Recursion CS 240

Alex Vondrak

ajvondrak@csupomona.edu

Winter 2012

Recursion

Definition

Recursion is the process of defining something in terms of itself

- The base case is the simplest instance of the definition, which requires no self-reference
- The recursive case is a more complex instance of the definition, which relies on self-reference to a simpler case (i.e., an instance closer to being the base case)

Example (Recursive Exponentiation)

Suppose we're dealing with natural numbers $(0, 1, 2, \ldots)$.

Exponentiation can be defined recursively upon the operands a and b:

$$a^0=1$$
 (Base Case: $b=0$)
 $a^b=a\times a^{b-1}$ (Recursive Case: $b>0$)

In Java, how can we use recursion in an arbitrary method, m?

- (A) Use the special recursive type declaration
- (B) Have a method call to m within the definition of m
- (C) We actually can't have a recursive method
- (D) Have a method call (within the definition of m) to some other method, m₂, that will eventually call m again

In Java, is it possible to have a recursively-defined data type?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) I'm not sure

The Node<E> class is recursively defined!
For a linked list with a head of type Node<E>, we have the following cases:

- . . .
- ...

What is the most basic instance of a Node<E>, which contains no further Node<E> structure?

- (A) A Node<E> with null data
- (B) A Node<E> with a null link
- (C) A Node<E> with null data and a null link
- (D) Just null

The Node<E> class is recursively defined!

For a linked list with a head of type Node<E>, we have the following cases:

• head is null

(Base Case)

• head is a Node<E> instance

(Recursive Case)

Why is a Node<E> instance the recursive case?

- (A) Because head.link will also be the head of a linked list
- (B) Because head.link might be null or might be another Node<E> instance
- (C) Because we can use Node<E> to represent part of the structure of head, which itself is of type Node<E>
- (D) All of the above

A recursive method that manipulates linked lists can inherit a structure based on the linked list itself.

Consider writing a recursive size method in the LinkedList<E> class.

```
public int size() {
    :
}
```

How can such a method possibly be recursive?

- (A) Make a call to the size method of this.head.link
- (B) It can't; it takes no arguments
- (C) We can call a "helper" method that is recursive
- (D) None of the above

A recursive method that manipulates linked lists can inherit a structure based on the linked list itself.

Consider writing a recursive size method in the LinkedList<E> class.

```
public int size() {
    return this.size(this.head);
}

private int size(Node<E> list) {
    :
}
```

Why can these methods be named the same thing?

- (A) Because helper methods can be named the same thing as the primary methods
- (B) Because one is **public**, the other is **private**
- (C) Because one takes no arguments, the other takes one
- (D) None of the above

A recursive method that manipulates linked lists can inherit a structure based on the linked list itself.

Consider writing a recursive size method in the LinkedList<E> class.

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
private int size(Node < E > list) {
What is the base case for size(Node<E>)?
(A) When list == null
(B) When list.link == null
(C) When list.isEmpty()
```

(D) When list.link.isEmpty()

Consider writing a recursive size method in the LinkedList<E> class.

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
}
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
   }
What should we do is list matches the base case?
(A) return list.data;
(B) return list.link;
(C) return 1;
(D) return 0;
```

Consider writing a recursive size method in the LinkedList<E> class.

```
public int size() {
    return this.size(this.head);
}

private int size(Node < E > list) {
    if (list == null) {
        return 0;
    }
    ...
}
```

What do we know about the recursive case?

- (A) list != null
- (B) list is an instance of Node<E>
- (C) list.link won't give an error
- (D) All of the above

Consider writing a recursive size method in the LinkedList<E> class.

```
public int size() {
    return this.size(this.head);
}

private int size(Node<E> list) {
    if (list == null) {
       return 0;
    }
    return ??? this.size(list.link);
}
```

What should we do to the result of **this**.size(list.link) to get the desired result (the size of list)?

