# Report

## Pros/Cons of Clustering Methods

K Means Clustering

Pros

* Easy to implement.
* Guaranteed convergence.
* Scales to large datasets

Cons

* a negligent edge of each cluster, because the priorities are set on the center of the cluster, not on its borders. (Because optimizing k-means equivalent to optimizing MLE for mixed Gaussian model with covariance matrix )
* an inability to create a structure of a dataset with objects that can be classified to multiple clusters in equal measure.
* a need to guess the optimal *k*number, or a need to make preliminary calculations to specify this gauge.
* NP-hard.
* Handling of outliers.
* Requires initialization of centers.
* Difficulty clustering data of varying densities and sizes
* Curse of dimensionality- with increasing amount of features the distances between points obtain a lower ratio of std. variation to mean.

Mixed Gaussians Model

Pros

* Unlike the centroid-based models, the EM algorithm allows the points to classify for two or more clusters – it simply presents you the possibility of each event, using which you can conduct further analysis.
* The borders of each cluster compose ellipsoids of different measures unlike k-means, where the cluster is visually represented as a circle.

Cons

* The algorithm simply would not work for datasets where objects do not follow the Gaussian distribution. It is more applicable to theoretical problems rather than the actual measurements or observations.

Spectral Clustering

Pros

* Can recognize clusters that do not have a clear blob-shape, such as concentric rings.

Cons

* tends to produce small clusters