# Generics CS 240

Alex Vondrak

ajvondrak@csupomona.edu

Winter 2012

## Class Hierarchy

- In Java, classes form a hierarchy by being subclasses of each other
- class A extends B establishes A as a subclass of B

Is every class a subclass of (or equal to) itself?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

## Class Hierarchy

- In Java, classes form a hierarchy by being subclasses of each other
- class A extends B establishes A as a subclass of B

Consider two distinct classes, A and B. Is it possible for both A to be a subclass of B and B to be a subclass of A?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

## Class Hierarchy

- In Java, classes form a hierarchy by being subclasses of each other
- class A extends B establishes A as a subclass of B

Consider three classes such that

- class A extends B
- class B extends C

Is A a subclass of C?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

### Partial Orders

### **Definition**

A partial order is a binary relation " $\sqsubseteq$ " over a set P that satisfies the following properties:

- Reflexivity:  $\forall a \in P, a \sqsubseteq a$
- Antisymmetry:  $\forall a, b \in P, a \neq b \implies a \not\sqsubseteq b \lor b \not\sqsubseteq a$
- Transitivity:  $\forall a, b, c \in P, a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq c \implies a \sqsubseteq c$

P is sometimes called a partially-ordered set (or poset)

### Definition (Greatest Element)

An element  $g \in P$  such that  $\forall a \in P, a \sqsubseteq g$ .

### Definition (Least Element)

An element  $I \in P$  such that  $\forall a \in P, I \sqsubseteq a$ .

What is the greatest element in the Java class hierarchy?

- (A) Object
- (B) null
- (C) Depends on the class in question
- (D) There is none

What is the least element in the Java class hierarchy?

- (A) Object
- (B) null
- (C) Depends on the class in question
- (D) There is none

Must it be the case that either:

- A is a subclass of B
- B is a subclass of A
- A is the same class as B

for arbitrary Java classes A and B?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Can an instance of one class be converted into an instance of another class?

- (A) Yes (given certain conditions)
- (B) No (never)

```
Suppose we have the code
String s = "Something";
Object o;
  = s;
Is this code valid?
(A) Yes
(B) No
```

Suppose we have the code

```
String s = "Something";
Object o;
o = s:
```

When s is stored in o, the value is converted from a String into an Object. Which direction is this in the class hierarchy?

- (A) Up
- (B) Down

Suppose we have the code

```
String s = "Something";
Object o;
o = s;
s = "Different";
s = o;
```

Is this code valid?

- (A) Yes: we are moving up the hierarchy
- (B) Yes: Java will convert down the hierarchy
- (C) No: we are moving down the hierarchy
- (D) No: String and Object are disjoint classes

Suppose we have the code

```
String s = "Something";
Object o;
o = s;
s = "Different";
s = (String) o;
```

Why is this code valid?

- (A) We are moving up the hierarchy
- (B) We are moving down the hierarchy, and have a typecast to the effect
- (C) Typecasts allow us to convert between any two classes
- (D) None of the above

# Narrowing vs Widening Conversions

### Definition (Widening Conversion)

In Java, conversions up the class hierarchy (i.e., "widening" the type) are allowed without a problem.

### Definition (Narrowing Conversion)

In Java, conversions down the class hierarchy (i.e., "narrowing" the type) may need a typecast to work.

In Java, is "everything an object"?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

### Wrapper Classes

boolean: Boolean

• byte: Byte

• char: Character

• double: Double

• float: Float

• int: Integer

• long: Long

• short: Short

Suppose we have the following:

```
int i = 42;
int j;
Integer k;
```

Which assignment below is valid?

- (A) k = new Integer(i);
- (B) k = i;
- (C) Both of the above
- (D) Neither of the above

Suppose we have the following:

```
int i = 42:
int j;
Integer k;
k = new Integer(i);
Which assignment below is valid?
(A) j = k.intValue();
(B) j = k;
(C) Both of the above
```

(D) Neither of the above

## Boxing and Unboxing

#### Definition

Primitive types can be boxed into their wrapper classes

#### Definition

Wrapper objects can be unboxed into primitive types

#### Note

Java generally handles boxing/unboxing automatically

```
interface Stack {
   public void push(int item);

public int pop() throws StackUnderflowException;

public int peek() throws StackUnderflowException;
}
```

If we wanted a stack that could hold arbitrary objects, what type could we use to replace **int**?

- (A) We can't do that, due to conversions that are neither narrowing nor widening
- (B) No need; just make a variable that holds the type
- (C) Object
- (D) Generic

```
interface Stack {
   public void push(Object item);

public Object pop() throws StackUnderflowException;

public Object peek() throws StackUnderflowException;
}
```

Why don't we want to do this?

