13) Law of Large Numbers

13.1 Averages vary less.

consider a probability experiment and a random variable X being the number on top of the die.

Then consider n independent repetitions and thus n independent random variables, X1, X2, ..., Xn In our example X; represents the number that comes up on the ith throw of the die.

Assume there is no change in experimental conditions and therefore all Xi have same distribution.

and therefore all Xi have same distribution.

Furthermore outcome of one throw does not affect outcome of another throw and hence all Xi's are all independent.

This situation is so common, there is a name tos it

(Defn on next page)

Defn 13.1. Let x be a random variable. A collection X,,..., Xn of independent random variables that all have the same distribution as x is called i.id. sample from the distribution of X of size n. (i.i.d sample stands for independentantly and identically distrib-

The average:

$$\hat{X}_{n} = (X_{1} + X_{2} + X_{3} + \cdots + X_{n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$$
is called the sample mean.

Another name for iid sample is random sample.

Lonsides the experiment as before with 1:30. In figure 13.1 in notes, the values jump a lot. The red line shows cumulative averages of the values. These behave much more predictably.

The cumulative averages appear to converge to the expectation: E[x] = 3.5

L) represented by dotted line in fig 13.1

We now prove that the averages converges to expectation of X.

$$E[\hat{X}_{n}] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right]$$

Jince all Xi are part of an iid sample, they all have same distribution as X, hence the same expected value as X,

E[Xi] = E[X] \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n

Same expected value as x, $E[x_i] = E[x] \qquad \forall 1 \le i \le n$ So $E[\hat{x}_n] = \bot \xrightarrow{\gamma} E[x]$

$$E[\hat{x}_{\lambda}] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} E[x_{i}] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} E[x]$$

$$= \lambda E[x] = E[x]$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\hat{x}_{\lambda}] = E[x]$$

The variance is
$$Vax(\hat{X}_n) = Vax\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(x_i) \quad \text{Thm 10.5 with} \quad \text{Covariance of 0}$$

same variance value as
$$X$$
, hence the variance value as X ,

Var $(Xi) = Var(X)$ $\forall 1 \le i \le n$

$$Vax(\hat{x}_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Vax(\hat{x}_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Vax(\hat{x}_i)$$

$$= \frac{n Vax(\hat{x}_i)}{n^2} = \frac{Vax(\hat{x}_i)}{n}$$

 $\Rightarrow \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{X}_n)} = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(x)}{n}$

So what we basically showed is that: - As a gets large the sample mean deviates less from the expected value Ly as a consequence variance gets smaller 13.2 Chebychev's inequality Previously we showed that variance of sample mean goes down as 1/n. Given the intuitive understanding of the variance as a measure for likelihood that random variable deviates from its mean But we need to provide a formal basis for that understanding. This is provided by the chebychev's inequality So we can think of it as the probability of Sample mean going to be far away from Kne value of the mean is getting smaller, more mathematically P(|X-E(x))

Theorem: (Chebychev's inequality) Let X be a random vasiable, and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with a > 0. Then $P(|X-E[X]| \ge a) \le \frac{1}{a^2} Var(x)$ Intuition N(0,1) P(IX-E[x]1) == P(IX-E[x]]) is smaller than P(IX-E[x]) So as a gets bigger, probability gets smaller.

So in $P(|X-E[X]| \ge a) \le \frac{1}{a^2} Var(x)$ gets smaller gets bigger

Similarly as Var(x) gets bigger, P(1x-E[x])>a)
gets bigger

N(0,2)

Yvariance is
biggers.

For same value of a in the case of N(0,1), the area/probability is bigger in N(0,2) that for N(0,1) as variance is bigger

Variance goes up => probability goes up

<u>proof</u>: Where X is contiquous random variable. Let E[X]= µ Then $Vas(x) = E[(x-\mu)^2]$ by defn of varionce $= \int (x-\mu)^2 f_{\chi}(x) dx \qquad \text{by Thm 7.11}$ $\geq \int (x-\mu)^2 f_{\chi}(x) dx$ - 1x-ulza integration over whole of IR is bigger than integration large over a smaller region or subset of IR here all x ct |x-n|2a. heason for 1x-112a integrating over this region $(x-\mu)^2 + (x)$ |x-n| > a

Now we have

$$Va_{1}(x) \geq \int (x-\mu)^{2} f_{x}(x) dx$$
 $(x-\mu)^{2} a$

In segion $|x-\mu| \geq a$ of graph of $(x-\mu)^{2}$,

 $(x-\mu)^{2} \geq a^{2}$
 $\forall x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} | |x-\mu| \geq a\}$
 $\Rightarrow \int f_{x}(x) (x-\mu)^{2} dx \geq \int f_{x}(x) a^{2} dx$
 $|x-\mu| \geq a$

by domination property of integrals over the region $\{x \mid |x-\mu| \geq a\}$ where $\{x \mid |x| \text{ is volid}$

