

Quotient inductive-inductive types and higher friends

Ambrus Kaposi

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

joint work with Thorsten Altenkirch, Rafaël Bocquet,
Paolo Capriotti, András Kovács, Ambroise Lafont,
Christian Sattler, Zongpu (Szumi) Xie

HoTTEST seminar
22 October 2020

Motivation

Type theory in type theory:

- ▶ simple inductive types (ITs):
 - ▶ Abel–Öhman–Vezzosi, POPL 2018
- ▶ inductive-inductive types (IITs, Nordvall Forsberg PhD 2013):
 - ▶ Chapman: Type theory should eat itself, ENTCS 2009
- ▶ quotient inductive-inductive types (QIITs, this talk):
 - ▶ Altenkirch–Kaposi, POPL 2016

Other examples:

- ▶ real numbers (HoTT book)
- ▶ ordinal numbers (Lumsdaine–Shulman, 2019)
- ▶ partiality monad (Altenkirch–Danielsson–Kraus, FoSSaCS 2017)

Simple language of dependent types as a QIIT

$\text{Con} \quad : \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty} \quad : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\bullet \quad : \text{Con}$

$- \triangleright - : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Con}$

$\text{U} \quad : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\text{El} \quad : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (\Gamma \triangleright \text{U } \Gamma)$

$\Sigma \quad : (A : \text{Ty } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (\Gamma \triangleright A) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\Sigma \triangleright \quad : \Gamma \triangleright A \triangleright B = \Gamma \triangleright \Sigma A B$

Simple language of dependent types as IITs

$\text{Con} : \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Con}_{\sim} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty}_{\sim} : \text{Con}_{\sim} \Gamma \Gamma' \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma' \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\bullet : \text{Con}$

$- \triangleright - : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Con}$

$\text{U} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\text{El} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (\Gamma \triangleright \text{U } \Gamma)$

$\Sigma : (A : \text{Ty } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (\Gamma \triangleright A) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\Sigma \triangleright : \text{Con}_{\sim} (\Gamma \triangleright A \triangleright B) (\Gamma \triangleright \Sigma A B)$

$\bullet_{\sim} : \text{Con}_{\sim} \bullet \bullet$

$\triangleright_{\sim} : (\overline{\Gamma} : \text{Con}_{\sim} \Gamma \Gamma') \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\sim} \overline{\Gamma} A A' \rightarrow \text{Con}_{\sim} (\Gamma \triangleright A) (\Gamma' \triangleright A')$

...

Simple language of dependent types as ITs

$$\Gamma ::= \bullet \mid \Gamma \triangleright A$$

$$A, B ::= \cup \Gamma \mid \text{El } \Gamma \mid \Sigma A B$$

$$\boxed{\vdash \Gamma}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \sim \Gamma'}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash A \sim A'}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \bullet}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\vdash \Gamma \triangleright A}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \cup \Gamma}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma \triangleright \cup \Gamma \vdash \text{El } \Gamma}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \triangleright A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma A B}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \triangleright A \vdash B}{\Gamma \triangleright A \triangleright B \sim \Gamma \triangleright \Sigma A B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \sim \Gamma'}{\Gamma \vdash \cup \Gamma \sim \cup \Gamma'}$$

...

$$\frac{\bullet \sim \bullet \quad \Gamma \sim \Gamma' \quad \Gamma \vdash A \sim A'}{\Gamma \triangleright A \sim \Gamma' \triangleright A'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \sim \Gamma' \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma' \vdash A}$$

...

Contents

- ▶ Formal specification of closed IITs
- ▶ Extension to QIITs
- ▶ Initial algebras
- ▶ HIITs
- ▶ Higher order abstract syntax (syntax with binding)

Contents

- ▶ Formal specification of closed IITs
- ▶ Extension to QIITs
- ▶ Initial algebras
- ▶ HIITs
- ▶ Higher order abstract syntax (syntax with binding)

How do we specify a QIIT in Agda?

```
data Nat : Set where
  zero : Nat
  suc   : Nat → Nat

data Int : Set where
  zero : Int
  suc   : Int → Int
  pred  : Int → Int
  β     : ∀{n} → pred (suc n) ≡ n
  η     : ∀{n} → suc (pred n) ≡ n

data Con : Set
data Ty   : Con → Set

▷' _ : (Γ : Con) → Ty Γ → Con
Σ' _ : {Γ : Con} (A : Ty Γ) → Ty (Γ ▷' A) → Ty Γ

data Con where
  • : Con
  ▷_ : (Γ : Con) → Ty Γ → Con
  Σ▷_ : ∀{Γ A B} → Γ ▷' A ▷' B ≡ Γ ▷' Σ' A B
data Ty where
  U : {Γ : Con} → Ty Γ
  El : {Γ : Con} → Ty (Γ ▷ U)
  Σ : {Γ : Con} (A : Ty Γ) → Ty (Γ ▷ A) → Ty Γ

▷' _ = ▷_
Σ' _ = Σ_
```


Theory of closed IIT signatures

A signature is a context in a type theory (Carette–O'Connor, 2012).

Theory of signatures (ToS): category with families (CwF)

$\text{Con} : \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Sub} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Tm} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$-[-] : \text{Ty } \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad \dots$

Theory of closed IIT signatures

A signature is a context in a type theory (Carette–O'Connor, 2012).

