

# Model Validation Report

---

Guangda Fei Columbia MAFN

May 13, 2025

---

## Contents

1. [Executive Summary](#)
  2. [Introduction](#)
  3. [Product Description](#)
  4. [Model Description](#)
  5. [Validation Methodology and Scope](#)
  6. [Validation Results](#)
  7. [Conclusions and Recommendations](#)
  8. [Bibliography](#)
- 

## 1 Executive Summary

Risk-management code for 5-day VaR and ES on a stock (and eventual stock+option) portfolio was reviewed. I implement four methods—parametric (5-year window), parametric (EWM), historical and Monte Carlo—and validate:

- **Accuracy** against closed-form GBM formulas
- **Stability** via flat-price and backtest exception-frequency tests
- **Consistency** Monte Carlo vs. parametric and across methods on a real portfolio

All tests passed within their acceptance criteria. The models reliably capture tail-risk for equity portfolios; extensions for options require adding volatility-surface dynamics and Greeks.

---

## 2 Introduction

- **Review scope:** VaR/ES engines `parametric5yr`, `parametric_ewm`, `historical`, `montecarlo` in `/software`
- **Intended usage:** marking and risk-management of equity and option portfolios in a Python framework
- **Business unit:** Model Risk / Quantitative Risk Management

## 3 Product Description

A standalone risk-calculation library that:

- Takes time-series of asset prices (stocks, later options) and a portfolio definition
- Computes 5-day VaR and ES at user-specified confidence levels via:
  1. Parametric GBM (rolling window)
  2. Parametric GBM (exponential weighting)
  3. Historical empirical
  4. Monte Carlo GBM

Graphs and numeric outputs are produced for reporting and backtesting.

## 4 Model Description

Modeling theory / assumptions

- **Parametric VaR (5 yr window)**

Assume the total portfolio value ( $P_t$ ) follows a Geometric Brownian Motion:

$$dP_t = \mu, P_t, dt + \sigma, P_t, dW_t.$$

Estimate drift  $\mu$  and volatility  $\sigma$  from the past five years of daily log-returns. Take the 1 % left tail of the resulting 5-day log-normal return distribution as VaR.

- **Parametric VaR (EWM "5 yr equivalent")**

Same GBM assumption, but compute  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  by exponential weighting of all past daily log-returns with decay factor  $\lambda = 0.9992$  (so that the effective memory  $\approx 5$  years). Define

$$\alpha = 1 - \lambda = 0.0008.$$

- **Historical VaR (5 yr window)**

No distributional assumptions. Collect the past five years of realized 5-day P&L, sort the losses, and take the 1 % empirical quantile.

- **Monte Carlo VaR (5 yr window)**

Assume GBM with  $\mu, \sigma$  estimated over a 5 year window. Simulate many 5-day paths of  $P_t$ , compute the loss distribution, and take the 1 % worst-case loss.

- **Expected Shortfall**

For each of the four VaR methods above, compute ES as the average of losses exceeding the VaR threshold (e.g. the worst 1 % tail for 99 % ES).

## Options:

- **Underlying dynamics**

We continue to assume each stock follows GBM under both the real and risk-neutral measures:

$$dS_t = \mu S_t, dt + \sigma S_t, dW_t \quad \text{and} \quad dS_t = r S_t, dt + \sigma S_t, dW_t^Q.$$

- **Volatility surface dynamics**

Options depend on the entire implied-volatility surface (strike, maturity). We model its evolution via one of:

1. **Simple "sticky delta" shocks:** treat ATM vol changes as a time-series, and shift the entire surface by historical  $\Delta\sigma_{\text{ATM}}$ .
2. **Stochastic-volatility model** (e.g. Heston): jointly simulate  $S_t$  and instantaneous variance  $v_t$  under  $Q$ .
3. **SABR or GARCH-based local-vol model:** calibrate to current surface and simulate vol-of-vol.

- **Portfolio P&L approximation**

For parametric VaR/ES we use a **delta-vega-gamma approximation** of small changes:

$$\Delta P \approx \Delta_S, \Delta S + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_S (\Delta S)^2 + \text{Vega}, \Delta \sigma$$

where  $(\Delta S, \Delta \sigma)$  are joint-normally distributed with parameters estimated from historical windows or EWM.

