Combinatory logic and lambda calculus are equal, algebraically

Ambrus Kaposi Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

j.w.w. Thorsten Altenkirch, Artjoms Šinkarovs, Tamás Végh

FSCD, Roma, 3 July 2023



Moses Schönfinkel

Combinatory logic: Schönfinkel 1920



Moses Schönfinkel

Combinatory logic: Schönfinkel 1920

Lambda calculus: Church 1936



Moses Schönfinkel

Combinatory logic: Schönfinkel 1920

- Lambda calculus: Church 1936
- Originally developed for logic



Moses Schönfinkel

- Combinatory logic: Schönfinkel 1920 (Hilbert style proof theory for propositional logic)
- ► Lambda calculus: Church 1936 (Gentzen natural deduction)
- Originally developed for logic



Moses Schönfinkel

- Combinatory logic: Schönfinkel 1920 (Hilbert style proof theory for propositional logic)
- ► Lambda calculus: Church 1936 (Gentzen natural deduction)
- Originally developed for logic
- They are equivalent (well-known, dozens of textbooks)



Moses Schönfinkel

- Combinatory logic: Schönfinkel 1920 (Hilbert style proof theory for propositional logic)
- ► Lambda calculus: Church 1936 (Gentzen natural deduction)
- Originally developed for logic
- They are equivalent (well-known, dozens of textbooks)
- Spin-off from dependently typed combinatory logic

Traditional presentation

Combinatory logic Lambda calculus $t \, ::= \, K \, \mid \, S \, \mid \, t \cdot t' \qquad \qquad t \, ::= \, x \, \mid \, \lambda x.t \, \mid \, t \cdot t'$

Traditional presentation

Combinatory logic Lambda calculus

Tm : Set Tm : Set

 $_\cdot_ \; : \; \mathsf{Tm} \; \to \; \mathsf{Tm}$

Traditional presentation

Combinatory logic

Tm : Set K : Tm S : Tm

 $_\cdot_$: Tm \rightarrow Tm \rightarrow Tm

 $_\in_$: $Tm \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Prop$ tyK : $K \in A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

. . .

Lambda calculus

Tm : Set

var : $\mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Tm}$ lam : $\mathsf{Tm} \to \mathsf{Tm}$

 $_\cdot_$: Tm \rightarrow Tm \rightarrow Tm

 $_$ ⊢ $_$ ∈ $_$: Con→Tm→Ty→Prop tylam : Γ,A \vdash t \in B →

 $\Gamma \vdash t \in A \Rightarrow B$

. . .

Intrinsic presentation

Combinatory logic

```
Tm
     : Ty → Set
K
     : Tm (A→B→A)
     : Tm ((A→B→C)→
```

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow C$$

$$-$$
'- : Tm (A \Rightarrow B) \rightarrow Tm A \rightarrow Tm B

Lambda calculus

Tm : Con → Ty → Set

zero : $Tm(\Gamma,A)$ A

suc : Tm Γ A → $Tm(\Gamma,B)A$

: Tm Γ (A⇒B)→

 $\mathsf{Tm} \; \Gamma \; \mathsf{A} \; \to \; \mathsf{Tm} \; \Gamma \; \mathsf{B}$

lam : Tm (Γ,B) A →

Tm Γ (A⇒B)

Intrinsic presentation

Lambda calculus Combinatory logic

```
Tm
          : Ty → Set
                                               Tm
                                                            : Con → Ty → Set
K : Tm (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A)
                                               zero : Tm(\Gamma,A) A
                                               suc : Tm Γ A →
          : Tm ((A→B→C)→
                   (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C
                                                               Tm (Γ,Β) A
          : Tm (A⇒B) →
                                                            : Tm Γ (A⇒B)→
              \mathsf{Tm} \ \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{Tm} \ \mathsf{B}
                                                               \mathsf{Tm} \; \Gamma \; \mathsf{A} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{Tm} \; \Gamma \; \mathsf{B}
```

lam : Tm (Γ,B) A →

Tm Γ (A⇒B)

Paramaterised by Ty : Set \Rightarrow : Ty \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Ty