Theory of signatures (ToS): category with families (CwF)

$\text{Con} : \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Sub} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Tm} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$-[-] : \text{Ty } \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad \dots$

with a universe:

$\text{U} : \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\text{El} : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U} \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma,$

Theory of closed IIT signatures

A signature is a context in a type theory (Carette–O'Connor, 2012).

Theory of signatures (ToS): category with families (CwF)

$\text{Con} : \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Sub} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Tm} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$-[-] : \text{Ty } \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad \dots$

with a universe:

$\text{U} : \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad \text{El} : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U} \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma,$

Π types with small domain:

$\Pi : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (\Gamma \triangleright \text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$- @ - : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Pi a B) \rightarrow (u : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a)) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (B[\text{id}, u]),$

Theory of closed IIT signatures

A signature is a context in a type theory (Carette–O'Connor, 2012).

Theory of signatures (ToS): category with families (CwF)

$\text{Con} : \text{Set}$

$\text{Ty} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Sub} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Tm} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$-[-] : \text{Ty } \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad \dots$

with a universe:

$\text{U} : \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad \text{El} : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U} \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma,$

Π types with small domain:

$\Pi : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (\Gamma \triangleright \text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$- @ - : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Pi a B) \rightarrow (u : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a)) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (B[\text{id}, u]),$

We will add more type formers for *open* and *QIITs*.

Closed IIT signatures: examples $((a \Rightarrow B) := \Pi a (B[p]))$

- $\triangleright \quad U \triangleright \quad \text{El } q \triangleright \quad q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])$
- $\triangleright N : U \triangleright \text{zero} : \text{El } N \triangleright \text{suc} : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N$

Closed IIT signatures: examples $((a \Rightarrow B) := \Pi a (B[p]))$

• $\triangleright \quad U \triangleright \quad \text{El } q \triangleright \quad q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])$

• $\triangleright N : U \triangleright \text{zero} : \text{El } N \triangleright \text{suc} : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N$

• \triangleright

$Con : U \triangleright$

$Ty : Con \Rightarrow U \triangleright$

$empty : \text{El } Con \triangleright$

$ext : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). Ty @ \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{El } Con \triangleright$

$U : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). \text{El } (Ty @ \Gamma) \triangleright$

$El : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). \text{El } (Ty @ (ext @ \Gamma @ (U @ \Gamma))) \triangleright$

$\Sigma : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). \Pi(A : Ty @ \Gamma). Ty @ (ext @ \Gamma @ A) \Rightarrow \text{El } (Ty @ \Gamma)$

Closed IIT signatures: examples $((a \Rightarrow B) := \Pi a (B[p]))$

• $\triangleright \quad U \triangleright \quad \text{El } q \triangleright \quad q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])$

• $\triangleright N : U \triangleright \text{zero} : \text{El } N \triangleright \text{suc} : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N$

• \triangleright

$Con : U \triangleright$

$Ty : Con \Rightarrow U \triangleright$

$empty : \text{El } Con \triangleright$

$ext : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). Ty @ \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{El } Con \triangleright$

$U : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). \text{El } (Ty @ \Gamma) \triangleright$

$El : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). \text{El } (Ty @ (ext @ \Gamma @ (U @ \Gamma))) \triangleright$

$\Sigma : \Pi(\Gamma : Con). \Pi(A : Ty @ \Gamma). Ty @ (ext @ \Gamma @ A) \Rightarrow \text{El } (Ty @ \Gamma)$

Strict positivity is enforced.

Isn't this circular?

(Q)IIT signatures are defined using a type theory, but this type theory is itself a QIIT.

Isn't this circular?

(Q)IIT signatures are defined using a type theory, but this type theory is itself a QIIT.

We can bootstrap ToS using Church encoding
(Awodey–Frey–Speight, LICS 2018).

Closed IIT signatures: semantics (i)

If \mathcal{C} is a CwF, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have (2-level type theory, Annenkov–Capriotti–Kraus–Sattler, 2019):

$$U^\circ : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \Gamma \quad \text{interpreted } |U^\circ|_I \gamma \quad := \text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}} I$$

$$El^\circ : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \Gamma U^\circ \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \Gamma \quad |El^\circ a|_I \gamma \quad := \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} I (|a|_I \gamma)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^\circ : (a^\circ : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \Gamma U^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\Gamma \triangleright El^\circ a^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \Gamma \\ | \Pi^\circ a^\circ B |_I \gamma := | B |_{I \triangleright_{\mathcal{C}} | a |_I \gamma} (\gamma p, q) \end{aligned}$$

Closed IIT signatures: semantics (i)

If \mathcal{C} is a CwF, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have (2-level type theory, Annenkov–Capriotti–Kraus–Sattler, 2019):

$$U^\circ : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} I \quad \text{interpreted } |U^\circ|_I \gamma \quad := \text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}} I$$

$$\text{El}^\circ : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} I U^\circ \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} I \quad | \text{El}^\circ a |_I \gamma \quad := \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} I (|a|_I \gamma)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^\circ : (a^\circ : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} I U^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (I \triangleright \text{El}^\circ a^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} I \\ | \Pi^\circ a^\circ B |_I \gamma := |B|_{I \triangleright_{\mathcal{C}} |a|_I \gamma} (\gamma p, q) \end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{C} has Id types, U° is closed under Id.

