^\downarrow - N_{\bar{e}}^\uparrow). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We recognize that Eq. (6) contains terms representing asymmetry in the spin alignment though we can organize them in two different ways: (a) We group terms of the same spin alignment or (b) we group terms of matter and antimatter. The second approach may allow definition of spin-asymmetry in terms of conserved quantities characterizing spin angular momentum. We define net spin-asymmetry numbers $\delta_i^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ and write

$$\delta_i^{\uparrow\downarrow} \equiv N_i^{\uparrow\downarrow} - N_i^{\downarrow\uparrow}, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{M} = +|\mu_u|(\delta_u^{\uparrow} - \delta_u^{\downarrow}) - |\mu_d|(\delta_d^{\uparrow} - \delta_d^{\downarrow}) - |\mu_e|(\delta_e^{\uparrow} - \delta_e^{\downarrow}). \quad (8)$$

The net spin-asymmetry warrants is the asymmetry of particles and antiparticles of the same spin. Therefore $\delta_u^{\uparrow} \neq 0$ represents a situation where there are more up quarks than up antiquarks in the spin-up \uparrow state.

3 Magnetized grand partition function

The partition function allows us to calculate various thermodynamic quantities by taking appropriate derivatives of \mathcal{F} . In the temperature range considered ($500 \text{ MeV} > T > 150 \text{ MeV}$), the lightest quarks act as essentially massless particles with only the strange quark requiring significant mass corrections. It is worth remarking on the uniqueness of the situation: As magnetic moment scales inverse with mass, it is the particles which are most massless in character which contribute most to magnetization.

The relevant contributions to the magnetized primordial plasma arise from the quarks, gluons, leptons, and the vacuum. The grand potential in terms of the grand partition function $\ln \mathcal{Z}$ is

$$\mathcal{F} = -T \ln \mathcal{Z}, \quad (9)$$

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{total}} = \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{quarks}} + \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{gluons}} + \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{vac.}} + \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{leptons}} + \dots \quad (10)$$

We consider a homogeneous magnetic field domain defined along the z -axis with magnetic field magnitude \mathcal{B} . The volume $V = L^3$ is not necessarily infinite and is to be considered the size of the homogeneous domain such that $\partial \mathcal{B}_i / \partial x_j \approx 0$ for $i, j \in 1, 2, 3$. For a fermion species of charge Q , mass m , and g -factor g , the energy eigenvalues of the magnetized particles is given by [43]

$$E(p_z, n, s) = \sqrt{m^2 + p_z^2 + 2|Q|\mathcal{B} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{g}{2}s \right)}, \quad (11)$$

where E are the relativistic Landau energy eigenvalues. The micro-state energies depend on longitudinal momentum p_z , spin $s \in \pm 1/2$, and orbital $n \in 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Landau quantum number. It is helpful to introduce a spin-dependent auxiliary mass $m_T(s)$ via

$$m^2(s) \equiv m^2 - |Q|\mathcal{B}gs. \quad (12)$$

The power and utility of the partition function in statistical systems is found by examining the Fermi integral in various limits and expansions. We define the Fermi-Dirac distribution noting that fugacity λ is related to chemical potential in the usual way via $\eta = T \ln \lambda$. Thus,

$$F(E - \sigma\eta) = \frac{1}{e^{(E - \sigma\eta)/T} + 1}. \quad (13)$$

We can express Eq. (9) by utilizing Euler-Maclaurin integration (see details in Ref. [21, 4]) writing the partition function in spherical coordinates $d\mathbf{p}^3 = 4\pi p^2 dp$. We substitute coordinates and integrate by parts yielding

$$\ln \mathcal{Z} = \frac{2n_C V}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_s^{\pm 1/2} \sum_{\sigma}^{\pm 1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dp}{3T} \frac{p^4}{E} F(E - \sigma\eta). \quad (14)$$

The form of the partition function expressed by Eq. (14) more directly lets us evaluate thermodynamic quantities in terms of Fermi integrals [33, 44]. Eq. (14) also sums over (anti)matter states $\sigma \in \pm 1$. However, integrating over momentum is not an ideal description as relativistic expansions in momentum yield series that are only semi-convergent. These infrared divergences in thermal field theory are associated with long-wavelength (low-momentum) modes that are not properly accounted for in the perturbative expansion [45, 46, 47, 48].

