

*Alexander Shender 328626114*

*Vladimir Tchuiev 309206795*

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

## I. Optical Flow Section

In Fig. ?? we present the optical flow for  $t = 1$  and  $N = 16$ . The arrows represent the optical flow for a section of  $16 \times 16$  pixels. It is evident that only the moving cars between frames have optical flow; while the footage is noisy, the eigenvalue limit for  $A^T A$  allows to filter that noise, even in the expense of ignoring some moving parts.



(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 1: Optical flow for frames  $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$  with parameters  $t = 1$ ,  $N = 16$ .

By lowering the eigenvalue lower limit to  $t = 0.1$  for Fig. ??, the optical flow is much more noisy and sensitive to the camera jitter.



(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 2: Optical flow for frames  $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$  with parameters  $t = 0.1$ ,  $N = 16$ .

Setting the parameter  $N = 8$  increases the resolution of the optical flow segments, although with the cost of increased computational time and less data to work with per segment; increased resolution with optical flow requires a reduction in lower eigenvalue limit, as for smaller  $A$  matrices the eigenvalue tend to be smaller as well. In Fig. ?? the optical flow is filtered out, while in Fig. ?? the optical flow shows similar results to Fig. ?? although with higher resolution.



(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 3: Optical flow for frames  $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$  with parameters  $t = 1$ ,  $N = 8$ .



(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 4: Optical flow for frames  $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$  with parameters  $t = 0.1$ ,  $N = 8$ .