$$\prod$$
$$\prod$$

Closed IIT signatures: semantics (ii)

We use Agda syntax to work in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

$U^\circ : \text{Set}$	(Ty_c)
$\text{El}^\circ : U^\circ \rightarrow \text{Set}$	(Tm_c)
$\Pi^\circ : (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (\text{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$	(\triangleright_c)

Closed IIT signatures: semantics (ii)

We use Agda syntax to work in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

$U^\circ : \text{Set}$	$(\text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}})$
$\text{El}^\circ : U^\circ \rightarrow \text{Set}$	$(\text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}})$
$\Pi^\circ : (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (\text{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$	$(\triangleright_{\mathcal{C}})$

We define the standard model of ToS:

Con	$:= \text{Set}$
$\text{Ty } \Gamma$	$:= \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$
$\text{Tm } \Gamma A$	$:= (\gamma : \Gamma) \rightarrow A \gamma$
$U \gamma$	$:= U^\circ$
$\text{El } a \gamma$	$:= \text{El}^\circ (a \gamma)$
$\Pi a B \gamma$	$:= \Pi^\circ (a \gamma) (B(\gamma, -))$

Example

Given the signature

- $\triangleright U \triangleright \text{El } q \triangleright (q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])) : \text{Con},$

Example

Given the signature

$$\bullet \triangleright U \triangleright \text{El } q \triangleright (q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])) : \text{Con},$$

in the standard model this is

$$(N : U^\circ) \times (\text{El}^\circ N) \times (N \Rightarrow^\circ \text{El}^\circ N) : \text{Set}$$

Example

Given the signature

$$\bullet \triangleright U \triangleright \text{El } q \triangleright (q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])) : \text{Con},$$

in the standard model this is

$$(N : U^\circ) \times (\text{El}^\circ N) \times (N \Rightarrow^\circ \text{El}^\circ N) : \text{Set}$$

which is a presheaf over \mathcal{C} , and interpreting it at the empty context of \mathcal{C} , we get

$$(N : \text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}} \bullet) \times \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} \bullet N \times \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} (\bullet \triangleright N) (N[p])$$

Closed IIT signatures: semantics (iii)

We use Agda syntax to work in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{U}^\circ : \mathsf{Set} & (\mathsf{Ty}_\mathcal{C}) \\ \mathsf{El}^\circ : \mathsf{U}^\circ \rightarrow \mathsf{Set} & (\mathsf{Tm}_\mathcal{C}) \\ \mathsf{\Pi}^\circ : (\mathsf{a}^\circ : \mathsf{U}^\circ) \rightarrow (\mathsf{El}^\circ \mathsf{a}^\circ \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Set} & (\mathsf{\triangleright}_\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

We can extend the standard model to the graph model:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Con} & := (\mathsf{\Gamma}^\mathsf{A} : \mathsf{Set}) \quad \times (\mathsf{\Gamma}^\mathsf{M} : \mathsf{\Gamma}^\mathsf{A} \rightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}^\mathsf{A} \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}) \\ \mathsf{U} & := (\lambda \gamma. \mathsf{U}^\circ \quad , \quad \lambda _ \mathsf{a}^\circ \mathsf{a}^{\circ'} . \mathsf{a}^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \mathsf{El}^\circ \mathsf{a}^{\circ'}) \\ \mathsf{El} \mathsf{a} & := (\lambda \gamma. \mathsf{El}^\circ (\mathsf{a}^\mathsf{A} \gamma) \quad , \quad \lambda _ \alpha \alpha' . (\mathsf{a}^\mathsf{M} _ \alpha =_{\mathsf{El}^\circ (\mathsf{a} \gamma')} \alpha')) \\ \mathsf{\Pi} \mathsf{a} \mathsf{B} & := (\lambda \gamma. \mathsf{\Pi}^\circ (\mathsf{a}^\mathsf{A} \gamma) (\mathsf{B}^\mathsf{A} (\gamma, -)) \quad , \quad \lambda _ \mathsf{f} \mathsf{f}' . \mathsf{\Pi}^\circ (\mathsf{x} : \mathsf{a}^\mathsf{A} \gamma) . \\ & \quad \mathsf{B}^\mathsf{M} _ (\mathsf{f} \mathsf{x}) (\mathsf{f}' (\mathsf{a}^\mathsf{M} _ \mathsf{x}')))) \end{array}$$

Example

Given the signature

$$\bullet \triangleright U \triangleright \text{El } q \triangleright (q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])) : \text{Con},$$

in the graph model this is

$$(N : U^\circ) \times (\text{El}^\circ N) \times (N \Rightarrow^\circ \text{El}^\circ N)$$

and for any two $(N, z, s), (N', z', s')$ a set

$$(\overline{N} : N \Rightarrow^\circ \text{El}^\circ N') \times (\overline{N} z = z') \times (\Pi^\circ(n : N). \overline{N}(s n) = s'(\overline{N} n)),$$