3.1 Dimensionless change of variables

To simplify the integration process, we introduce dimensionless variables by normalizing relevant physical quantities with the temperature T . This approach renders the equations dimensionless and highlights the thermal contributions explicitly. The dimensionless variables are defined as

$$p_T = \frac{p}{T}, \quad E_T(p_T, s) = \frac{E(p, s)}{T}, \quad \eta_T = \frac{\eta}{T}, \quad m_T(s) = \frac{m(s)}{T}. \quad (15)$$

This defines momentum-like p_T , energy-like E_T , chemical potential-like η_T and mass-like m_T parameters. Using the relativistic dispersion relation, the dimensionless energy E_T can be expressed in terms of p_T and m_T

$$E_T = \frac{E}{T} = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2}. \quad (16)$$

The differential dp_T and dE_T transform as

$$dp = T dp_T, \quad p_T dp_T = E_T dE_T, \quad (17)$$

and the limits of integration change accordingly

$$p_T = 0 \Rightarrow E_T = m_T, \quad p_T \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow E_T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

Substituting these dimensionless variables and differentials into the partition function $\ln \mathcal{Z}$, we obtain expressions for both momentum-like p_T integration and energy-like E_T integration

$$\ln \mathcal{Z} = \frac{2n_C V}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{T^3}{3} \sum_s^{\pm 1/2} \sum_\sigma^{\pm 1} \int_0^\infty dp_T \frac{p_T^4}{\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2}} F\left(\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2} - \sigma \eta_T\right), \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{2n_C V}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{T^3}{3} \sum_s^{\pm 1/2} \sum_\sigma^{\pm 1} \int_{m_T}^\infty dE_T (E_T^2 - m_T^2)^{3/2} F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T). \quad (20)$$

In this formulation, it is evident that the logarithm of the partition function scales as $\ln \mathcal{Z} \propto T^3$, consistent with the expected thermodynamic behavior for a relativistic gas in three spatial dimensions.

3.2 Evaluation of magnetization from the dimensionless partition function

Given the dimensionless form of the partition function Eq. (19), we proceed to evaluate the magnetization. We emphasize that the dimensionless mass $m_T(\mathcal{B}, s)$ depends on the magnetic field and spin via Eq. (12). Taking the derivative of the free energy $\mathcal{F} = -T \ln \mathcal{Z}$ with respect to the magnetic field \mathcal{B} , we obtain the magnetization

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{B}} \right) = -T \left(\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mathcal{B}} \right). \quad (21)$$

Since $\ln \mathcal{Z}$ depends on \mathcal{B} solely through m_T , we apply the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mathcal{B}} = \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial m_T} \frac{\partial m_T}{\partial \mathcal{B}}, \quad \frac{\partial m_T}{\partial \mathcal{B}} = -\frac{g|Q|s}{2m_T T^2}. \quad (22)$$

Taking the derivative of the partition function with respect to m_T , we write

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial m_T} = \frac{2n_C V T^3}{3(2\pi)^2} \sum_s^{\pm 1/2} \sum_\sigma^{\pm 1} \int_0^\infty dp_T \frac{\partial}{\partial m_T} \left(\frac{p_T^4}{\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2}} F\left(\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2} - \sigma \eta_T\right) \right). \quad (23)$$

Given that $E_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2}$, then, $\partial E_T / \partial m_T = m_T / E_T$. The derivative of the integrand is computed using the product and chain rules

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_T} \left(\frac{p_T^4}{E_T} F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T) \right) = \frac{p_T^4}{E_T} \frac{\partial F}{\partial E_T} \frac{\partial E_T}{\partial m_T} + F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T) \frac{\partial}{\partial m_T} \left(\frac{p_T^4}{E_T} \right). \quad (24)$$