From lambda terms to combinators

From lambda terms to combinators

```
t \cdot u := t \cdot u
K := lam (lam 1)
S := lam (lam (2 \cdot 0 \cdot (1 \cdot 0))))
```

We extend the language of combinators with variables:

Tm : Con \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Set

We extend the language of combinators with variables:

Tm : Con \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Set

zero : Tm (Γ,A) A

We extend the language of combinators with variables:

```
Tm : Con \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Set
```

zero : Tm (Γ,A) A

suc : Tm Γ A \rightarrow Tm (Γ,B) A

We extend the language of combinators with variables:

```
Tm : Con \rightarrow Ty \rightarrow Set zero : Tm (\Gamma,A) A suc : Tm \Gamma A \rightarrow Tm (\Gamma,B) A K : Tm \Gamma (A\Rightarrow B\Rightarrow A) S : Tm \Gamma ((A\Rightarrow B\Rightarrow C)\Rightarrow(A\Rightarrow B)\Rightarrow A\Rightarrow C)
\_\cdot\_ : Tm \Gamma (A\Rightarrow B) \rightarrow Tm \Gamma A \rightarrow Tm \Gamma B
```

lam : Tm (Γ, A) B \rightarrow Tm Γ $(A \Rightarrow B)$

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \Rightarrow B) lam zero := lam (suc \ x) := lam K := lam S := lam (t \cdot u) :=
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{lam} : & \text{Tm} & (\Gamma,A) & B \rightarrow \text{Tm} & \Gamma & (A \!\!\rightarrow\!\! B) \\ \text{lam} & \text{zero} & := & I \\ \text{lam} & (\text{suc} \times) := & \\ \text{lam} & K & := & \\ \text{lam} & S & := & \\ \text{lam} & (\text{t} \!\!\cdot\!\! \text{u}) & := & \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{lam} : & \text{Tm} & (\Gamma,A) & B \to \text{Tm} & \Gamma & (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B) \\ \text{lam} & \text{zero} & := & S \!\!\cdot\! K \!\!\cdot\! K \\ \text{lam} & (\text{suc} \ x) & := \\ \text{lam} & K & := \\ \text{lam} & S & := \\ \text{lam} & (\text{t} \!\cdot\! u) & := \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{lam} : & \text{Tm} & (\Gamma,A) & B \to \text{Tm} & \Gamma & (A \!\!\rightarrow\!\! B) \\ \text{lam} & \text{zero} & := & S \!\!\cdot\! K \!\!\cdot\! K \\ \text{lam} & (\text{suc} \ x) & := & K \!\!\cdot\! x \\ \text{lam} & K & := & \\ \text{lam} & S & := & \\ \text{lam} & (\text{t} \!\!\cdot\! u) & := & \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{lam} & : \text{ Tm } (\Gamma,A) \text{ B } \rightarrow \text{ Tm } \Gamma \text{ } (A \Rightarrow B) \\ \text{lam } \text{ zero} & := \text{ S} \cdot \text{K} \cdot \text{K} \\ \text{lam } (\text{suc } x) & := \text{ K} \cdot \text{K} \\ \text{lam } \text{K} & := \text{ K} \cdot \text{K} \\ \text{lam } \text{S} & := \\ \text{lam } (\text{t} \cdot \text{u}) & := \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{lam} & : \text{ Tm } (\Gamma, A) & B \rightarrow \text{ Tm } \Gamma & (A \!\!\rightarrow\!\! B) \\ \text{lam } \text{ zero} & := & S \!\!\cdot\! K \!\!\cdot\! K \\ \text{lam } (\text{suc } x) & := & K \!\!\cdot\! X \\ \text{lam } K & := & K \!\!\cdot\! K \\ \text{lam } S & := & K \!\!\cdot\! S \\ \text{lam } (\text{t} \!\cdot\! u) & := & S \!\!\cdot\! \text{lam } \text{t} \!\!\cdot\! \text{lam } u \end{array}
```

We add equations

```
Tm : Ty \rightarrow Set

K : Tm (A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A)

S : Tm ((A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C)