- (A) Add 1
- (B) Add the size of the head
- (C) Nothing; just return **this**.size(list.link)
- (D) None of the above

A Recursive size Method

```
public int size() {
    return this.size(this.head);
}

private int size(Node < E > list) {
    if (list == null) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1 + this.size(list.link);
}
```

```
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return 1 + this.size(list.link);
}
```

In our recursive size method, in what order do we evaluate the parts of the last line?

- (A) First return, then 1 +, then this.size(list.link)
- (B) First 1 +, then this.size(list.link), then return
- (C) First this.size(list.link), then 1 +, then return
- (D) None of the above

Tail Recursion

Definition (Tail Position)

An arbitrary method call is in the tail position if it's the return value of a method. That is, a call m(x, y, z, ...) is in the tail position if it's the last value calculated before a **return**.

Definition (Tail Call)

A method call is a tail-call if it is in the tail position.

Definition (Tail Recursion)

A recursive method is tail-recursive if every recursive call is a tail-call.

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
       return 0;
   return 1 + this.size(list.link);
Is the call to this.size(this.head) a tail-call?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) I don't know
```

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
       return 0;
   return 1 + this.size(list.link):
Is the call to this.size(list.link) a tail-call?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) I don't know
```

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   return 1 + this.size(list.link);
Is the public int size() method tail-recursive?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) I don't know
```

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
       return 0;
   return 1 + this.size(list.link);
Is the private int size(Node<E> list) method tail-recursive?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) I don't know
```

Let's make size(Node<E>) tail-recursive.

```
private int size(Node <E> list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return 1 + this.size(list.link);
}
```

How can we make the recursive call a tail call?

- (A) Don't add 1 to the result
- (B) Remove it from the **return** statement (just put the result in a variable)
- (C) Come up with a different recursive case
- (D) None of the above

```
Let's make size(Node<E>) tail-recursive.
private int size(Node < E > list) {
    if (list == null) {
       return 0;
   return this.size(list.link);
}
Is this.size(list.link) a tail-call this way?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) Maybe
```

Let's make size(Node<E>) tail-recursive.

```
private int size(Node < E > list, ???) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return this.size(list.link, ???);
}
```

How can adding a new parameter help?

- (A) We can put the recursive call as an argument, then just have a tail-call to an add method
- (B) We could pass a boolean for whether to add 1 to the size or not
- (C) We could keep a running total of the number of items we've seen
- (D) None of the above

```
Let's make size(Node<E>) tail-recursive.
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
       return 0;
   return this.size(list.link, ???);
}
Is returning 0 in the base case still correct?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) Maybe
```

```
Let's make size(Node<E>) tail-recursive.
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
       return total;
   return this.size(list.link, ???);
}
What should put in place of the ????
(A) total
(B) list.data + total
(C) this.size(list) + 1
(D) None of the above
```

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head);
}
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
       return total;
   return this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
What should the initial parameters to size(Node<E>, int) be?
(A) this.head and 1
(B) this.head and 0
(C) this.head.link and 1
(D) this.head.link and 0
```

A Tail-Recursive size Method

```
public int size() {
   return this.size(this.head, 0);
}

private int size(Node<E> list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
      return total;
   }
   return this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
```

```
Let's write a recursive reverse (helper) method.
private Node < E > reverse(Node < E > list) {
What is the base case?
(A) When list == null
(B) When list.link == null
(C) Either of the above
(D) None of the above
```

Let's write a recursive reverse (helper) method.

```
private Node <E> reverse(Node <E> list) {
   if (list == null) {
     ...
}
...
}
```

What is the reverse of an empty list?

- (A) Undefined; the base case should be list.link == null
- (B) An empty list
- (C) Depends on if **null** is used as an end-of-list marker or represents an actually empty list
- (D) None of the above

Let's write a recursive reverse (helper) method.

```
private Node <E> reverse(Node <E> list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return null;
   }
   ...
}
```

What should the recursive action be (i.e., the call that moves list closer to the base case)?

- (A) this.reverse(list.link)
- (B) this.reverse(list)
- (C) Either of the above could work
- (D) None of the above

Let's write a recursive reverse (helper) method.