Example

Given the signature

$$\bullet \triangleright U \triangleright \text{El } q \triangleright (q[p] \Rightarrow \text{El } (q[p])) : \text{Con},$$

in the graph model this is

$$(N : U^\circ) \times (\text{El}^\circ N) \times (N \Rightarrow^\circ \text{El}^\circ N)$$

and for any two $(N, z, s), (N', z', s')$ a set

$$(\overline{N} : N \Rightarrow^\circ \text{El}^\circ N') \times (\overline{N} z = z') \times (\Pi^\circ (n : N). \overline{N} (s n) = s' (\overline{N} n)),$$

and externally we obtain notions of \mathbb{N} -algebra

$$(N : \text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}} \bullet) \times \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} \bullet N \times \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} (\bullet \triangleright N) (N[p])$$

and homomorphism for any two algebras $(N, z, s), (N', z', s')$:

$$(\overline{N} : \text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} (\bullet \triangleright N) N') \times (\overline{N}[\epsilon, z] = z') \times (\overline{N}[p, s] = s'[p, \overline{N}])$$

Closed IIT signatures: semantics (iv)

We use Agda syntax to work in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{U}^\circ : \mathsf{Set} & (\mathsf{Ty}_c) \\ \mathsf{El}^\circ : \mathsf{U}^\circ \rightarrow \mathsf{Set} & (\mathsf{Tm}_c) \\ \Pi^\circ : (a^\circ : \mathsf{U}^\circ) \rightarrow (\mathsf{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Set} & (\triangleright_c) \end{array}$$

We can extend the graph model to the AMDS model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Con} := & (\Gamma^A : \mathsf{Set}) \times \\ & (\Gamma^M : \Gamma^A \rightarrow \Gamma^A \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}) \times \\ & (\Gamma^D : \Gamma^A \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}) \times \\ & (\Gamma^S : (\gamma : \Gamma^A) \rightarrow \Gamma^D \gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}) \end{aligned}$$

This is an inverse diagram model, see Shulman 2012, Lumsdaine 2018 HoTTTEST talk, Lumsdaine–Kapulkin 2020.

Example

For natural numbers, the AMDS model gives notions of Algebras:

$$(N : \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N),$$

Morphisms between algebras (N, z, s) , (N', z', s') :

$$(\overline{N} : N \rightarrow N') \times (\overline{N} z = z') \times (\overline{N} (s n) = s' (\overline{N} n)),$$

Displayed algebras over an algebra (N, z, s) :

$$(\dot{N} : N \rightarrow \text{Set}) \times (\dot{N} z) \times (\dot{N} n \rightarrow \dot{N} (s n)),$$

Sections of displayed algebras $(\dot{N}, \dot{z}, \dot{s})$:

$$(\overline{N} : (n : N) \rightarrow \dot{N} n) \times (\overline{N} z = \dot{z}) \times (\overline{N} (s n) = \dot{s} (\overline{N} n)).$$

A CwF \mathcal{C} supports a closed IIT

Externally, for a QIIT signature Ω , from the AMDS model we get:

$$\Omega^A : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet$$

$$\Omega^M : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A \triangleright \Omega^A[p])$$

$$\Omega^D : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A)$$

$$\Omega^S : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A \triangleright \Omega^D)$$

A CwF \mathcal{C} supports a closed IIT

Externally, for a QIIT signature Ω , from the AMDS model we get:

$$\Omega^A : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet$$

$$\Omega^M : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A \triangleright \Omega^A[p])$$

$$\Omega^D : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A)$$

$$\Omega^S : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A \triangleright \Omega^D)$$

The CwF \mathcal{C} supports a QIIT with signature Ω , if there is a

$$\text{con} : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet \Omega^A$$

A CwF \mathcal{C} supports a closed IIT

Externally, for a QIIT signature Ω , from the AMDS model we get:

$$\Omega^A : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet$$

$$\Omega^M : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A \triangleright \Omega^A[p])$$

$$\Omega^D : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A)$$

$$\Omega^S : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^A \triangleright \Omega^D)$$

The CwF \mathcal{C} supports a QIIT with signature Ω , if there is a

$$\text{con} : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet \Omega^A$$

and an

$$\text{elim} : \text{Tm}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} (\bullet \triangleright \Omega^D[\epsilon, \text{con}]) (\Omega^S[\epsilon, \text{con}[p], q]).$$

(This specifies definitional computation rules.)

Summary up to now

We showed what it means that a CwF \mathcal{C} has closed IITs.

- ▶ A signature is a context in ToS.
- ▶ The AMDS model of ToS internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ uses U° , El° , Π° .
- ▶ Externally we get notions of constructors, eliminator.