- (A) Every return value would need a typecast
- (B) The stack elements might not have the same types
- (C) We lose information about what the stack is meant to hold
- (D) We can't store primitive data types

### Generics

### Definition (Generic Method)

A method that depends on an unspecified underlying data type

### Definition (Generic Class/Interface)

A generic class (or generic interface) allows us to leave a data type unspecified across the whole class (or interface) by replacing it with a generic type parameter

#### **Before**

```
interface Stack {
   public void push(Object item);

public Object pop() throws StackUnderflowException;

public Object peek() throws StackUnderflowException;
}
```

### Generics

### Definition (Generic Method)

A method that depends on an unspecified underlying data type

### Definition (Generic Class/Interface)

A generic class (or generic interface) allows us to leave a data type unspecified across the whole class (or interface) by replacing it with a generic type parameter

#### After

```
interface Stack < E > {
    public void push(E item);

   public E pop() throws StackUnderflowException;

   public E peek() throws StackUnderflowException;
}
```

### Restrictions

- Cannot call the constructor of a generic type
- Cannot create a new array of a generic type
- Generic type parameters must represent classes (not primitive data types)

```
class Foo <E> {
    public Foo() {
        E someObject = new E(x, y, z); X
        E[] someArray = new E[100]; X
    }
}

:
Foo <int> bar = new Foo <int>(); X
```

### Restrictions

- Cannot call the constructor of a generic type
- Cannot create a new array of a generic type
- Generic type parameters must represent classes (not primitive data types)

```
class ArrayStack implements Stack {
   private static final int INITIAL_CAPACITY = 10;
   private int[] data;
   private int top;
   :
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. What do you think the class declaration would be?

- (A) class ArrayStack implements Stack
- (B) class ArrayStack implements Stack<E>
- (C) class ArrayStack<E> implements Stack
- (D) class ArrayStack<E> implements Stack<E>

```
class ArrayStack<E> implements Stack<E> {
   private static final int INITIAL_CAPACITY = 10;
   private int[] data;
   private int top;
   :
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. Which field's type do we change?

- (A) INITIAL\_CAPACITY
- (B) data
- (C) top
- (D) More than one of the above

```
class ArrayStack<E> implements Stack<E> {
   private static final int INITIAL_CAPACITY = 10;
   private int[] data;
   private int top;
   :
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. What should data's type be?

- (A) private int[] data
- (B) private Object[] data
- (C) private E[] data
- (D) private E data

```
:
public ArrayStack() {
   this.data = new int[this.INITIAL_CAPACITY];
   this.top = -1;
}

:
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. How should we initialize this.data now?

- (A) this.data = new E[this.INITIAL\_CAPACITY];
- (B) this.data = new Object[this.INITIAL\_CAPACITY];
- (C) this.data = (E[]) new Object[this.INITIAL\_CAPACITY];
- (D) this.data = (Object[]) new E[this.INITIAL\_CAPACITY];

```
:
public int size() {
   return this.top + 1;
}

public boolean isEmpty() {
   return this.size() == 0;
}

:
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface.

How should the types of size and isEmpty change?

- (A) They shouldn't
- (B) size should return E
- (C) size should return Integer
- (D) isEmpty should return E

```
:
public int peek() throws StackUnderflowException {
   if (this.isEmpty()) {
      throw new StackUnderflowException();
   }
   return this.data[this.top];
}
:
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. How should peek change?

- (A) It shouldn't
- (B) It should return E
- (C) We need some typecasting logic in the **return**
- (D) StackUnderflowException should be generic

```
:
public int pop() throws StackUnderflowException {
   int result = this.peek();
   this.top--;
   return result;
}
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. How should pop change?

- (A) It should return E
- (B) We should null out this.data[this.top]
- (C) Both of the above
- (D) None of the above

```
:
public void push(int item) {
   if (this.size() == this.data.length) {
      this.grow();
   }
   this.top++;
   this.data[this.top] = item;
}

:
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface.

How should push change?

- (A) It shouldn't
- (B) It should take in an E item
- (C) We should null out this.data[this.top] before storing item
- (D) None of the above
  Alex Vondrak (ajvondrak@csupomona.edu)

```
:
private void grow() {
   int[] biggerArray = new int[2 * this.data.length + 1];
   for (int i = 0; i < this.data.length; i++) {
      biggerArray[i] = this.data[i];
   }
   this.data = biggerArray;
}
</pre>
```

Suppose we make our ArrayStack generic using the Stack<E> interface. How should grow change?

- (A) It should return the type E
- (B) Instead of int[], it should use Integer[]
- (C) Instead of int[], it should use E[]
- (D) None of the above