```
private Node < E > reverse (Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return null;
   }
   return ??? this.reverse(list.link);
}
```

What task do we need to perform before returning the final result?

- (A) Add list.data to the front of **this**.reverse(list.link)
- (B) Add list.data to the back of **this**.reverse(list.link)
- (C) We need to replace the this.reverse(list.link) call with a more complex expression
- (D) We don't need to do anything

```
Let's write a recursive reverse (helper) method.
private Node<E> reverse(Node<E> list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return null;
   }
   return this.addToBack(list.data, this.reverse(list.link));
}
```

What's wrong with this solution?

- (A) We don't have such a method (this.addToBack)
- (B) It's not tail-recursive
- (C) It's inefficient
- (D) It violates object-orientation principles

Let's make reverse tail-recursive.

```
private Node <E> reverse(Node <E> list, ???) {
   if (list == null) {
      return ???;
   }
   return ???;
}
```

As with many tail-recursive methods, we'll find a need to carry around an extra parameter (so we do computation upon the argument, rather than upon the recursive result).

What should this extra parameter be?

- (A) An int to keep track of how far along we are
- (B) An E item to hold onto the list.data we have to append
- (C) A new LinkedList<E> we can tack elements onto
- (D) A Node<E> to hold the head of the reversed result

Let's make reverse tail-recursive.

```
private Node<E> reverse(Node<E> list, Node<E> reversed) {
   if (list == null) {
      return ???;
   }
   return ???;
}
```

What should we return in the base case?

- (A) null
- (B) reversed
- (C) list
- (D) None of the above

Let's make reverse tail-recursive.

```
private Node <E> reverse(Node <E> list, Node <E> reversed) {
   if (list == null) {
      return reversed;
   }
   return ???;
}
```

What has to be the return value here for this to be tail-recursive?

- (A) A call to this.reverse
- (B) A constant value that (no recursive calls)
- (C) A computation performed upon the result of a this.reverse call
- (D) A new object created from the result of a **this**.reverse call

Let's make reverse tail-recursive. private Node<E> reverse(Node<E> list, Node<E> reversed) { if (list == null) { return reversed: return this.reverse(???, ???); What moves list closer to the base case? (A) Doesn't matter; what matters is reversed (B) list (C) null (D) list.link

Let's make reverse tail-recursive. private Node<E> reverse(Node<E> list, Node<E> reversed) { if (list == null) { return reversed: return this.reverse(list.link, ???); What do we pass in as the second parameter (the new reversed)? (A) this.addToBack(list.data, reversed) (B) new Node<E>(list.data, reversed) (C) null (D) reversed.link

A Tail-Recursive reverse Method

A Closer Look At Recursion

Internally, recursive methods are handled by stacks of call frames (or activation records):

- Every time a method is invoked, we allocate space to store
 - The input parameters' values
 - The return address
 - The method's local variables
 - Potentially other addresses
- Upon allocating the frame, we push it to the call stack
- When we finish executing the method we
 - Restore certain portions of memory
 - Store the proper value in a specific spot (the return value)
 - Pop the activation record
 - Jump to the code at the frame's return address

A Closer Look At Tail Recursion

```
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return 1 +
      this.size(list.link);
}
```

What "statement number" should we consider these lines to be?

- (A) All 0
- (B) All 1
- (C) All 2
- (D) We have several statements; we'll need several numbers

```
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return 1 +
      this.size(list.link);
}
```

What "statement number" should this line have?

- (A) 0
- (B) 1
- (C) 2
- (D) We have several statements; we'll need several numbers

```
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return 1 +
      this.size(list.link);
}
```

What "statement number" should this line have?

- (A) 0
- (B) 1
- (C) 2
- (D) We have several statements; we'll need several numbers

```
private int size(Node < E > list) {
   if (list == null) {
      return 0;
   }
   return 1 +
      this.size(list.link);
}
```

```
returnValue =
```

(Worked out in class)

- (A) Push a new call frame
- (B) Change returnValue
- (C) Advance top call frame to the next statement
- (D) Pop the call stack

```
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
      return total;
   }
   return
      this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
```

```
returnValue =
```

(Worked out in class)

- (A) Push a new call frame
- (B) Change returnValue
- (C) Advance top call frame to the next statement
- (D) Pop the call stack

So, what's the big deal about tail-recursion? Let's address this via a series of questions...