Contents

- ▶ Formal specification of closed IITs
- ▶ Extension to QIITs
- ▶ Initial algebras
- ▶ HIITs
- ▶ Higher order abstract syntax (syntax with binding)

External parameters

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Ty } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \\ -\hat{\odot}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma (\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma (B x)\end{aligned}$$

External parameters

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Ty } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \\ -\hat{\odot}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma (\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma (B x)\end{aligned}$$

In the standard model,

$$\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B \gamma := \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ).(B x \gamma)$$

External parameters

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Ty } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \\ -\hat{\odot}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma (\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma (B x)\end{aligned}$$

In the standard model,

$$\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B \gamma := \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ).(B x \gamma)$$

If \mathcal{C} has \mathbb{N} , then we have $\mathbb{N}^\circ : U^\circ$ and we can specify vectors:

- $\triangleright V : \mathbb{N}^\circ \hat{\Rightarrow} U \triangleright$
 $nil : \text{El}(V \hat{\odot} 0) \triangleright$
 $cons : a^\circ \hat{\Rightarrow} \hat{\Pi}(n : \mathbb{N}^\circ). V \hat{\odot} n \Rightarrow \text{El}(V \hat{\odot} (1 + n))$

External parameters

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Ty } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \\ -\hat{\otimes}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma (\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma (B x)\end{aligned}$$

In the standard model,

$$\hat{\Pi} a^\circ B \gamma := \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ).(B x \gamma)$$

If \mathcal{C} has \mathbb{N} , then we have $\mathbb{N}^\circ : U^\circ$ and we can specify vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}\bullet \triangleright V &: \mathbb{N}^\circ \hat{\Rightarrow} U \triangleright \\ \text{nil} &: \text{El}(V \hat{\otimes} 0) \triangleright \\ \text{cons} &: a^\circ \hat{\Rightarrow} \hat{\Pi}(n : \mathbb{N}^\circ). V \hat{\otimes} n \Rightarrow \text{El}(V \hat{\otimes} (1 + n))\end{aligned}$$

and the Chapman-style syntax of type theory with an infinite hierarchy of universes.

Equations (identity type with reflection)

New type former in ToS:

$\text{Eq} \quad : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\text{reflect} : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{Eq } a \text{ } u \text{ } v) \rightarrow u = v$

Equations (identity type with reflection)

New type former in ToS:

$\text{Eq} \quad : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$

$\text{reflect} : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{Eq } a \ u \ v) \rightarrow u = v$

In the standard model:

$$\text{Eq}_a \ u \ v \ \gamma := (u \ \gamma =_{\text{El}^\circ a \ \gamma} v \ \gamma)$$

Equations (identity type with reflection)

New type former in ToS:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eq} & : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \\ \text{reflect} & : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{Eq } a \ u \ v) \rightarrow u = v \end{aligned}$$

In the standard model:

$$\text{Eq}_a \ u \ v \ \gamma := (u \ \gamma =_{\text{El} \circ a \ \gamma} v \ \gamma)$$

Now we can specify all strict QIITs (where the equations are definitional equalities). E.g. integers:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \triangleright Z : \text{U} \triangleright \text{zero} : \text{El } Z \triangleright \text{suc} : Z \Rightarrow \text{El } Z \triangleright \text{pred} : Z \Rightarrow \text{El } Z \triangleright \\ & \beta : \Pi(i : Z). \text{Eq } Z (\text{pred} @ (\text{suc} @ i)) \ i \triangleright \\ & \eta : \Pi(i : Z). \text{Eq } Z (\text{suc} @ (\text{pred} @ i)) \ i \triangleright \end{aligned}$$

Equations (identity type with reflection)

New type former in ToS:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Eq} & : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \\ \text{reflect} & : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{Eq } a \ u \ v) \rightarrow u = v\end{aligned}$$

In the standard model:

$$\text{Eq}_a \ u \ v \ \gamma := (u \ \gamma =_{\text{El} \circ a \ \gamma} v \ \gamma)$$

Now we can specify all strict QIITs (where the equations are definitional equalities). E.g. integers:

$$\begin{aligned}\bullet \triangleright Z : \text{U} \triangleright \text{zero} : \text{El } Z \triangleright \text{suc} : Z \Rightarrow \text{El } Z \triangleright \text{pred} : Z \Rightarrow \text{El } Z \triangleright \\ \beta : \Pi(i : Z).\text{Eq } Z (\text{pred} @ (\text{suc} @ i)) \ i \triangleright \\ \eta : \Pi(i : Z).\text{Eq } Z (\text{suc} @ (\text{pred} @ i)) \ i\end{aligned}$$

or type theory as a QIIT.

Equations (U is closed under identity with J)

New type former in ToS:

$$\text{Id} : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}$$

with the usual J elimination rule.

Equations (U is closed under identity with J)

New type former in ToS:

$$\text{Id} : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}$$

with the usual J elimination rule.

If \mathcal{C} has identity types with J, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have

$\text{id}^\circ : (a^\circ : \text{U}^\circ) \rightarrow \text{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \text{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \text{U}^\circ$. In the standard model:

$$\text{Id}_a u v \gamma := \text{El}^\circ (\text{id}_{a\gamma}^\circ (u \gamma) (v \gamma))$$

Equations (U is closed under identity with J)

New type former in ToS:

$$\text{Id} : (a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{El } a) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}$$

with the usual J elimination rule.

If \mathcal{C} has identity types with J, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have

$\text{id}^\circ : (a^\circ : \text{U}^\circ) \rightarrow \text{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \text{El}^\circ a^\circ \rightarrow \text{U}^\circ$. In the standard model:

$$\text{Id}_a u v \gamma := \text{El}^\circ (\text{id}_{a\gamma}^\circ (u \gamma) (v \gamma))$$

Now we can specify all HII Ts (Kaposi-Kovács 2020). E.g. the torus:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bullet \triangleright T : \text{U} \triangleright b : \text{El } T \triangleright p : \text{El } (\text{Id}_T b b) \triangleright q : \text{El } (\text{Id}_T b b) \triangleright \\ &t : \text{Id}_{\text{Id}_T b b} (p \cdot q) (q \cdot p) \end{aligned}$$

where \cdot is defined using J.