Hereafter we write $F' = \partial F / \partial E_T$. The second term evaluates to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_T} \left(\frac{p_T^4}{E_T} \right) = -\frac{p_T^4 m_T}{E_T^3}. \quad (25)$$

Substituting these results back, the integrand becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_T} \left(\frac{p_T^4}{E_T} F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T) \right) = \frac{p_T^4 m_T}{E_T^2} F'(E_T - \sigma \eta_T) - \frac{p_T^4 m_T}{E_T^3} F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T). \quad (26)$$

Replacing E_T with $\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2}$, the derivative of $\ln \mathcal{Z}$ is

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial m_T} = \frac{2n_C V T^3}{3(2\pi)^2} \sum_s^{\pm 1/2} \sum_\sigma^{\pm 1} \int_0^\infty dp_T p_T^4 m_T \left(\frac{F'(\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2} - \sigma \eta_T)}{p_T^2 + m_T^2} - \frac{F(\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_T^2} - \sigma \eta_T)}{(p_T^2 + m_T^2)^{3/2}} \right). \quad (27)$$

This result provides the explicit form of $\partial \ln \mathcal{Z} / \partial m_T$ in terms of F and its derivative F' , with all dependencies on m_T and p_T made explicit.

Given that $F(x) = 1/(e^x + 1)$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution, its derivative is

$$F'(x) = \frac{dF}{dx} = -\frac{e^x}{(e^x + 1)^2} = -F(x)[1 - F(x)]. \quad (28)$$

We replace $F'(x)$ in the expression for the derivative of the integrand yielding

$$\int_0^\infty dp_T p_T^4 m_T \left(-\frac{F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)[1 - F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)]}{E_T^2} - \frac{F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)}{E_T^3} \right) = \int_{m_T}^\infty dE_T (E_T^2 - m_T^2)^{3/2} m_T \left(-\frac{F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)[1 - F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)]}{E_T} - \frac{F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)}{E_T^2} \right). \quad (29)$$

Substituting the expression for $\partial \ln \mathcal{Z} / \partial m_T$ into Eq. (21) and Eq. (22), we obtain the magnetization

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{g|Q|}{2T} \cdot \frac{2n_C V T^3}{3(2\pi)^2} \sum_s^{\pm 1/2} s \sum_\sigma^{\pm 1} \int_{m_T(s)}^\infty dE_T (E_T^2 - m_T^2(s))^{3/2} \times \left[\frac{F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)(1 - F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T))}{E_T} + \frac{F(E_T - \sigma \eta_T)}{E_T^2} \right]. \quad (30)$$

Much how we expect the free energy to be $\ln \mathcal{Z} \sim T^3$, we see the magnetization is $\mathcal{M} \sim T^2$ via dimensional analysis. This is in agreement to our prior work [21, 22] where we evaluated the magnetization in the Boltzmann limit. The benefit of expressing the magnetization in the form of Eq. (30) is that the integrand within the brackets [...] entirely contains the Fermi-Dirac distribution scaled by energy without mass (or magnetic fields) except as a boundary condition on the integration. This makes it suitable for numerical evaluation and comparison to the Boltzmann limit which will be the subject of future work.

4 Conclusions

In this work we have developed a theoretical framework for evaluating the spin magnetization of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) under conditions akin to those of the primordial Universe. By employing a grand partition function formalism (see Eq. (9)) and rigorously evaluating magnetized Fermi-Dirac integrals, both in their standard and dimensionless forms (Eqs. (19)–(20)), we derived explicit expressions that capture the dependence of the magnetization on temperature, particle masses, and magnetic field strength. Notably, our analysis shows that the magnetization scales as T^2 (cf. Eq. (30)), in agreement with the expected thermodynamic behavior of a relativistic gas in three spatial dimensions.

