```
Random effects:
                           Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 Groups
         Name
                           108205
                                     328.95
 Subject (Intercept)
                                     50.88
          ConditionNeutral
                              2589
                                              -1.00
                                     80.16
                                              -1.00 1.00
          ConditionPositive 6425
                            32985
                                    181.62
 Item
          (Intercept)
                                     36.00
          ConditionNeutral
                             1296
                                              0.00
          ConditionPositive
                             3897
                                     62.42
                                              -0.54 0.84
Residual
                           204916
                                    452.68
Number of obs: 574, groups: Subject, 24; Item, 24
Fixed effects:
                  Estimate Std. Error
                                          df t value Pr(>|t|)
                  1083.76
                                        30.15 12.994 6.88e-14 ***
(Intercept)
ConditionNeutral
                   101.04
                                48.05
                                       52.01
ConditionPositive
                   123.54
                               50.70
                                       22.73 2.437 0.0231 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***
                       0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

This is what we're mainly interested in. We know the model itself is significantly better than the null model. These comparisons tells us what differences are driving the effect.

• Think of these like the contrasts that are used to interpret significant ANOVAs. In this case, the Neutral and Positive conditions are each being compared to the Negative condition (or the intercept of the regression line). The estimates tell us that the intercept is 1084 (which is the Negative condition mean). The Neutral mean is 1084+101, while the Positive mean is 1084+124.

A few points to note so far...

- Models can only be compared to each other using the ANOVA function if they are nested - in other words, if one model is a subset of the other. Models with different fixed and random effects structures cannot be compared in this way - use AIC or BIC comparisons.
- If using treatment coding for Contrasts, sometimes the Intercept (or reference level condition) chosen by R isn't the one you might want. You can change it using: DV\$Condition <- relevel (DV\$Condition, ref = 3) where ref corresponds to the level of the factor Condition you want as the intercept, DV corresponds to the datafile, and Condition corresponds to the factor you want to relevel.