(KI)

$$Vax(X) = E[(X-\mu)^{2}]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^{2} f_{x}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^{2} f_{x}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^{2} f_{x}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} a^{2} f_{x}(x) dx = a^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{x}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^{2} a$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} Vax(X) \ge a^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{x}(x)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} Vax(X) \ge a^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{x}(x)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} Vax(X) \ge a^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{x}(x)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} Vax(X) \ge a^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{$$

Sn

Corollary: Let X be a random variable with finite 13.3 expectation $E[x]=\mu$ and finite variance σ^2 . Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ with k>0. Then $P(|x-E[x]| \ge ksd(x)) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$

example: Assume that probability for yellow smartie

Example: Assume that probability for yellow smartie is

13.4 p = 1/8. As in Example 10.7, let Y be number

of yellow smarties in a box of n smarties. Let

You would expect E[Y] = npy = 40/8 = 5

yellow smarties.

use chebychev's inequality to get at upperbound on the phobability to get 11 or more yellow smarties.

Solution: Because E[Y] = S, we can write the event $\{Y \ge 11\}$ equivalently as { |Y-E(Y] | > 6} Apply chebychev's inequality using var(x)= 35

P(Y>11) = P(1Y-E(Y)1 >6)

 $\leq \frac{1}{6^2} \text{Var}(4) = \frac{1}{36} \cdot \frac{35}{8} \approx 0.12$ ⇒ P(1Y-E[Y]/26) < 0.12

So probability of getting 11 yellow smartle or more is no more than about 12-1.

Calculating probability P(Y=11) with Yn Bin(40, 1/8) P(Y)11) = 1- F,(10)

× 0.008 This shows that upperbound from cheby chev's inequality is not that good. 13.3 Law of Large Numbers

Theorem: For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let X_1, \dots, X_n be an iid sample 13.5 from a distribution with finite expectation, $E[X] = \mu$ and finite variance $Vas(X) = \sigma^2$.

1) Weak law of large numbers:

(convergence of probability)

2) Strong law of large numbers

p(lin xn-1)=1

1) proof of weak law

E[Xn] = E[xi] = 1

We have that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\hat{X}_n - \mu| \ge \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0$

(The Fr converges to m almost surely as n > 00)

by iid

 $Var(\hat{x}_n) = \underline{Var(x_i)} = \underline{\sigma}^2$

From Chebychev's inequality (Thm 13.2), we have

have
$$P(|\hat{X}_n - \mu| \ge E) \le \frac{1}{E^2} Var_s(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n_E^2}$$

 $= P(|\hat{x}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n \epsilon^2}$

Taking limit as $\eta \to \infty$ on both sides $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(|\hat{x}_n - \mu| \ge \epsilon) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^2 = 0$

$$= \rangle$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\hat{x}_n - \mu| \ge \epsilon) = 0$$

Intuition: The intuition of weak law of large numbers is that if you take a large enough sample, so in the limit n >00, then the sample mean is going to be really close to true value, So the probability that the expectation is far away is going to 0, i.e. $P(|x_n L| \ge \epsilon) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ weak law of large numbers is a limit of a probability

strong law of large numbers is the probability of a limit.

Discuss how we can estimate probability of any event A by performing independent repetitions of probability experiment.

The intuitive idea is that the probability of the event could be approximated by the relative frequency with which event occurs in sample.

To formalise this intuition we are going to use the indicator random variables for event

 $\chi(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in A = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } w \notin A \end{cases}$

To understand utility of indicator handom variables, in this context, we calculate its

expectation:

 $E[1]_A = 1.P(1]_{A=1} + 0.P(1]_{A=0}$

= 1. P({11A=1}) = 1.P(A) = P(A)

 $\Rightarrow E[1_A] = P(A)$ We see that probability of any event can be expressed in terms of its indicator random variable.

We already know from law of large numbers how to estimate expectations from against sample and so this will allow us to estimate probability of events from against sample.

Take iid sample X1, X2, ..., Xn from X=1LA The sample mean

 $\hat{X}_n = (X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n)$

To estimate P(A),

is equal to first n repetitions of the prob-ability experiment in which A occurs

Also

 $E[\hat{X}_A] = E[X_i] = P(1_A = 1) = P(A)$ From law of large numbers

 $\lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \hat{\chi}_{\Lambda} = E[\hat{\chi}_{\Lambda}] = P(A)$

almost surely. (by strong law of large numbers)

Given that we can estimate probabilities of any event, we can also estimate probability of the distribution function Fx of X because Fx(x) is just the probability of the event {X \le x}. Thus Fx(x) will be approximately equal to X.

In times the number of Xi less than or equal to X.

We can furthermore estimate the probability density with a histogram.

Lyac coor in R practicals.

we approximate the probability density at a point x using the number of sample values that lie in a small interval [x-h,xth] around that point, for h small.

$$f_{\chi}(x) \approx \frac{1}{2h} \rho(\chi \in [x-h, x+h])$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2h} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \text{number of } \chi_{i} \text{ that lie in } [x-h, x+h]$$

A histogram shows bars for mony such small intervals giving an approximation to fx(x)