\vdots : Tm (A \Rightarrow B) \rightarrow Tm A \rightarrow Tm B

K\beta : K·u·v = u

S\beta : S·f·q·u = f·u·(q·u)
```

We add equations

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{Tm} & : & \text{Ty} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \text{K} & : & \text{Tm} & (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B \!\!\rightarrow\! A) \\ \text{S} & : & \text{Tm} & ((A \!\!\rightarrow\! B \!\!\rightarrow\! C) \!\!\rightarrow\! (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B) \!\!\rightarrow\! A \!\!\rightarrow\! C) \\ \underline{\cdot}_{-} & : & \text{Tm} & (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B) \rightarrow \text{Tm} & A \rightarrow \text{Tm} & B \\ \text{K}\beta & : & \text{K} \!\!\cdot\! u \!\!\cdot\! v = u \\ \text{S}\beta & : & \text{S} \!\!\cdot\! f \!\!\cdot\! g \!\!\cdot\! u = f \!\!\cdot\! u \!\!\cdot\! (g \!\!\cdot\! u) \end{array}
```

Typed combinatory algebra.

We add equations

```
\begin{array}{lll} Tm & : & Ty \rightarrow Set \\ K & : & Tm & (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B \!\!\rightarrow\! A) \\ S & : & Tm & ((A \!\!\rightarrow\! B \!\!\rightarrow\! C) \!\!\rightarrow\! (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B) \!\!\rightarrow\! A \!\!\rightarrow\! C) \\ \underline{\cdot}_{-} & : & Tm & (A \!\!\rightarrow\! B) \rightarrow Tm & A \rightarrow Tm & B \\ K\beta & : & K \!\!\cdot\! u \!\!\cdot\! v = u \\ S\beta & : & S \!\!\cdot\! f \!\!\cdot\! g \!\!\cdot\! u = f \!\!\cdot\! u \!\!\cdot\! (g \!\!\cdot\! u) \end{array}
```

Typed combinatory algebra.

The (quotiented) syntax is the initial algebra.

We add equations: calculus with variables

```
: Con → Ty → Set
zero
suc
K
S
\overline{K}\beta : K \cdot u \cdot v = u
S\beta : S \cdot f \cdot q \cdot u = f \cdot u \cdot (q \cdot u)
sucK : suc K = K
sucS : suc S = S
suc \cdot : suc (t \cdot u) = suc t \cdot suc u
```

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam Kß
lam Sß
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
```

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam K\beta : lam (K \cdot u \cdot v) = lam u
lam SB
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
```

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam K\beta : S·lam (K·u)·lam v = lam u
lam SB
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
```

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam K\beta : S \cdot (S \cdot lam \ K \cdot lam \ u) \cdot lam \ v = lam \ u
lam SB
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
```

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam K\beta : S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot lam \ u) \cdot lam \ v = lam \ u
lam SB
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
```

We add a new equation

```
Tm
        : Con → Ty → Set
zero
suc
K
S
Kβ
         : K \cdot u \cdot v = u
S\beta : S \cdot f \cdot q \cdot u = f \cdot u \cdot (q \cdot u)
sucK : suc K = K
sucS : suc S = S
suc \cdot : suc (t \cdot u) = suc t \cdot suc u
lamKB : S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot t) \cdot t' = t
```

From combinators to lambda terms

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam K\beta : S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot lam \ u) \cdot lam \ v = lam \ u
lam SB
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
lam \ lamK\beta : lam \ (S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot t) \cdot t') = lam \ t
```

We add a new equation

```
Tm
        : Con → Ty → Set
zero
suc
K
S
Kβ
         : K \cdot u \cdot v = u
S\beta : S \cdot f \cdot q \cdot u = f \cdot u \cdot (q \cdot u)
sucK : suc K = K
sucS : suc S = S
suc \cdot : suc (t \cdot u) = suc t \cdot suc u
lamKB : S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot t) \cdot t' = t
```

Point-free trick

```
Tm
         : Con → Ty → Set
zero
suc
K
S
Κβ
          : K \cdot u \cdot v = u
S\beta : S \cdot f \cdot q \cdot u = f \cdot u \cdot (q \cdot u)
sucK : suc K = K
sucS : suc S = S
suc \cdot : suc (t \cdot u) = suc t \cdot suc u
lamK\beta : \lambda t t' . S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot t) \cdot t' = \lambda t t' . t
```