- **Historical and Monte Carlo**

- **Historical:** collect five years of **total option P&L** at 5-day horizons, sorting and taking the 1% tail. Implied vols and underlying returns enter implicitly via realized option price moves.
- **Monte Carlo:** simulate underlying paths (and vol paths if using Heston/SABR), reprice each option at  $t + 5$  with the appropriate pricing model (Black-Scholes or chosen SV model), compute P&L.

## Mathematical description

### 1. Daily log-returns

$$r_t = \ln(P_t/P_{t-1}).$$

## 2. Parametric VaR

- Estimate

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N r_i, \quad \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (r_i - \hat{\mu})^2}$$

either by rolling window or EWM.

- The 5-day log-return  $R_5 \sim N(5\hat{\mu}, 5\hat{\sigma}^2)$ .
- The 99 % VaR is

$$\text{VaR}_{0.99} = -P_t(e^{q_{0.01}} - 1), \quad q_{0.01} = 5\hat{\mu} + z_{0.01}\sqrt{5}\hat{\sigma}, \quad z_{0.01} = \Phi^{-1}(0.01).$$

## 3. Historical VaR

- Compute actual 5-day P&L:  $\Delta P_i = P_{t_i+5} - P_{t_i}$ .
- Sort the losses  $-\Delta P$  and take its 1 % quantile.

## 4. Monte Carlo VaR

- Draw  $M$  samples  $R_5^{(j)} \sim N(5\hat{\mu}, 5\hat{\sigma}^2)$ .
- Simulate  $P_t^{(j)} = P_t e^{R_5^{(j)}}$ .
- Losses  $\ell^{(j)} = P_t - P_t^{(j)}$ .
- VaR = 1 % quantile of  $\ell^{(j)}$ .

## 5. Expected Shortfall

- Compute the mean of the worst 1 % of losses for 99 % ES (or worst 2.5 % for 97.5 % ES).

## Options:

### 1. Greeks

- $\Delta = \partial P / \partial S, ; \Gamma = \partial^2 P / \partial S^2, ; \text{Vega} = \partial P / \partial \sigma$ .
- Compute via closed-form formulas (Black-Scholes) or finite-difference on numerically-calibrated surfaces.

## 2. Joint distribution

- Estimate daily covariance matrix of  $(\Delta S/S, \Delta\sigma)$  over your chosen window.
- Scale to 5-day horizon:

$$\text{Cov}\left(\frac{\Delta S}{S}, \Delta\sigma\right)_{5\text{-day}} = 5 \times \text{Cov}\left(\frac{\Delta S}{S}, \Delta\sigma\right)_{\text{daily}}.$$

## 3. Parametric VaR

- For each date  $t$ , approximate the 5-day P&L distribution as normal with mean  $\mu_P$  and variance  $\sigma_P^2$  given by the Greek-weighted covariance:

$$\mu_P = \Delta, \mu_S + \text{Vega}, \mu_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, \Gamma, \sigma_S^2,$$

$$\sigma_P^2 = \Delta^2, \sigma_S^2; +; \text{Vega}^2, \sigma_\sigma^2; +; 2, \Delta, \text{Vega}, \text{Cov}(\Delta S, \Delta\sigma); +; \frac{1}{4}, \Gamma^2, \text{Var}((\Delta S)^2).$$

- $\text{VaR}_{0.99}$  is given by the 1% quantile of the normal distribution:

$$\text{VaR}_{0.99} = \mu_P; +; \sigma_P, \Phi^{-1}(0.01),$$

where  $\Phi^{-1}$  is the inverse CDF of the standard normal.

## 4. Historical VaR / ES

- Build the series of 5-day option P&L directly ( $\text{price}_{t+5} - \text{price}_t$ ) and take empirical quantiles or tail-averages.

## 5. Monte Carlo VaR / ES

- Simulate scenarios  $(S_{t+5}, \sigma_{t+5})$ , reprice options via  $P(S_{t+5}, \sigma_{t+5})$ , compute P&L, and extract the 1% and ES statistics.

## Model implementation & numerical methods

### • Parameter estimation

- Rolling: sample mean & std over  $N$  days.
- EWM: use `.ewm(alpha=alpha, adjust=False)` for weighted mean & var.

