

Towards quotient inductive-inductive-recursive types

Ambrus Kaposi

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

TYPES

Valencia

13 June 2023

Contents

1. Normal inductive-recursive types
2. Weird inductive-recursive types
3. Turning weird IR types into QIITs
4. Application to syntaxes of languages

Induction-recursion

```
data A : Set  
f : A → B
```

Induction-recursion

```
data U : Set
El : U → Set
```

```
data U where
  bool : U
  pi    : (a : U) →
          (El a → U) → U
```

```
El bool      = Bool
El (pi a b) = (x : El a) →
              El (b x)
```

Reducing induction-recursion

data A : Set
f : A → B

data A* : B → Set

Reducing induction-recursion

```
data U : Set
El : U → Set

data U where
  bool : U
  pi    : (a : U) →
    (El a → U) → U
```

```
El bool      = Bool
El (pi a b) =
  (x : El a) → El (b x)
```

```
data U* : Set → Set1 where
  bool* : U* Bool
  pi*    :
    (a* : U* A) →
    ((x : A) → U* (B x)) →
    U* ((x : A) → B x)
```

Something we cannot reduce

data A : Set
f : A → B

data A* : B → Set

Something we cannot reduce

data A : Set
f : A → B

data A* : B → Set

data A : Set
f : A → A

data A* : A* → Set ????

An example of a weird IR type

Ty : Set
Con : Set

data Tm : Con → Ty → Set
data Sub : Con → Con → Set
[] : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A

An example of a weird IR type

```
data Tm   : Con → Ty → Set
  lam     : Tm (Γ , A) B → Tm Γ (A ⇒ B)
  app     : Tm Γ (A ⇒ B) → Tm Γ A → Tm Γ B
```

```
data Sub  : Con → Con → Set
  ⟨_⟩      : Tm Γ A → Sub Γ (Γ , A)
  _+      : Sub Δ Γ → Sub (Δ +) (Γ +)
```

```
_[_] : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
lam t [σ] := lam (t [σ+])
app t u [σ] := app (t [σ]) (u [σ])
```

An example of a weird QIR type

data Tm : Con \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Set
lam : Tm (Γ, A) B \rightarrow Tm Γ (A \Rightarrow B)
app : Tm Γ (A \Rightarrow B) \rightarrow Tm Γ A \rightarrow Tm Γ B
 β : app (lam t) u \equiv t [$\langle u \rangle$]

data Sub : Con \rightarrow Con \rightarrow Set
 $\langle _ \rangle$: Tm Γ A \rightarrow Sub Γ (Γ, A)
 $_$ ⁺ : Sub Δ $\Gamma \rightarrow$ Sub (Δ ⁺) (Γ ⁺)

$_$ [$_$] : Tm Γ A \rightarrow Sub Δ $\Gamma \rightarrow$ Tm Δ A
lam t [σ] := lam (t [σ ⁺])
app t u [σ] := app (t [σ]) (u [σ])
 β [σ] : app (lam t) u [σ] \equiv
t [$\langle u \rangle$] [σ]

What is a QIIRT?

- ▶ Quotients: equality constructors
- ▶ Inductive-inductive (very mutual)
- ▶ Some operations defined recursively

What is a QIIRT?

- ▶ Quotients: equality constructors
- ▶ Inductive-inductive (very mutual)
- ▶ Some operations defined recursively

What is the elimination principle?

What is a QIIRT?

- ▶ Quotients: equality constructors
- ▶ Inductive-inductive (very mutual)
- ▶ Some operations defined recursively

What is the elimination principle?

What is an algebra?

What is a QIIRT? A partial answer

This is the QIIRT:

```
data Tm    : Con → Ty → Set
  lam      : Tm (Γ , A) B → Tm Γ (A ⇒ B)
  app      : Tm Γ (A ⇒ B) → Tm Γ A → Tm Γ B
  β        : app (lam t) u ≡ t [ ⟨ u ⟩ ]
```

```
data Sub   : Con → Con → Set
  ⟨_⟩       : Tm Γ A → Sub Γ (Γ , A)
  _+       : Sub Δ Γ → Sub (Δ+) (Γ+)
```

```
_[_] : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
lam t [ σ ] := lam (t [ σ+ ])
app t u [ σ ] := app (t [ σ ]) (u [ σ ])
```