Point-free trick

```
Tm
         : Con → Ty → Set
zero
suc
K
S
Kβ
         : K \cdot u \cdot v = u
S\beta : S \cdot f \cdot q \cdot u = f \cdot u \cdot (q \cdot u)
sucK : suc K = K
sucS : suc S = S
suc \cdot : suc (t \cdot u) = suc t \cdot suc u
lamK\beta : S \cdot (K \cdot S) \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K)) = K
```

Point-free trick

: Con → Ty → Set

Tm

zero

From combinators to lambda terms

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam K\beta : S \cdot (S \cdot (K \cdot K) \cdot lam \ u) \cdot lam \ v = lam \ u
lam SB
lam sucK
lam sucS
lam suc·
lam lamKB holds vacuously
```

From combinators to lambda terms

```
lam : Tm (\Gamma, A) B \rightarrow Tm \Gamma (A \rightarrow B)
lam zero := S \cdot K \cdot K
lam (suc x) := K \cdot x
lam K := K \cdot K
lam S := K \cdot S
lam (t \cdot u) := S \cdot lam t \cdot lam u
lam Kβ := from lamKβ (NEW)
lam S\beta := from lamS\beta (NEW)
lam sucK := refl
lam sucS := refl
lam suc· := from lamsuc· (NEW)
lam lamKB holds vacuously
lam lamSB holds vacuously
lam lamsuc holds vacuously
```

- ► C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations needed to define lam
- ► C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations
- L: lambda calculus

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup L: lambda calculus with eta and η

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup L: lambda calculus with eta and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C} A \cong \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C-var} \diamond A$$

$$\mathsf{Tm}_{\mathsf{C-var}}\,\Gamma\,A\cong\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\,\Gamma\,A$$

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup L: lambda calculus with eta and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C} A \cong \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C-var} \diamond A \cong \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \diamond A$$

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup L: lambda calculus with eta and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C}\,(\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) \cong \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C\text{-}\mathsf{var}} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) \cong \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) \cong \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \, \Gamma \, A$$

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- \blacktriangleright L: lambda calculus with β and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C}\,(\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C\text{-}var}\, \diamond\, (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\, \diamond\, (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\, \Gamma\, A$$

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- \blacktriangleright L: lambda calculus with β and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C}\,(\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C\text{-var}} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\,\Gamma\,A$$
 Open (?) problems in the algebraic setting.

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- \blacktriangleright L: lambda calculus with β and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C}\,(\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C\text{-var}} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\,\Gamma\,A$$
 Open (?) problems in the algebraic setting. What is...

• ...the combinatory equivalent of L without η ?

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- \blacktriangleright L: lambda calculus with β and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C}\,(\Gamma\Rightarrow^*A)=\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C\text{-var}}\diamond(\Gamma\Rightarrow^*A)=\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\diamond(\Gamma\Rightarrow^*A)=\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\,\Gamma\,A$$
 Open (?) problems in the algebraic setting. What is...

- ...the combinatory equivalent of L without η ?
- ▶ ...the lambda equivalent of C without η ?

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup L: lambda calculus with eta and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C}\,(\Gamma\Rightarrow^*A)=\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C\text{-var}}\diamond(\Gamma\Rightarrow^*A)=\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\diamond(\Gamma\Rightarrow^*A)=\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L}\,\Gamma\,A$$
 Open (?) problems in the algebraic setting. What is...

- ...the combinatory equivalent of L without η ?
- ▶ ...the lambda equivalent of C without η ?
- …the lambda equivalent of C without extra equations?

- ightharpoonup C: combinatory logic + 3 new equations + η
- lacktriangle C-var: combinatory logic with variables + 3 new equations + η
- ightharpoonup L: lambda calculus with eta and η

$$\mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C} (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{C-var} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \diamond (\Gamma \Rightarrow^* A) = \mathsf{Tm}_\mathsf{L} \Gamma A$$

Open (?) problems in the algebraic setting. What is...

- ...the combinatory equivalent of L without η ?
- ▶ ...the lambda equivalent of C without η ?
- …the lambda equivalent of C without extra equations?
- ...a dependently typed version of combinatory logic?