The General Linear Model - ANOVA Part 1 (Video 3)

Dr Andrew J. Stewart

E: drandrewjstewart@gmail.com

T: @ajstewart_lang

G: ajstewartlang



Repeated Measures ANOVA

- Let's imagine we have an experiment where we asked 32 participants to learn how to pronounce words of differing levels of complexity - Very Easy, Easy, Hard, and Very Hard.
- They were presented with these words in an initial exposure phase. After a 30 minute break we tested participants by asking them to say the words out loud when they appeared on a computer screen.
- We want to know whether there is a difference in their response times for each level of word complexity.

Repeated Measures ANOVA

```
rm data <-
read csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/ajstewartlang/11 glm anova pt1/master/data/rm
data.csv")
head(rm data)
# A tibble: 6 x 3
  Participant Condition RT
       <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
           1 Very Easy 1.25
           2 Very Easy 1.16
           3 Very Easy 1.12
          4 Very Easy 1.33
           5 Very Easy 1.16
           6 Very Easy 1.15
```

Repeated Measures ANOVA

```
rm data tidied <- rm data %>%
 mutate(Condition = factor(Condition))
head(rm data tidied)
# A tibble: 6 x 3
  Participant Condition RT
       <dbl> <fct> <dbl>
           1 Very Easy 1.25
           2 Very Easy 1.16
           3 Very Easy 1.12
           4 Very Easy 1.33
           5 Very Easy 1.16
           6 Very Easy 1.15
```

Summarising our Data

Visualising our Data

```
rm data tidied %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = fct reorder(Condition, RT), y = RT, colour = Condition)) +
  geom violin() +
  geom jitter(width = .1) +
  guides(colour = FALSE) +
  stat summary(fun.data = "mean cl boot", colour = "black") +
  theme(text = element text(size = 13)) +
  theme minimal() +
                                                       2.25
  labs(x = "Condition", y = "RT (s)")
                                                       2.00
                                                       1.50
                                                            Very Easy
                                                                        Condition
```

Modelling our Data

```
> rm model <- aov 4(RT ~ Condition + (1 + Condition | Participant), data = rm data tidied)
> summary(rm model)
Univariate Type III Repeated-Measures ANOVA Assuming Sphericity
           Sum Sq num Df Error SS den Df F value Pr(>F)
(Intercept) 259.07 1 0.50313 31 15962.33 < 2.2e-16 ***
Condition 9.27 3 1.20624 93 238.23 < 2.2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Mauchly Tests for Sphericity
         Test statistic p-value
Condition 0.38404 3.0211e-05
Greenhouse-Geisser and Huynh-Feldt Corrections
 for Departure from Sphericity
          GG eps Pr(>F[GG])
Condition 0.65596 < 2.2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
            HF eps Pr(>F[HF])
Condition 0.7000534 3.359493e-31
```

Our Effect Size Measure

The effect size is measured by ges and is the recommended effect size measure for repeated measures designs (Bakeman, 2005). Note the dfs in this output are always corrected as if there is a violation of sphericity (violated when the variances of the differences between all possible pairs of within-subject conditions (i.e., levels of the independent variable) are **not** equal) - to be conservative (and to avoid Type I errors) we might be better off to always choose these corrected dfs.

Interpreting our Model

To determine what's driving the effect we can use emmeans::emmeans() to run pairwise comparisons (note, default is Tukey correction and we have explicitly asked for Bonferroni below).

```
> emmeans(rm model, pairwise ~ Condition, adjust = "Bonferroni")
Semmeans
Condition emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Easy 1.23 0.0208 123
                           1.18
                                     1.28
Hard 1.39 0.0208 123
                          1.34 1.44
1.15 1.25
1.82 1.92
Very.Easy 1.20 0.0208 123
Very.Hard 1.87 0.0208 123
Warning: EMMs are biased unless design is perfectly balanced
Confidence level used: 0.95
Conf-level adjustment: bonferroni method for 4 estimates
Scontrasts
          estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
contrast
Easy - Hard -0.1633 0.0285 93 -5.735 <.0001
Easy - Very.Easy 0.0285 0.0285 93 1.000 1.0000
Easy - Very.Hard -0.6430 0.0285 93 -22.584 <.0001
Hard - Very. Easy 0.1917 0.0285 93 6.734 <.0001
Hard - Verv.Hard -0.4797 \ 0.0285 \ 93 \ -16.849 < .0001
Very.Easy - Very.Hard -0.6715 0.0285 93 -23.584 <.0001
P value adjustment: bonferroni method for 6 tests
```