Our estimates indicate that even a modest degree of spin polarization in the light-quark and electron-positron sectors could lead to cosmic magnetic fields of enormous magnitude. Ferromagnetic-like response, if facilitated by the strong coupling among quarks, would potentially generate fields on the order of 10^{16} Tesla. These values exceed both the critical Schwinger field and the characteristic surface fields of magnetars by several orders of magnitude, underscoring the potential significance of spin magnetization in the primordial plasma.

While the present treatment considers an idealized, free fermion gas, with the magnetization defined in Eq. (5), it provides a starting point for future investigations incorporate additional physical effects such as QCD interactions, finite volume corrections, and non-equilibrium dynamics. Moreover, exploring the interplay between spin magnetization and other cosmological processes (such as baryogenesis, leptogenesis, and the evolution of large-scale magnetic fields) remains an important avenue for further research.

References

1. J. Letessier, J. Rafelski, *Hadrons and Quark-Gluon Plasma*. Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2023). doi:[10.1017/9781009290753](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009290753). Open access. [eBook. Orig. pub. 2002]
2. J. Rafelski, Melting Hadrons, Boiling Quarks. Eur. Phys. J. A **51**(9), 114 (2015). doi:[10.1140/epja/i2015-15114-0](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2015-15114-0). arXiv:[1508.03260](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.03260) [nucl-th]
3. J. Rafelski, J. Birrell, A. Steinmetz, C.T. Yang, A Short Survey of Matter-Antimatter Evolution in the Primordial Universe. Universe **9**(7), 309 (2023). doi:[10.3390/universe9070309](https://doi.org/10.3390/universe9070309). arXiv:[2305.09055](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.09055) [hep-th]
4. J. Rafelski, J. Birrell, C. Grayson, A. Steinmetz, C.T. Yang, Quarks to Cosmos: Particles and Plasma in Cosmological evolution (2024). arXiv:[2409.19031](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.19031) [hep-ph]
5. E.V. Shuryak, What RHIC experiments and theory tell us about properties of quark-gluon plasma? Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 64–83 (2005). doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.10.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.10.022). arXiv:[hep-ph/0405066](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0405066)

