Comparing Four Methods for Finding Factorial

Sheila Braun July 16, 2018

The objective is to write a function that computes the factorial of an integer greater than or equal to 0. The factorial of 0 is defined to be 1. Here are four different versions of the Factorial function:

- 1. Looping method
- 2. Reduce method
- 3. Recursion (func) method
- 4. Memoization with recursion method

Factorial_loop()

```
Factorial_loop <- function(x) {
    result <- x
    if (x < 0) (return(paste('You entered a negative number: not gonna work.')))
    if (x < 1) (return(1))
    for (i in (x - 1):1) {
        result <- result * i
        }
    result
}

x = 5
Factorial_loop(x)</pre>
```

Factorial_reduce()

[1] 120

```
library(purrr)
## Warning: package 'purrr' was built under R version 3.5.1
```

```
Factorial_reduce <- function(x) {
    if (x < 0) (return(paste('You entered a negative number: not gonna work.')))
    if (x < 1) (return(1))
    reduce(x:1, function(x, y) {
        x * y
    })
}</pre>

x = 5
Factorial_reduce(x)
```

```
## [1] 120
```

Factorial func()

```
Factorial_func <- function(x) {
    if (x == 0) (1)
    else if (x < 0) {
        paste('You entered a negative number: not gonna work.')
    } else {
        return(Factorial_func(x - 1) * x)
    }
}
x = 5
Factorial_func(x)</pre>
```

[1] 120

Factorial mem()

```
previous_factorials <- 1
Factorial_mem <- function(n) {
    if (x == 0) (return(1))
        else if (x < 0) return(paste('You entered a negative number: not gonna work.'))

#grow previous_factorials if necessary
    if (length(previous_factorials) < n) previous_factorials <<- `length<-`(previous_factorials, n)

#return pre-calculated value
    if (!is.na(previous_factorials[n])) return(previous_factorials[n])

#calculate new values
    previous_factorials[n] <<- n * Factorial_mem(n - 1)
        previous_factorials[n]
}

x = 5
Factorial_mem(x)

## [1] 120</pre>
```

Benchmarks

The next code chunk uses a range of inputs to time the operation of the four functions above. It also provides a visual summary of their performance.

```
library(dplyr)
library(purrr)
library(magrittr)
library(tidyr)
library(microbenchmark)

## Warning: package 'microbenchmark' was built under R version 3.5.1
library(ggplot2)
```

```
#Function to run a benchmark on one of the routines
benchmark_it <- function(fun = Factorial_loop) {</pre>
      bench_data <- map(1:10, function(x) {microbenchmark(fun(x),</pre>
                                                              times = 1000) $time})
      names(bench_data) <- paste(1:10)</pre>
      bench_data <- as_tibble(bench_data)</pre>
      bench_data %<>%
             gather(num, time) %>%
             group_by(num) %>%
             summarise(median_times = median(time))
      bench_data$num <- as.numeric(bench_data$num)</pre>
      bench_data[order(bench_data$num),]
}
Now run the benchmark_it() function on each of the four factorial-calculating functions.
loop_data <- benchmark_it(Factorial_loop)</pre>
reduce_data <- benchmark_it(Factorial_reduce)</pre>
recursion_data <- benchmark_it(Factorial_func)</pre>
memo_data <- benchmark_it(Factorial_mem)</pre>
#Combine them in a single df
dt <- cbind(loop_data[,1:2],</pre>
              reduce_data[,2],
              recursion_data[,2],
              memo data[,2])
colnames(dt) <- c('Number', 'Median Loop Times', 'Median Reduce Times',</pre>
                             'Median Recursion Times', 'Median Memoization Times')
library("reshape2")
##
## Attaching package: 'reshape2'
## The following object is masked from 'package:tidyr':
##
##
       smiths
test_data_long <- melt(dt, id = "Number") # convert to long format</pre>
Next, plot the times.
suppressWarnings(print(
      ggplot(test_data_long, aes(Number, value,
                             col = variable)) +
             geom_point() +
             geom_smooth() +
```


labs(title = "Median Microbenchmark Times in Milliseconds",

))

subtitle = "Each dot represents the median of 1000 trials.")

Median Microbenchmark Times in Milliseconds

Each dot represents the median of 1000 trials.



The loop times seem surprisingly low. They were done with a backwards loop multiplying the previous result by the index. That saved a lot of time. Recursion with memoization also performed well, as expected.