Problem Set 1: OLS Review

EC 421: Introduction to Econometrics

Due *before* midnight on Wednesday, 29 January 2020

DUE Your solutions to this problem set are due *before* midnight on Wednesday, 29 January 2020. Your files must be uploaded to Canyas

IMPORTANT You must submit two files:

- 1. your typed responses/answers to the question (in a Word file or something similar)
- 2. the R script you used to generate your answers. Each student must turn in her/his own answers.

README! The data[†] in this problem set come from the paper "Are Emily and George More Employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination" by Bertrand and Mullainathan (published in the American Economic Review (AER) in 2004). ^{††} In their (very influential) paper, Bertrand and Mullainathan use a clever experiment to study the effects of race and gender in labor-market decisions by sending fake résumés to job listings. To isolate the effect of race and gender on employment decisions, Bertrand and Mullainathan randomize whether the résumé lists a typically African-American name or a typically White name—in addition to randomizing the suggested gender of the name.

OBJECTIVE This problem set has three purposes: (1) reinforce the econometrics topics we reviewed in class; (2) build your R toolset; (3) start building your intuition about causality within econometrics.

Problem 1: Getting started

Start here. We're going to set up R and read in the data

1a. Open up RStudio, start a R new script (File → New file → R Script).

You must hand in this script as part of your assignment.

1b. Load the the pacman package. Now use its function p_load to load the tidyverse package, i.e.,

```
# Load the 'pacman' package
library(pacman)
# Load the packages 'tidyverse' and 'here'
p_load(tidyverse, here)
```

Note: If pacman is not already installed on your computer, then you need to install it, i.e., install.packages("pacman"). If tidyverse is not already installed, then p_load(tidyverse) will automatically install it for you—which is why we're using pacman. I'm also loading the here package.

- 1c. Download the dataset from Canvas. Save it in a helpful location. Remember this location.
- 1d. Read the data into R. Name your dataset job df.

What are the dimensions of the dataset (numbers of rows and columns)?

Note: Let each row in this dataset represent a different résumé sent to a job posting. The table on the last page explains each of the variables.

- 1e. What are the names of the first five variables? Hint: names(job df)
- **1f.** What are the first four first names in the dataset (first_name variable)? Hint: head(job_df\$var, 10) gives the first 10 observations of variable var in dataset job_df.

[†] The data that we use in the problem set contain a subset of the variables from the original paper.

^{††} Here's a link to an article on Medium that discussed their paper.

Problem 2: Analysis

Reviewing the basic analysis tools of econometrics.

Important note: When you regress (in OLS) a binary indicator variable (like i_callback) on an explanatory variable, your coefficients tells you how the explanatory variable affects the probability that the indicatory variable equals one. So if we regress i_callback on n_jobs, the coefficient on n_jobs tells us how the probability of a callback changes with each additional iob listed on the résumé.

2a. What percentage of the résumés received a callback (i callback)?

Hint: The mean of a binary indicator variable (i.e., mean(binary_variable)) gives the percentage of times the variable equals one. Also: See the **Important note** above.

2b. Calculate the percentage of callbacks (i.e., the mean of i_callback) for each sex (sex). Does it appear as though employers considered an applicant's sex when making callbacks? Explain.

Hint: filter(job_df, sex = "f") will select all observations (from the dataset job_df) where the variable sex takes the value "f" (female). Similarly filter(job_df, sex = "f")\$i_callback will give you the values of i callback for observations whose value of sex is "f".

- 2c. What is the difference in the groups' mean callback rates (female vs. male)?
- **2d.** Based upon the difference in percentages that we observe in **2b.** can we conclude that employers consider sex in hiring decisions?
- **2e.** Without running a regression, conduct a statistical test for the difference in the two groups' average callback rates (i.e., test that the proportion of callbacks is equal for the two groups).

Hint: Back to your statistics class—difference in proportions (a Z test) or means (a t test). It doesn't really matter which one you choose.

- 2f. Now regress i_callback (whether the résumé generated a callback) on i_female (whether the résumé's name implied a female applicant). Report the coefficient on i_female. Does it match the difference that you found in 2c?
- **2g.** Conduct a t test for the coefficient on i_female in the regression above in **2f**. Write our your hypotheses (both H_0 and H_0), the test statistic, the result of your test (i.e., reject or fail to reject H_0), and your conclusion.
- 2h. Now regress i_callback (whether the résumé generated a callback) on i_female, n_expr (years of experience), and the interaction between i_female and n_expr. Interpret the estimates for all of the coefficients (both the meaning of the coefficients and whether they are statistically significant).

Hint: In R, $\lim(y \sim x1 + x2 + x1:x2$, data = job_df) regresses y on x1, x2, and the interaction between x1 and x2 (all from the dataset job_df).

21. Now create a new dataset that is the subset of job applications from names that are tyically interpreted as from African-American applicants. Repeat all of **2h** on this new dataset.

Hint: To take the subset of the dataset job of that use names often interpreted as African American:

```
# Subset the job-application data to names interpreted as African American aa_df = filter(job_df, i_black = 1)
```

3 / 5

Problem 3: Thinking about causality

Now for the big picture.

This project by Bertrand and Mullainathan took a decent amount of time and effort—finding job listings, generating fake résumés, responding to the listings, etc. It probably would have been much quicker/cheaper/easier to just go out and get data from job applicants—whether they received callbacks and their sexes. So why didn't they take the easier, cheaper, and quicker route?

To answer this question, we are going to consider the model

$$Callback_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Female_i + u_i$$
 (3.0)

and think about omitted-variable bias.

3a. If we go out, collect data on job applicants, and estimate the model in (3.0) using OLS, i.e.,

$$Callback_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 Female_i + e_i$$
 (3.1)

we should be concerned about omitted-variable bias. Explain why this is the case **and** provide at least one example of an omitted variable that could bias our estimates in (3.1).

3b. To avoid this potential bias, Bertrand and Mullainathan ran an experiment in which they randomized applicants' names on the résumés—thus randomly assigning the (implied) sex of the job applicants. How does this randomization help Bertrand and Mullainathan avoid omitted variables bias?

In other words, why are we less concerned about omitted variable bias in the following estimated model

$$Callback_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (Randomized Female)_i + w_i$$
 (3.2)

while we were concerned about bias in (3.1)?

Description of variables and names

Variable	Description
i_callback	Binary variable (0,1) for whether the resume received a callback.
n_jobs	Number of previous jobs listed on the application.
n_expr	Number of years of experience listed on the application.
i_military	Binary variable for whether the application included military status.
i_computer	Binary variable for whether the application included computer skills.
first_name	The first name listed on the application.
sex	The implied sex of the first name on the application ('f' or 'm').
i_female	Binary indicator for whether the implied sex was female.
i_male	Binary indicator for whether the implied sex was male.
race	The implied race of the first name on the application ('b' or 'w').
i_black	Binary indicator for whether the implied race was African American.
i_white	Binary indicator for whether the implied race was White.
i_secretary	Binary indicator for whether the job was for secretarial work.

In general, I've tried to stick with a naming convention. Variables that begin with i_denote binary indicatory variables (taking on the value of 0 or 1). Variables that begin with n_ are numeric variables.