

# **POLI210: Political Science Research Methods**

## Lecture 3.1: Literatures and RQs

---

Olivier Bergeron-Boutin

September 14th, 2021

## Finding a research question

Karl Popper: “there is no such thing as a logical method of having new ideas...Discovery contains an ‘irrational element,’ or a ‘creative intuition.’ ”

## Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

## Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication

## Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication
- “file-drawer problem”

## Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication
- “file-drawer problem”
- Incentive for researchers: keep looking until you find something!

## Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication
- “file-drawer problem”
- Incentive for researchers: keep looking until you find something!
- Evidence of this in PS: Gerber et al. (2010)
- Result:
  - 20 studies find no effect of X on Y
  - 1 study finds effect of X on Y
  - That one study is published, the other 20 are not
- Solutions?
  - Tolerance of null results

## Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication
- “file-drawer problem”
- Incentive for researchers: keep looking until you find something!
- Evidence of this in PS: Gerber et al. (2010)
- Result:
  - 20 studies find no effect of X on Y
  - 1 study finds effect of X on Y
  - That one study is published, the other 20 are not
- Solutions?
  - Tolerance of null results
  - Transparency: publish data and code

# Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication
- “file-drawer problem”
- Incentive for researchers: keep looking until you find something!
- Evidence of this in PS: Gerber et al. (2010)
- Result:
  - 20 studies find no effect of X on Y
  - 1 study finds effect of X on Y
  - That one study is published, the other 20 are not
- Solutions?
  - Tolerance of null results
  - Transparency: publish data and code
  - Pre-registration (now obligatory at JoP!)

# Problem with scientific literatures

Publication bias: outcome of study influences  $\text{Pr}(\text{publication})$

- Most often: “null results” mean no publication
- “file-drawer problem”
- Incentive for researchers: keep looking until you find something!
- Evidence of this in PS: Gerber et al. (2010)
- Result:
  - 20 studies find no effect of X on Y
  - 1 study finds effect of X on Y
  - That one study is published, the other 20 are not
- Solutions?
  - Tolerance of null results
  - Transparency: publish data and code
  - Pre-registration (now obligatory at JoP!)
  - Registered reports (early stages!)

## Null results can be interesting!

We often **assume** that there is an effect of X on Y

## Null results can be interesting!

We often **assume** that there is an effect of X on Y

Broockman and Kalla on minimal persuasive effects

## References i

- Gerber, Alan S., Neil Malhotra, Conor M. Dowling, and David Doherty. 2010.  
“Publication Bias in Two Political Behavior Literatures.” *American Politics Research* 38 (4): 591–613. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X09350979>.