DSE316: Deep Learning Report

Assignment 1

Name: **Akanksha Singh** Roll Number: **19022**

Q1:

Model:

- 1. Data preparation:
 - a. Downloaded the data, split it into labels, and data.
 - b. The labels are label encoded (not one hot encoding because it is an ordinal set).
 - c. The data is scaled.
- 2. Defining functions used:
 - a. The activation function Tanh (ReLU is not used) and SoftMax as the output activation layer were then fed for Categorical Cross-Entropy Loss computation.
 - b. For simplicity, Multiply and Add gates have been made.
 - c. Adam Optimizer has been written but wasn't implemented for the updation of weights.
- 3. A two-layer model is written:
 - a. The weight and bias matrix are initialized with random values.
 - b. Training
 - i. Forward Propagation
 - ii. Backward Propagation
 - iii. Updation
 - c. Loss Computation
 - d. Prediction
- 4. The model is run for epochs = 50, 100, 150, 200, and learning rates = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001.
 - a. The training loss is computed for all the combinations.
 - b. The accuracy of the test set is computed.
- 5. The graphs for loss to epsilon are plotted for each epoch.

Analysis:

- 1. The best combination is Epochs = 200 and Learning Rate = 0.01.
- 2. The losses for Ir = 0.1 shows a decrease from epochs 50 (\sim 2.3) to 200 (\sim 1.75), and the best accuracy for the test set for 200 epochs : 0.714
- 3. The losses for Ir = 0.01 shows a very slight decrease from epochs 50 (~1.65) to 200 (~1.63), and the best accuracy for the test set for 200 epochs is 0.7585.

- 4. The losses for Ir = 0.001 shows a trivial decrease from epochs 50 (\sim 1.6511) to 200 (\sim 1.6307), and the best accuracy for the test set for 100 epochs is 0.7585.
- 5. The losses for Ir = 0.001 shows increased values from epochs 50 (~71) to 200 (69.5), and the best accuracy for the test set for 100 epochs is 0.7576.

The model has overfitted for Ir = 0.0001. The Ir = 0.001 shows no significant decrease with epochs. The model with Ir = 0.01 and epoch = 200 shows a similar loss as Ir = 0.001. The best combination has the best test accuracy amongst all the combinations, and to avoid overfitting and save computation cost, Ir = 0.01 with epoch = 200 is chosen.

Q2:

Model:

- 1. Data preparation:
 - a. The number of processes, batch size, and validation dataset is specified.
 - b. The data is transformed into a normalized tensor.
 - c. The data then split.
 - d. The dataset is loaded as train, validation, and test sets.
 - e. The classes of the images are specified.
- 2. The obtained dataset is visualized.
- 3. The given CNN architectures are made:
 - a. Conv-Pool-Conv-Pool-FC

i.	Epoch: 1	Training Loss: 0.582675	Validation Loss: 0.215491
ii.	Epoch: 2	Training Loss: 0.553267	Validation Loss: 0.223704
iii.	Epoch: 3	Training Loss: 0.526494	Validation Loss: 0.212687
iv.	Epoch: 4	Training Loss: 0.499702	Validation Loss: 0.226294
V.	Epoch: 5	Training Loss: 0.469472	Validation Loss: 0.225978
vi.	Epoch: 6	Training Loss: 0.442899	Validation Loss: 0.223693
vii.	Epoch: 7	Training Loss: 0.414405	Validation Loss: 0.240420
viii.	Epoch: 8	Training Loss: 0.385788	Validation Loss: 0.249480
ix.	Epoch: 9	Training Loss: 0.363128	Validation Loss: 0.258889
Χ.	Epoch: 10	Training Loss: 0.337684	Validation Loss: 0.276507

- xi. Test Loss: 1.370224
- xii. Test Accuracy (Overall): 65% (6591/10000)
- b. Conv-Conv-Pool-Conv-Conv-Pool-FC

i.	Epoch: 1	Training Loss: 1.160348	Validation Loss: 0.244664
ii.	Epoch: 2	Training Loss: 0.908393	Validation Loss: 0.221281
iii.	Epoch: 3	Training Loss: 0.831461	Validation Loss: 0.212766
iv.	Epoch: 4	Training Loss: 0.775786	Validation Loss: 0.211490
V.	Epoch: 5	Training Loss: 0.732582	Validation Loss: 0.203158
vi.	Epoch: 6	Training Loss: 0.693069	Validation Loss: 0.199201
vii.	Epoch: 7	Training Loss: 0.655467	Validation Loss: 0.201940
viii.	Epoch: 8	Training Loss: 0.617636	Validation Loss: 0.205589

ix. Epoch: 9 Training Loss: 0.580544 Validation Loss: 0.204567x. Epoch: 10 Training Loss: 0.543775 Validation Loss: 0.212910

xi. Test Loss: 1.042511

xii. Test Accuracy (Overall): 66% (6642/10000)

c. Conv-Pool-Conv-Pool-FC-FC

i.	Epoch: 1	Training Loss: 1.052777	Validation Loss: 0.248382
ii.	Epoch: 2	Training Loss: 0.930732	Validation Loss: 0.245106
iii.	Epoch: 3	Training Loss: 0.863808	Validation Loss: 0.219897
iv.	Epoch: 4	Training Loss: 0.820059	Validation Loss: 0.217911
V.	Epoch: 5	Training Loss: 0.783895	Validation Loss: 0.208877
vi.	Epoch: 6	Training Loss: 0.751130	Validation Loss: 0.207117
vii.	Epoch: 7	Training Loss: 0.721221	Validation Loss: 0.200606
viii.	Epoch: 8	Training Loss: 0.695245	Validation Loss: 0.206154
ix.	Epoch: 9	Training Loss: 0.671696	Validation Loss: 0.208676
Χ.	Epoch: 10	Training Loss: 0.649317	Validation Loss: 0.198013

xi. Test Loss: 0.961351

xii. Test Accuracy (Overall): 67% (6795/10000)

4. Functions:

- a. Cross Entropy Loss is used.
- b. Stochastic Gradient Descent Optimization is used.
- c. Epochs = 10
- d. Learning Rate = 0.01

5. Training:

- a. The training and validation loss is calculated.
- b. We maintain a track of minimum validation loss and select the best model that is saved.

6. Testing:

- a. Test loss is calculated.
- b. Test accuracy for each class label is calculated, and overall test accuracy is found.

Analysis:

A. How does changing the network size change the accuracy?

With the change in the network size, the computation should be longer and increase accuracy for a well-generalized model; otherwise, it can decrease accuracy if it overfits. It should depend on the dataset and be computationally feasible.

B. Experiment with different pooling sizes and do a detailed analysis of pooling size on the network.

As we increase the pooling size, the convergence and computation should be faster, but it will underfit the model if the pooling size is very large with larger strides.

For pooling layers, when we compare A and C to B, we can see that the convergence time is higher, and the computation time is double for B. Also, the accuracy is less because pooling helps prevent overfitting because of learning from all the given data, including less significant features.

C. How the presence of one or more fully connected layers changes the accuracy? When we compare A. and C, the fully connected layer helps optimize the probability of logits. There is a decrease in both training and validation loss simultaneously for C, whereas in A, there is an increase in the validation loss. Hence, slightly better accuracy is found for models with 2 FC layers.

The FC layer learns features of the class labels without considering the features responsible for other class labels. After convolutional layers have learned classifying features to distinguish within class labels, the FC layers are added. Hence only increasing the prediction accuracy for class labels by increasing the weights of the most important features corresponding to the specific class label.

A model with no FC layer will have significantly lower accuracy than a model with an FC layer as the penultimate layer.