What is a QIIRT? A partial answer

There is a corresponding QIIT.

```
data Tm    : Con → Ty → Set
  lam      : Tm (Γ , A) B → Tm Γ (A ⇒ B)
  app      : Tm Γ (A ⇒ B) → Tm Γ A → Tm Γ B
  β        : app (lam t) u ≡ t [ ⟨ u ⟩ ]
  _[_]     : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
  lam[]    : lam t    [ σ ] ≡ lam (t [ σ + ])
  app[]    : app t u [ σ ] ≡ app (t [ σ ]) (u [ σ ])

data Sub   : Con → Con → Set
  ⟨_⟩      : Tm Γ A → Sub Γ (Γ , A)
  _+      : Sub Δ Γ → Sub (Δ +) (Γ +)
```


What is a QIIRT? A partial answer

We define a new substitution operation.

```
data Tm    : Con → Ty → Set
  _[_]     : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
```

```
data Sub   : Con → Con → Set
```

```
_[_]*      : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
correct    : t [ σ ]* ≡ t [ σ ]
```

What is a QIIRT? A partial answer

We define a new syntax.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{data Tm} &: \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Ty} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ _[_] &: \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ A} \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Delta \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Delta \text{ A} \end{aligned}$$
$$\text{data Sub} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$
$$\begin{aligned} _[_]^* &: \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ A} \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Delta \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Delta \text{ A} \\ \text{correct} &: \text{t } [\sigma]^* \equiv \text{t } [\sigma] \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tm}^* &: \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Ty} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \text{Tm}^* &:= \text{Tm} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{lam}^* &:= \text{lam} \\ \text{app}^* &:= \text{app} \\ \beta^* &:= \beta \text{ and correct} \\ \text{app[]}^* &:= \text{refl} \end{aligned}$$

What is a QIIRT? A partial answer

We prove the elimination principle for the new syntax.

```
data Tm    : Con → Ty → Set
  _[_]     : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
```

```
_[_]*      : Tm Γ A → Sub Δ Γ → Tm Δ A
correct : t [ σ ]* ≡ t [ σ ]
```

```
Tm* : Con → Ty → Set
```

```
newElim : (P      : Tm* Γ A → Set)
          (lamP   : P t → P (lam* t))
          (appP   : P t → P u → P (app* t u))
          ...
          (t      : Tm* Γ A) → P t
```

What is a QIIRT? A partial answer

We prove the elimination principle for the new syntax.

$\text{data } Tm : \text{Con} \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $_[_] : Tm \ \Gamma \ A \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Delta \ \Gamma \rightarrow Tm \ \Delta \ A$

$_[_]^* : Tm \ \Gamma \ A \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Delta \ \Gamma \rightarrow Tm \ \Delta \ A$
 $\text{correct} : t \ [\sigma]^* \equiv t \ [\sigma]$

$Tm^* : \text{Con} \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{newElim} : (P : Tm^* \ \Gamma \ A \rightarrow \text{Set})$
 $\quad (\text{lamP} : P \ t \rightarrow P \ (\text{lam}^* \ t))$
 $\quad (\text{appP} : P \ t \rightarrow P \ u \rightarrow P \ (\text{app}^* \ t \ u))$
 $\quad \dots$
 $\quad (t : Tm^* \ \Gamma \ A) \rightarrow P \ t$

Why would you do this?

Why would you do this?

```
oldElim :  
  (P      : Tm  $\Gamma$  A  $\rightarrow$  Set)  
  (lamP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P (lam t))  
  (appP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P u  $\rightarrow$  P (app t u))  
  (_[_]P  : P t  $\rightarrow$  P  $\sigma$   $\rightarrow$  P (t [  $\sigma$  ]))  
  (appP[] :  
    ((appP tP uP) [  $\sigma$ P ]P)  
     $\equiv$   
    appP (tP[ $\sigma$ P]P) (uP[ $\sigma$ P]P))  
  ...  
  (t : Tm  $\Gamma$  A)  $\rightarrow$  P t
```

Why would you do this?

```
oldElim :  
  (P      : Tm  $\Gamma$  A  $\rightarrow$  Set)  
  (lamP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P (lam t))  
  (appP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P u  $\rightarrow$  P (app t u))  
  (_[_]P  : P t  $\rightarrow$  P  $\sigma \rightarrow$  P (t [  $\sigma$  ]))  
  (appP[] :  
    ((appP tP uP) [  $\sigma$ P ]P)      : P(app t u[ $\sigma$ ])  
     $\equiv$   
    appP (tP[ $\sigma$ P]P) (uP[ $\sigma$ P]P) : P(app(t[ $\sigma$ ])(u[ $\sigma$ ]))  
    ...  
  (t : Tm  $\Gamma$  A)  $\rightarrow$  P t
```