Infinitary operators

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U \\ -\tilde{\text{@}}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\tilde{\Pi} \ a^\circ \ b) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\text{El } (b \ x))\end{aligned}$$

Infinitary operators

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U \\ -\tilde{\textcircled{a}}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\tilde{\Pi} \ a^\circ \ b) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\text{El } (b \ x))\end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{C} has function space, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have

$$\pi^\circ : (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ U^\circ) \rightarrow U^\circ.$$

In the standard model,

$$\tilde{\Pi} \ a^\circ \ b \ \gamma := \pi^\circ(x : a^\circ).(b \ x \ \gamma)$$

Infinitary operators

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U \\ -\tilde{\textcircled{a}}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\tilde{\Pi} a^\circ b) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\text{El } (b \ x))\end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{C} has function space, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have

$$\pi^\circ : (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ U^\circ) \rightarrow U^\circ.$$

In the standard model,

$$\tilde{\Pi} a^\circ b \ \gamma := \pi^\circ(x : a^\circ).(b \ x \ \gamma)$$

If \mathcal{C} has \mathbb{N} , then we have $\mathbb{N}^\circ : U^\circ$ and we can specify infinitely branching trees:

$$\bullet \triangleright T : U \triangleright \text{leaf} : \text{El } T \triangleright \text{node} : (\mathbb{N}^\circ \rightrightarrows T) \Rightarrow \text{El } T$$

Infinitary operators

New type former in ToS (internal to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi} &: (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } U \\ -\tilde{\odot}- &: \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\tilde{\Pi} a^\circ b) \rightarrow \Pi^\circ(x : a^\circ). \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (\text{El } (b x))\end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{C} has function space, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have

$$\pi^\circ : (a^\circ : U^\circ) \rightarrow (a^\circ \Rightarrow^\circ U^\circ) \rightarrow U^\circ.$$

In the standard model,

$$\tilde{\Pi} a^\circ b \gamma := \pi^\circ(x : a^\circ).(b x \gamma)$$

If \mathcal{C} has \mathbb{N} , then we have $\mathbb{N}^\circ : U^\circ$ and we can specify infinitely branching trees:

$$\bullet \triangleright T : U \triangleright \text{leaf} : \text{El } T \triangleright \text{node} : (\mathbb{N}^\circ \rightrightarrows T) \Rightarrow \text{El } T$$

Now we can specify ToS itself, real numbers, the partiality monad.

Summary of operators

- ▶ U , E_I ,
- ▶ Π with domain in U ,
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}$ with domain in U° ,
- ▶ Eq : extensional identity,
- ▶ Id : intensional identity,
- ▶ $\tilde{\Pi}$ in U , with domain in U° .

Contents

- ▶ Formal specification of closed IITs
- ▶ Extension to QIITs
- ▶ Initial algebras
- ▶ HIITs
- ▶ Higher order abstract syntax (syntax with binding)

fCwF model (i)

If \mathcal{C} is a model of ETT, the AMDS model can be extended to a finite limit CwF model: $\text{CwF} + \Sigma + \text{Eq} + \text{K}$ (Nordvall Forsberg PhD 2013, c.f. democracy, Dybjer–Clairambault 2014):

$$\text{K} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma$$

$$\text{mkK} : \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \cong \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{K } \Delta) : \text{unK}$$

flCwF model (i)

If \mathcal{C} is a model of ETT, the AMDS model can be extended to a finite limit CwF model: $\text{CwF} + \Sigma + \text{Eq} + \text{K}$ (Nordvall Forsberg PhD 2013, c.f. democracy, Dybjer–Clairambault 2014):

$$\text{K} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \qquad \text{mkK} : \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \cong \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{K } \Delta) : \text{unK}$$

The model (AMDS is the $\text{Con}, \text{Sub}, \text{Ty}, \text{Tm}$ components):

- ▶ Contexts are flCwFs
- ▶ Substitutions strict flCwF morphisms
- ▶ Types are displayed flCwFs (c.f. Ahrens–Lumsdaine 2019)
- ▶ Terms are strict flCwF sections

this supports U , El , Π , $\hat{\Pi}$, Eq , but not $\tilde{\Pi}$, Id .
See Altenkirch–Kaposi–Kovács POPL 2019.

flCwF model (ii)

If \mathcal{C} is a model of ETT, the AMDS model can be extended to a finite limit CwF model: CwF + Σ + Eq + K (Nordvall Forsberg PhD 2013, c.f. democracy, Dybjer–Clairambault 2014):

$$K : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Ty } \Gamma \qquad \text{mkK} : \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \cong \text{Tm } \Gamma (K \Delta) : \text{unK}$$

The model (AMDS is the Con, Sub, Ty, Tm components):

- ▶ Contexts are flCwFs
- ▶ Substitutions weak flCwF morphisms (pseudomorphisms)
- ▶ Types are split flCwF isofibrations
- ▶ Terms are weak flCwF sections

this supports U , El , Π , $\hat{\Pi}$, Eq , $\tilde{\Pi}$, Id .
See Kovács–Kaposi LICS 2020.