### • VaR calculation

- Parametric: closed-form log-normal quantile.

- Historical: sort & index empirical distribution.
  - Monte Carlo: vectorized simulation via `numpy.random.normal`.
  - **Backtesting**
    - Compare realized 5-day P&L to previous-day VaR and count exceptions.
  - **Greek calculation**
    - Use Black-Scholes formulas for  $\Delta, \Gamma, \text{Vega}$  with current implied vol.
    - If using a full SV model, compute via adjoint or finite-difference.
  - **Volatility estimation**
    - For parametric VaR: estimate daily  $\mu_{\sigma}, \sigma_{\sigma}$ , and  $\text{Cov}(\Delta S, \Delta \sigma)$  via rolling or EWM.
    - For Monte Carlo: calibrate SV parameters (e.g. Heston  $\kappa, \theta, \xi, \rho$ ) to the implied surface.
  - **Option repricing**
    - Historical: simply read back historical option prices from the data source.
    - Monte Carlo: vectorized pricing routines for large scenario sets (NumPy, Numba, or C extensions).
- 

## Calibration methodology

- **Window lengths**
  - 5 years  $\approx 1\,260$  trading days.
- **Decay factor**

$$\lambda = 0.9992, \quad \alpha = 1 - \lambda = 0.0002.$$
- **Monte Carlo sample size**
  - $M = 10,000$  paths to stabilize tail estimates.

## Options:

- **Window lengths**
  - Use the same 5-year windows for underlying and vol estimates.
- **SV calibration**
  - Fit model to current surface daily, update parameters with EWM.

---

## Model usage

- The top-level **main.py** acts as a launcher, prompting the user to choose between:
    1. **historical\_calibration.py** — loads CSV, calibrates  $\mu/\sigma$  over historical window, then runs all VaR/ES methods
    2. **input\_mu\_sigma.py** — prompts user for manual  $\mu/\sigma$ , then runs parametric VaR & ES only
  - There are **four VaR engines**:
    - **parametric5yr** (rolling-window GBM)
    - **parametric\_ewm** (exponentially weighted GBM, VaR only)
    - **historical** (empirical P&L)
    - **montecarlo** (GBM Monte Carlo)
  - There are **three ES engines** (all except **parametric\_ewm**).
- 

## Model exposure

- Captures market risk of the portfolio  $P$ .
  - Supports both long- and short-position loss profiles.
  - Future extensions: multi-asset portfolios, time-varying correlation, jumps.
- 

## 5 Validation Methodology and Scope

- (details in test plan)

We exercised a five-point test plan:

1. **Flat-Price Unit Test**
  - Constant prices → zero VaR/ES for all methods (ES skipped for EWM).
2. **Parametric Closed-Form Consistency**
  - Deterministic GBM ( $\sigma=0$ ) → exact match to analytic VaR/ES.
3. **Backtest Exception Frequency**
  - 10-yr GBM path → realized 5-day exception frequency within  $\pm 0.005$  of nominal 1%.
4. **Monte Carlo vs. Parametric Agreement**
  - $\sigma=0$  path → parametric and MC VaR series are identical.
5. **Portfolio Consistency Visualization**
  - Real multi-stock portfolio → overlaid VaR and ES time series showed no method drifting by

more than  $\pm 20\%$  relative to the group median.

First 4 tests were automated with `pytest` and simple plotting scripts, covering both unit-level and end-to-end validation.

---

## 6 Validation Results

- details in test result
  - all tests passed
- 

## 7 Conclusions and Recommendations

The four VaR/ES engines:

- **Pass all unit and end-to-end tests**, demonstrating correct implementation of GBM assumptions.
- **Agree closely** on real-data portfolio risk metrics, ensuring robustness.

### Limitations & next steps:

- Current scope: **stocks only**. Options require adding volatility-surface dynamics.
  - Recommendation: implement Greeks-based parametric and full SV Monte Carlo for options, then re-run the validation suite with option P&L data.
  - Investigate **non-GBM features** (jumps, fat tails) if backtests on extreme events show undercoverage.
- 

## 8 Bibliography

- [1] Stein, H. J. "Model Validation Report Template." Strategic Risk Research Group, November 18 2015.