Why would you do this?

```
oldElim :  
  (P      : Tm  $\Gamma$  A  $\rightarrow$  Set)  
  (lamP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P (lam t))  
  (appP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P u  $\rightarrow$  P (app t u))  
  (_[_]P  : P t  $\rightarrow$  P  $\sigma \rightarrow$  P (t [  $\sigma$  ]))  
  (appP[] : subst P app[]  
    ((appP tP uP) [  $\sigma$ P ]P)  
     $\equiv$   
    appP (tP[ $\sigma$ P]P) (uP[ $\sigma$ P]P))  
  ...  
  (t : Tm  $\Gamma$  A)  $\rightarrow$  P t
```

Why would you do this?

```
newElim :  
  (P      : Tm*  $\Gamma$  A  $\rightarrow$  Set)  
  (lamP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P (lam* t))  
  (appP   : P t  $\rightarrow$  P u  $\rightarrow$  P (app* t u))  
  (_[_]P  : P t  $\rightarrow$  P  $\sigma \rightarrow$  P (t [  $\sigma$  ]*))  
  (appP[] :  
    ((appP tP uP) [  $\sigma$ P ]P)  
     $\equiv$   
    appP (tP[ $\sigma$ P]P) (uP[ $\sigma$ P]P))  
  ...  
  (t : Tm*  $\Gamma$  A)  $\rightarrow$  P t
```


Strictification of QIITs

Recipe:

1. Take a QIIT.
2. Redefine some constructors recursively and show that they are equal to the original ones.
3. Define a new algebra using old constructors and the new recursive functions.
4. Show that the new algebra has an induction principle.

Decreasing transport hell

Canonicity for simple type theory:

- ▶ 190 lines for the weak syntax
- ▶ 160 lines for the strict syntax

Decreasing transport hell

Canonicity for simple type theory:

- ▶ 190 lines for the weak syntax
- ▶ 160 lines for the strict syntax
- ▶ 44 lines for the syntax with equality reflection

Decreasing transport hell

Canonicity for simple type theory:

- ▶ 190 lines for the weak syntax
- ▶ 160 lines for the strict syntax
- ▶ 44 lines for the syntax with equality reflection

Can we strictify more equations?

$$\begin{array}{ll} \beta & : \text{app } (\text{lam } t) \ u \equiv t \ [\ \langle \ u \ \rangle \] \\ [\circ] & : t \ [\ \sigma \circ \rho \] \equiv t \ [\ \sigma \] \ [\ \rho \] \end{array}$$

Application to the syntax of type theory

Spectrum:

1. Untyped preterms, typing relation, conversion relation
(Abel–Öhman–Vezzosi POPL 2018)
2. Categories with families (CwF)
3. Locally cartesian closed categories

Application to the syntax of type theory

Spectrum:

1. Untyped preterms, typing relation, conversion relation
(Abel–Öhman–Vezzosi POPL 2018)
2. Categories with families (CwF)
3. Locally cartesian closed categories

Algebraic view:

- ▶ A language is a generalised algebraic theory (GAT)
- ▶ A model is an algebra of the GAT
- ▶ The syntax is the initial model definable as a QIIT

Application to the syntax of type theory

Spectrum:

1. Untyped preterms, typing relation, conversion relation
(Abel–Öhman–Vezzosi POPL 2018)
2. Categories with families (CwF)
3. Locally cartesian closed categories

Algebraic view:

- ▶ A language is a generalised algebraic theory (GAT)
- ▶ A model is an algebra of the GAT
- ▶ The syntax is the initial model definable as a QIIT
- ▶ Sometimes (parts of) the syntax are definable, e.g.
 - ▶ Substitution normal forms
 - ▶ First order logic as a Cw2F
 - ▶ Simple type theory using hereditary substitutions

Second-order GATs

Lambda calculus as a SOGAT:

$Tm : Set^+$

$lam : (Tm \rightarrow Tm) \rightarrow Tm$

$app : Tm \rightarrow Tm \rightarrow Tm$

$\beta : app (lam t) u = t u$

Second-order GATs

Lambda calculus as a SOGAT:

$$\begin{aligned} T_m &: \text{Set}^+ \\ \text{lam} &: (T_m \rightarrow T_m) \rightarrow T_m \\ \text{app} &: T_m \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow T_m \\ \beta &: \text{app } (\text{lam } t) \ u = t \ u \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture:

For any SOGAT, there is an initial first order model where all substitution laws are definitional.