Initiality \leftrightarrow induction

For each signature, we obtain a $\text{CwF} + \Sigma + \text{Eq} + \text{K}$. We prove that initiality is equivalent to induction in the internal language. Assume a $\Theta : \text{Con}$.

$$\text{rec} \quad : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Theta \Gamma$$

$$\text{uni} \quad : (\sigma \delta : \text{Sub } \Theta \Gamma) \rightarrow \sigma = \delta$$

$$\text{elim} \quad : (A : \text{Ty } \Theta) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Theta A$$

$$\text{elim } A := \text{q}[\text{rec } (\Theta \triangleright A)] : \text{Tm } \Theta (A[\underbrace{p \circ \text{rec } (\Theta \triangleright A)}_{= \text{id by uni id } _}])$$

$$\text{rec } \Gamma := \text{unK } (\text{elim } (\text{K } \Gamma))$$

$$\text{uni } \sigma \delta := \text{ap unK } \left(\underbrace{\text{reflect } (\text{elim } (\text{Eq } (\text{mkK } \sigma) (\text{mkK } \delta)))}_{: \text{mkK } \sigma = \text{mkK } \delta} \right)$$

Initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

Idea: natural numbers can be defined:

$$\mathbb{N} \quad := \text{Tm}_{\text{ToS}} (\bullet \triangleright N : \mathbf{U} \triangleright z : \text{El } N \triangleright s : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N) (\text{El } N)$$

$$\text{zero} := z$$

$$\text{suc } t := s @ t$$

Initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

Idea: natural numbers can be defined:

$$\mathbb{N} \quad := \text{Tm}_{\text{ToS}} (\bullet \triangleright N : U \triangleright z : \text{El } N \triangleright s : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N) (\text{El } N)$$

$$\text{zero} := z$$

$$\text{suc } t := s @ t$$

If we interpret the term in the standard model A , we get Church encoding (implementing the recursor):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tm}_A (\bullet \triangleright N : U \triangleright z : \text{El } N \triangleright s : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N) (\text{El } N) = \\ ((N : \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N)) \rightarrow N \end{aligned}$$

Initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

Idea: natural numbers can be defined:

$$\mathbb{N} := \text{Tm}_{\text{ToS}} (\bullet \triangleright N : U \triangleright z : \text{El } N \triangleright s : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N) (\text{El } N)$$

$$\text{zero} := z$$

$$\text{suc } t := s @ t$$

If we interpret the term in the standard model A , we get Church encoding (implementing the recursor):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tm}_A (\bullet \triangleright N : U \triangleright z : \text{El } N \triangleright s : N \Rightarrow \text{El } N) (\text{El } N) = \\ ((N : \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N)) \rightarrow N \end{aligned}$$

If interpret in the graph model AM , we get the Awodey-Frey-Speight encoding (LICS 2018).

Results on existence of initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

Results on existence of initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

- ▶ In ETT with indexed W types, we can define the ToS with $U, EI, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Lafont–Kovács, TYPES 2019 post-proc)

Results on existence of initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

- ▶ In ETT with indexed W types, we can define the ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Lafont–Kovács, TYPES 2019 post-proc)
- ▶ WIP: show that the setoid model supports ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}, Id, \tilde{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Zongpu TYPES 2020)

Results on existence of initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

- ▶ In ETT with indexed W types, we can define the ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Lafont–Kovács, TYPES 2019 post-proc)
- ▶ WIP: show that the setoid model supports ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}, Id, \tilde{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Zongpu TYPES 2020)
- ▶ stealing from Brunerie–Menno de Boer’s (HoTTEST talk) formalisation: they have U, El, Π, Id : in ETT + quotients + propext, we can derive all closed QIITs

Results on existence of initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

- ▶ In ETT with indexed W types, we can define the ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Lafont–Kovács, TYPES 2019 post-proc)
- ▶ WIP: show that the setoid model supports ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}, Id, \tilde{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Zongpu TYPES 2020)
- ▶ stealing from Brunerie–Menno de Boer’s (HoTTEST talk) formalisation: they have U, El, Π, Id : in ETT + quotients + propext, we can derive all closed QIITs

Negative result: certain infinitary QIITs cannot be defined in ETT + quotients (Lumsdaine–Shulman 2019).

Results on existence of initial algebras

If a model of ETT supports the ToS, then it supports all (Q)IITs specified by the ToS (for all combinations of ToS type formers).

- ▶ In ETT with indexed W types, we can define the ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Lafont–Kovács, TYPES 2019 post-proc)
- ▶ WIP: show that the setoid model supports ToS with $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}, Id, \tilde{\Pi}$ (Kaposi–Zongpu TYPES 2020)
- ▶ stealing from Brunerie–Menno de Boer’s (HoTTEST talk) formalisation: they have U, El, Π, Id : in ETT + quotients + propext, we can derive all closed QIITs

Negative result: certain infinitary QIITs cannot be defined in ETT + quotients (Lumsdaine–Shulman 2019).

A direct reduction (see Altenkirch–Kaposi–Kovács–Von Raumer, TYPES 2019) might work in intensional models and would give definitional computation rules.

