

```
> anova (model0, model1)
Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: points ~ 1
Model 2: points ~ investment
  Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq    F    Pr(>F)
1      19 120827
2      18  22046  1    98781 80.654 4.547e-08 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The F-ratio comparing our two models is 80.654 indicating our model with our predictor (*investment*) is a better fit than our model with just the intercept (the mean).

```
> summary(model1)

Call:
lm(formula = points ~ investment, data = dataset1)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-55.936 -20.840  -2.978   28.212   60.615

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -50.92329    23.44967   -2.172   0.0435 *
investment    0.24166     0.02691    8.981 4.55e-08 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 35 on 18 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.8175,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.8074
F-statistic: 80.65 on 1 and 18 DF,  p-value: 4.547e-08
```

Here we have our parameter estimates.

Here we have the t-test associated with our predictor (investment).

Here are the R-squared and Adjusted R-squared values (which reflects the number of predictors in our model).

We would conclude from this that the amount of money spent on a driver does indeed predict the number of points they score in a season of F1. Specifically, for every £24,166 spent on them they will score one additional point.

Remember, regression is nothing more than prediction - a simple regression model allows us to predict the value of a variable future on the basis of knowing about that variable (and it's relationship to another variable) now...