6. A. Bazavov, et al., Equation of state in (2+1)-flavor QCD. Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094503 (2014). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094503](#). arXiv:[1407.6387](#) [hep-lat]
7. J. Rafelski, B. Muller, Strangeness Production in the Quark - Gluon Plasma. Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1066 (1982). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1066](#). [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 56, 2334 (1986)]
8. J. Adams, et al., Experimental and theoretical challenges in the search for the quark gluon plasma: The STAR Collaboration's critical assessment of the evidence from RHIC collisions. Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 102–183 (2005). doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085](#). arXiv:[nucl-ex/0501009](#)
9. P. Palni, et al., *Dynamics of Hot QCD Matter 2024 – Bulk Properties*, in *2nd Hot QCD Matter Conference 2024* (2024). arXiv:[2412.10779](#) [nucl-th]
10. C. Grayson, Dense Relativistic Matter-Antimatter Plasmas. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Arizona (2024)
11. Y.S. Mu, J.A. Sun, L. Yan, X.G. Huang, Extracting the Speed of Sound in Heavy-Ion Collisions: A Study of Quantum Fluctuations and Thermalization. (preprint) (2025). arXiv:[2501.02777](#) [nucl-th]
12. C.T. Yang, Elementary Particles and Plasma in the First Hour of the Early Universe. Ph.D. thesis, Arizona U. (2024). HDL:[10150/670280](#) arXiv:[2401.09653](#) [hep-ph]
13. R. Ghosh, I.A. Shovkovy, Neutrino energy and momentum emission from magnetized dense quark matter (2025). arXiv:[2501.03318](#) [hep-ph]
14. J.P. Uzan, Varying Constants, Gravitation and Cosmology. Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 2 (2011). doi:[10.12942/lrr-2011-2](#). arXiv:[1009.5514](#) [astro-ph.CO]
15. J.P. Uzan, Fundamental constants: from measurement to the universe, a window on gravitation and cosmology. arXiv preprint (2024). arXiv:[2410.07281](#) [astro-ph.CO]
16. D. Grasso, H.R. Rubinstein, Magnetic fields in the early universe. Phys. Rept. **348**, 163–266 (2001). doi:[10.1016/S0370-1573\(00\)00110-1](#). arXiv:[astro-ph/0009061](#)
17. L.M. Widrow, Origin of galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields. Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 775–823 (2002). doi:[10.1103/RevModPhys.74.775](#). arXiv:[astro-ph/0207240](#)
18. A. Kandus, K.E. Kunze, C.G. Tsagas, Primordial magnetogenesis. Phys. Rept. **505**, 1–58 (2011). doi:[10.1016/j.physrep.2011.03.001](#). arXiv:[1007.3891](#) [astro-ph.CO]
19. R. Ghosh, I.A. Shovkovy, Anisotropic charge transport in strongly magnetized relativistic matter. Eur. Phys. J. C **84**(11), 1179 (2024). doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-13570-3](#). arXiv:[2407.13828](#) [hep-ph]
20. V.M. Kaspî, A. Beloborodov, Magnetars. Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **55**, 261–301 (2017). doi:[10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023329](#). arXiv:[1703.00068](#) [astro-ph.HE]
21. A. Steinmetz, C.T. Yang, J. Rafelski, Matter-antimatter origin of cosmic magnetism. Phys. Rev. D **108**(12), 123522 (2023). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.108.123522](#). arXiv:[2308.14818](#) [hep-ph]
22. A. Steinmetz, Modern topics in relativistic spin dynamics and magnetism. Ph.D. thesis, Arizona U. (2023). HDL:[10150/670301](#) arXiv:[2310.07193](#) [hep-ph]
23. C. Grayson, C.T. Yang, M. Formanek, J. Rafelski, Electron-positron plasma in BBN: Damped-dynamic screening. Annals Phys. **458**, 169453 (2023). doi:[10.1016/j.aop.2023.169453](#). arXiv:[2307.11264](#) [astro-ph.CO]
24. C. Grayson, C.T. Yang, M. Formanek, J. Rafelski, Self-consistent Strong Screening Applied to Thermonuclear Reactions. Astrophys. J. **976**(1), 31 (2024). doi:[10.3847/1538-4357/ad7dee](#). arXiv:[2406.13055](#) [nucl-th]
25. J. Rafelski, A. Steinmetz, C.T. Yang, Dynamic fermion flavor mixing through transition dipole moments. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **38**(31), 2350163 (2023). doi:[10.1142/S0217751X23501634](#). arXiv:[2309.15797](#) [hep-ph]
26. R. Durrer, A. Neronov, Cosmological Magnetic Fields: Their Generation, Evolution and Observation. Astron. Astrophys. Rev. **21**, 62 (2013). doi:[10.1007/s00159-013-0062-7](#). arXiv:[1303.7121](#) [astro-ph.CO]
27. K. Subramanian, The origin, evolution and signatures of primordial magnetic fields. Rept. Prog. Phys. **79**(7), 076901 (2016). doi:[10.1088/0034-4885/79/7/076901](#). arXiv:[1504.02311](#) [astro-ph.CO]
28. T. Vachaspati, Magnetic fields from cosmological phase transitions. Phys. Lett. B **265**, 258–261 (1991). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(91\)90051-Q](#)
29. G. Baym, D. Bodeker, L.D. McLerran, Magnetic fields produced by phase transition bubbles in the electroweak phase transition. Phys. Rev. D **53**, 662–667 (1996). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.53.662](#). arXiv:[hep-ph/9507429](#)
30. K. Fukushima, D.E. Kharzeev, H.J. Warringa, The Chiral Magnetic Effect. Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074033 (2008). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074033](#). arXiv:[0808.3382](#) [hep-ph]
31. A. Boyarsky, J. Frohlich, O. Ruchayskiy, Self-consistent evolution of magnetic fields and chiral asymmetry in the early Universe. Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 031301 (2012). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.031301](#). arXiv:[1109.3350](#) [astro-ph.CO]
32. G.S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S.D. Katz, S. Krieg, A. Schafer, K.K. Szabo, The QCD phase diagram for external magnetic fields. JHEP **02**, 044 (2012). doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2012\)044](#). arXiv:[1111.4956](#) [hep-lat]
33. H.T. Elze, W. Greiner, J. Rafelski, The relativistic Fermi gas revisited. J. Phys. G **6**, L149–L153 (1980). doi:[10.1088/0305-4616/6/9/003](#)
34. D. Colladay, V.A. Kostelecky, CPT violation and the standard model. Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760–6774 (1997). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.55.6760](#). arXiv:[hep-ph/9703464](#)
35. R. Bluhm, V.A. Kostelecky, N. Russell, Testing CPT with anomalous magnetic moments. Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1432–1435 (1997). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.1432](#). arXiv:[hep-ph/9707364](#)
36. C. Smorra, et al., A parts-per-billion measurement of the antiproton magnetic moment. Nature **550**(7676), 371–374 (2017). doi:[10.1038/nature24048](#)
37. J. Birrell, Non-Equilibrium Aspects of Relic Neutrinos: From Freeze-out to the Present Day. Ph.D. thesis, Arizona U. (2014). HDL:[10150/322969](#) arXiv:[1409.4500](#) [nucl-th]
38. G. Sigl, A.V. Olinto, K. Jedamzik, Primordial magnetic fields from cosmological first order phase transitions. Phys. Rev. D **55**, 4582–4590 (1997). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.55.4582](#). arXiv:[astro-ph/9610201](#)