```
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
      return total;
   }
   return
      this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
```

When we returned from the base case in our tail-recursive size, how did the returnValue change?

- (A) returnValue = 0
- (B) returnValue = callstack.peek().total
- (C) returnValue = returnValue

So, what's the big deal about tail-recursion? Let's address this via a series of questions...

```
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
      return total;
   }
   return
      this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
```

When we returned from the recursive case in our tail-recursive size, how did the returnValue change?

- (A) returnValue = 0
- (B) returnValue = callstack.peek().total
- (C) returnValue = returnValue

So, what's the big deal about tail-recursion? Let's address this via a series of questions. . .

```
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
      return total;
   }
   return
      this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
```

Since we only ever need one "final" returnValue, was there a point to pushing all the call frames?

- (A) Yes, to keep track of local variables
- (B) Yes, to keep track of return values
- (C) No, the call frames just take up space (pushed just to get popped)
- (D) No, since the return value's not really tied to particular frames here

Tail-Call Optimization

Definition

A compiler optimization that transforms tail-calls into "jumps". Effectively, it can turn a tail-recursive function into a simple loop.

Example

```
private int size(Node < E > list, int total) {
   if (list == null) {
      return total;
   }
   return this.size(list.link, total + 1);
}
```

Tail-Call Optimization

Definition

A compiler optimization that transforms tail-calls into "jumps". Effectively, it can turn a tail-recursive function into a simple loop.

Example

```
private int size(Node<E> list, int total) {
   while (!(list == null)) {
      list = list.link;
      total = total + 1;
   }
   return total;
}
```

Languages/Compilers That Support TCO

- Scheme (a Lisp dialect)
- Haskell
- Lua
- Standard ML
- OCaml
- Erlang
- Limited support in .NET (C#, F#, etc.)
- GCC with the proper -0 flags
- . . .

Don't Support TCO

- Python
- The Java Virtual Machine!

Suppose we have the following method:

```
public boolean method1(int n) {
   if (n == 0) {
      return true;
   }
   return method2(n - 1);
}
Is method1 recursive?
(A) Yes
(B) No
```

(C) It depends

Suppose we have the following methods:

```
boolean method1(int n) {
   if (n == 0) {
      return true;
   }
   return method2(n - 1);
}
```

```
boolean method2(int n) {
   if (n == 0) {
      return false;
   }
   return method1(n - 1);
}
```

- Is method1 recursive?
- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) It depends

Suppose we have the following methods:

```
boolean method1(int n) {
   if (n == 0) {
      return true;
   }
   return method2(n - 1);
}
```

Is method2 recursive?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) It depends

```
boolean method2(int n) {
   if (n == 0) {
      return false;
   }
   return method1(n - 1);
}
```

Mutual Recursion

Definition

An indirect form of recursion where, instead of calling themselves, two methods call each other.

So What's The Big Deal About Recursion?

- Some problems are naturally recursive. . .
 - Fractals
 - Maze generation
 - Towers of Hanoi
 - Binary search
 - Implanting an original idea in a dreamer's subconscious
- Facilitates equational reasoning (to some extent)
 - Just "plug & chug" definitions
 - Typically not much state
 - More amenable to formal proofs

When Is Recursion "Bad"?

```
Example
```

```
public int fib(int n) {
   if (n == 1 || n == 2) {
      return 1;
   }
   return this.fib(n - 1) + this.fib(n - 2);
}
```