

Question 2

2.a.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Notice σ is positive-homogeneous, i.e. $\sigma(\alpha x) = \max\{0, \alpha x\} = \alpha \max\{0, x\} = \alpha \sigma(x)$

Denote $\alpha\theta = (\alpha W^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha W^{(L)})$.

Let h be defined on θ as in question and \tilde{h} defined on $\alpha\theta$ as in question.

Claim. $\tilde{h}_L(x) = \alpha^L h_L(x)$

Proof. Proof by induction on L .

$$\text{Base : } \tilde{h}_1(x) = \sigma(\alpha W^{(1)T} x) \underbrace{=}_{\alpha \text{ positive homogeneous}} \alpha \sigma(W^{(1)T} x) = \alpha h_1(x)$$

Assume for $n < L$, $\tilde{h}_n(x) = \alpha^n h_n(x)$

Induction step:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By definition, } \tilde{h}_L(x) &= \sigma(\alpha W^{(L)T} \tilde{h}_{L-1}(x)) \underbrace{=}_{\text{induction hypothesis}} \sigma(\alpha W^{(L)T} \alpha^{L-1} h_{L-1}(x)) = \\ &\underbrace{=}_{\alpha \text{ positive-homogeneous}} \alpha^L \sigma(W^{(L)T} h_{L-1}(x)) = \alpha^L h_L(x) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

By definition, $F_{\alpha\theta}(x) = W^{(L)T} \tilde{h}_{L-1}(x) = W^{(L)T} \alpha^{L-1} h_{L-1}(x) = \alpha^{L-1} F_\theta(x)$

Then $C = \alpha^{L-1}$.

2.b.

$$c_{y_i} = \frac{\exp((F_{\alpha\theta}(x))_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp((F_{\alpha\theta}(x))_j)} = \frac{\exp(\alpha^L (F_\theta(x))_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp(\alpha^L (F_\theta(x))_j)} \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k}$$

Then the distribution is uniform.

2.c.

Case 1 $(F_\theta(x))_i > (F_\theta(x))_j, \forall j \in \{m_1, \dots, m_{k-s}\}$ and $(F_\theta(x))_i = (F_\theta(x))_j, \forall j \in \{m_{k-s+1}, \dots, m_k\}, (\{m_1, \dots, m_k\} = \{1, \dots, k\})$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{y_i} &= \frac{\exp((F_{\alpha\theta}(x))_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp((F_{\alpha\theta}(x))_j)} = \frac{\exp(\alpha^L (F_\theta(x))_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp(\alpha^L (F_\theta(x))_j)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp(\alpha^L ((F_\theta(x))_j - (F_\theta(x))_i))} = \dots \\ &\dots = \frac{1}{s + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in \{m_1, \dots, m_{k-s}\}} \exp(\alpha^L ((F_\theta(x))_j - (F_\theta(x))_i))}_{\xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} 0}} \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \end{aligned}$$

($s \geq 1$ because exists at least one maximum value then limit is well-defined)

Case 2 $\exists 1 \leq j \leq k$ s.t. $(F_\theta(x))_i < (F_\theta(x))_j$

$$c_{y_i} = \frac{1}{1 + \underbrace{\sum_{j \neq i} \exp(\alpha^L ((F_\theta(x))_j - (F_\theta(x))_i))}_{\xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \infty}} \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Then distribution is uniform on the $s \leq k$ entries with maximum value and zero otherwise.

i.e. $\left(0, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{1}{s}}_m, \dots, 0\right)$ where $m \in \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq i \leq k} ((F_\theta(x))_i)$.