39. C. Grayson, M. Formanek, J. Rafelski, B. Mueller, Dynamic magnetic response of the quark-gluon plasma to electromagnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. D* **106**(1), 014011 (2022). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.106.014011](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.014011). [arXiv:2204.14186](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.14186) [hep-ph]
40. I.A. Shovkovy, Electromagnetic Response in an Expanding Quark–Gluon Plasma. *Particles* **5**(4), 442–450 (2022). doi:[10.3390/particles5040034](https://doi.org/10.3390/particles5040034). [arXiv:2210.00691](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.00691) [nucl-th]
41. R. Ghosh, I.A. Shovkovy, Electrical conductivity of hot relativistic plasma in a strong magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. D* **110**(9), 096009 (2024). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.110.096009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.096009). [arXiv:2404.01388](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.01388) [hep-ph]
42. G.S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrödi, S.D. Katz, A. Schäfer, The QCD equation of state in background magnetic fields. *JHEP* **08**, 177 (2014). doi:[10.1007/JHEP08\(2014\)177](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)177). [arXiv:1406.0269](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0269) [hep-lat]
43. A. Steinmetz, M. Formanek, J. Rafelski, Magnetic Dipole Moment in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics. *Eur. Phys. J. A* **55**(3), 40 (2019). doi:[10.1140/epja/i2019-12715-5](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2019-12715-5). [arXiv:1811.06233](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.06233) [hep-ph]
44. J. Birrell, M. Formanek, A. Steinmetz, C.T. Yang, J. Rafelski, Fermi-Dirac Integrals in Degenerate Regimes: Novel Asymptotic Expansion. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **63**(7), 163 (2024). doi:[10.1007/s10773-024-05695-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-024-05695-8). [arXiv:2405.05287](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.05287) [cond-mat.quant-gas]
45. D.J. Gross, R.D. Pisarski, L.G. Yaffe, QCD and Instantons at Finite Temperature. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981). doi:[10.1103/RevModPhys.53.43](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.43)
46. A.D. Linde, Infrared Problem in Thermodynamics of the Yang-Mills Gas. *Phys. Lett. B* **96**, 289–292 (1980). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(80\)90769-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90769-8)
47. M.L. Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2011). doi:[10.1017/CBO9780511721700](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511721700)
48. J.I. Kapusta, C. Gale, *Finite-temperature field theory: Principles and applications*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2011). doi:[10.1017/CBO9780511535130](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511535130)