Contents

- ▶ Formal specification of closed IITs
- ▶ Extension to QIITs
- ▶ Initial algebras
- ▶ HIITs
- ▶ Higher order abstract syntax (syntax with binding)

Categorical semantics of HIITs

Capriotti and Sattler (see abstract at TYPES 2020):

- ▶ construct a higher category of algebras from a signature
- ▶ support $U, El, \Pi, \hat{\Pi}, \tilde{\Pi}, Id$
- ▶ define displayed algebras and sections
- ▶ show the equivalence of initiality and induction
- ▶ work in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ for a model of HoTT \mathcal{C}

Contents

- ▶ Formal specification of closed IITs
- ▶ Extension to QIITs
- ▶ Initial algebras
- ▶ HIITs
- ▶ Higher order abstract syntax (syntax with binding)

Signatures for type theories (WIP) (i)

We know how to say that a CwF \mathcal{C} supports a QIIT.

How do we say that a CwF supports Π types, Σ types, coinductive types etc.?

Signatures for type theories (WIP) (i)

We know how to say that a CwF \mathcal{C} supports a QIIT.

How do we say that a CwF supports Π types, Σ types, coinductive types etc.? We could define CwF with Π and Σ as a QIIT, but that has two problems:

- ▶ overhead: then our semantics says what it means that another CwF supports an (internal) CwF
- ▶ we would need to write substitution rules such as $\Pi A B[\sigma] = \Pi (A[\sigma]) (B[\sigma \circ p, q])$ by hand.

Signatures for type theories (WIP) (i)

We know how to say that a CwF \mathcal{C} supports a QIIT.

How do we say that a CwF supports Π types, Σ types, coinductive types etc.? We could define CwF with Π and Σ as a QIIT, but that has two problems:

- ▶ overhead: then our semantics says what it means that another CwF supports an (internal) CwF
- ▶ we would need to write substitution rules such as $\Pi A B[\sigma] = \Pi (A[\sigma]) (B[\sigma \circ p, q])$ by hand.

A possible solution, based on Capriotti's Rule Framework (TYPES 2017):

- ▶ the QIIT-ToS has Ty which we call Ty^0 from now on,
- ▶ new sort for Ty^1 types with, $\uparrow: Ty^0 \Gamma \rightarrow Ty^1 \Gamma$
- ▶ Ty^1 has a function space with domain in Ty^0 and Eq of Ty^0
- ▶ a signature is a context in this general ToS

Signatures for type theories (WIP) (ii)

Signature for Π with β :

- $\triangleright pi : \Pi^1(a : U).(a \Rightarrow U) \Rightarrow^1 \uparrow U \triangleright$

$$lam : \Pi^1(a : U).\Pi^1(b : a \Rightarrow U).$$

$$((x : a) \Rightarrow \text{El}(b @ x)) \Rightarrow^1 \uparrow (\text{El}(pi @^1 a @^1 b)) \triangleright$$

$$app : \Pi^1(a : U).\Pi^1(b : a \Rightarrow U).$$

$$\text{El}(pi @^1 a @^1 b) \Rightarrow^1 \uparrow ((x : a) \Rightarrow \text{El}(b @ x)) \triangleright$$

$$\beta : \Pi^1(a : U).\Pi^1(b : a \Rightarrow U).\Pi^1(t : (x : a) \Rightarrow \text{El}(b @ x)).$$

$$\text{Eq}_{(x:a) \Rightarrow \text{El}(b @ x)} (app @^1 a @^1 b @^1 (lam @^1 a @^1 b @^1 t)) t$$

Signatures for type theories (WIP) (iii)

Conversions:

- ▶ TT signature \rightarrow QIIT signature:
 - ▶ adds substitution laws
 - ▶ obtain category of models, initiality
- ▶ QIIT signature \rightarrow TT signature:
 - ▶ adds elimination principles
 - ▶ obtain syntactic description

Signatures for type theories (WIP) (iii)

Conversions:

- ▶ TT signature \rightarrow QIIT signature:
 - ▶ adds substitution laws
 - ▶ obtain category of models, initiality
- ▶ QIIT signature \rightarrow TT signature:
 - ▶ adds elimination principles
 - ▶ obtain syntactic description

We can generalise type theory signatures to arbitrary signatures with binding. In a CwF \mathcal{C} , $\text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet$, but $\text{Tm}_{\mathcal{C}} : \overline{\text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}}(\bullet \triangleright \text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}} \Gamma &= (A : \text{Ty}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}} \Gamma) \times (- \triangleright_A - : (I : |\mathcal{C}|) \rightarrow |\Gamma|_I \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}|) \times \\ &\quad \mathcal{C}(J, I \triangleright_A \gamma) \cong (f : \mathcal{C}(J, I)) \times |A|_J(\gamma f) \end{aligned}$$

See also: Bocquet–Kaposi–Sattler TYPES 2020, Awodey’s natural models 2014, Uemura 2019, HoTTEST talks: Sterling, Bauer, Altenkirch.

Summary

- ▶ A QIIT/HIIT can be described as a context in a well chosen type theory of signatures.
- ▶ Models of the type theory of signatures provide semantics for QIITs/HIITs.
- ▶ In ETT, if we have the ToS, we get all QIITs.
- ▶ We can extend the theory of QIIT signatures to the theory of type theory signatures.