# Hedetniemi's Conjecture

### Aadi Karthik, Akash Narayanan

November 2020

### 1 Abstract

Recently, Shitov provided a counterexample to a conjecture of Hedetniemi that had been unsolved for fifty years. While not elementary, this counterexample is considered relatively simple, and thus provides a prime beginning for investigation of deep graph-theoretic results. In this paper, we will first introduce terminology and explain Hedetniemi's conjecture. We will then explain, in high-level terms, Shitov's counterexample. Finally, we will look at partial resolutions of Hedetniemi's conjecture, such as the affirmative resolutions for graphs of chromatic number 4 and fractional coloring systems, and the negative resolutions for digraphs or graphs with infinite chromatic number.

# 2 Hedetniemi's Conjecture

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be graphs defined as  $(V_1, E_1)$  and  $(V_2, E_2)$ , respectively, where  $V_i$  are their vertex sets and  $E_i$  are their edge sets.

**Definition 1** We define the **tensor product** of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , denoted as  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ , as having vertex set  $V_1 \times V_2$ , and an edge between  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  for  $x_i \in V_1$  and  $y_i \in V_2$  iff  $x_1x_2 \in E_1$  and  $y_1y_2 \in E_2$ .

**Definition 2** We define the **chromatic number** of a graph A,  $\chi(A)$ , to be the least positive integer c for which A is c-colorable but not (c-1)-colorable.

**Lemma 1** 
$$\chi(A_1 \otimes A_2) \leq \min(\chi(A_1), \chi(A_2))$$

WLOG let  $\chi(A_1) \leq \chi(A_2)$ . We provide a  $\chi(A_1)$ -coloring of  $\chi(A_1 \otimes A_2)$ . Color all vertices of the form  $(v_1, v_2)$ , where  $v_1 \in A_1$  and  $v_2 \in A_2$ , in the color of  $v_1$  in a  $\chi(A_1)$ -coloring of  $A_1$ . Since  $(v_1, v_2)$  is only connected to vertices that are of the form  $(x_1, x_2)$ , where  $v_1x_1$  is an edge in  $A_1$ ,  $(v_1, v_2)$  will have a distinct color from its neighbors, so this is a valid coloring. Furthermore, we only use the colors involved in a  $\chi(A_1)$ -coloring of  $A_1$ , meaning that only  $\chi(A_1)$  colors are used.

Hedetniemi asserted that this bound was strict, or equivalently:

Conjecture 1 
$$\chi(A_1 \otimes A_2 = \min(\chi(A_1, \chi(A_2)))$$

He was, however, unable to prove this. Much mathematical attention focused on proving the conjecture; however, in 2019, Shitov found a rather large, but reasonably simple counterexample to the conjecture.

The conjecture derives its importance from the simplicity of both its statement and resolution, and the difficulty of finding both. This unique combination allows the amateur mathematician to gain insight into actively-researched topics while not encountering particularly advanced mathematics.

## 3 Shitov's Counterexample, Further Progress

We introduce the notion of an exponential graph.

**Definition 3** Let G be a graph with n vertices and let c be a positive integer. We define the exponential graph  $\mathcal{E}_c(G)$  as follows: The vertex set consists of the c-colorings  $\varphi: V(G) \to \{1, 2, ..., c\}$ . Two vertices  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are adjacent iff  $\varphi(x) \neq \psi(y)$  for all  $xy \in E(G)$ .

Note that the c-colorings do not have to be proper (that is, adjacent vertices can be the same color). Thus, if |V(G)| = n, then  $\mathcal{E}_c(G)$  has  $c^n$  vertices. Furthermore, consider two copies of V(G), say  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Color one with  $\varphi$  and the other with  $\psi$ . Connect each vertex of  $V_1$  to its neighbors in  $V_2$ . Then the colorings  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are adjacent if and only if this graph is properly colored.

The exponential graph as defined allows for loops. In particular, a vertex  $\varphi$  is adjacent to itself when it is a proper coloring, since each vertex will be a different color from its neighbors. Thus, the graph has no loops iff  $\chi(G) > c$ . Consider the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2  $\chi(G) > n$  implies that  $\chi(\mathcal{E}_n(G)) = n$ .

The relevance of the exponential graph in the Hedetniemi conjecture was shown in a 1985 paper by El-Zahar and Sauer [1]. They proved that the two conjectures stated above are equivalent. Indeed, let G,H be two graphs with chromatic number n+1 whose product  $G\otimes H$  has chromatic number n, making it a counter-example to the Hedetniemi conjecture. Suppose  $f:G\otimes H\to \{1,\ldots,n\}$  is a proper coloring. Then for every vertex  $v\in H$ , we have a map  $f_v$  which is an n-coloring of G by letting  $f_v(x)=f(x,v)$ . That is,  $f_v\in \mathcal{E}_n(G)$ . The map  $\phi:H\to \mathcal{E}_n(G)$  sending v to  $f_v$  preserves edges. Indeed, if (g,h) is adjacent to (g',h') in  $G\otimes H$ , then  $f_h(g)=f(g,h)\neq f(g',h')=f_{h'}(g)$ . Thus, we have  $n<\chi(H)\leq \chi(\mathcal{E}_n(G))$ . The converse is that if G is an n+1-chromatic graph with  $\chi(\mathcal{E}_n(G))>n$ , then  $G\otimes \mathcal{E}_n(G)$  is a counter-example to the Hedetniemi conjecture.

Shitov uses this fact to construct a such a graph G along with exploiting sufficiently large n. His proof introduces one other important notion.

**Definition 4** The strong product of graphs G, H is denoted  $G \boxtimes H$  and has vertex set  $G \times H$ . The vertices (g,h) and (g',h') are adjacent if and only if

1. g = g' and h is adjacent to h'

- 2. h = h' and g is adjacent to g'
- 3. g is adjacent to g' and h is adjacent to h'

Effectively, it can be considered an extension of the tensor product defined earlier. Shitov shows that by letting G be a graph of girth  $\geq 6$ , then for sufficiently large q we have  $\chi(\mathcal{E}_c(G \boxtimes K_q)) > c$  where  $c = \lceil 3.1q \rceil$ . Unfortunately, the proof itself requires background material in fractional coloring and other topics we aren't adequately equipped to discuss [2]. However, it's reasonable to see that the graph itself grows massively. Shitov estimates that G has at least  $4^{100}$  vertices and that the exponential graph involved has at least  $4^{10000}$  vertices. The next logical question to ask is what the smallest counter-examples are. Recent work in this year has shown that there exists a counter-example for graphs G with 10,952 vertices and H with 33,377 vertices [3].

### 4 Variations on Hedetniemi

Unlike many other conjectures, there was no consensus as to whether the Hedetniemi conjecture was true or not. The conjecture was proven false for several families of graphs such as directed graphs and graphs with countably infinite chromatic number. However, nobody could prove or disprove it in the setting of finite, undirected graphs. It's interesting to consider the cases for which the conjecture is proven to be true until Shitov's counter-example. One can see that it holds for graphs G and H that are 1-colorable. Indeed, suppose neither G nor H are 1-colorable. Then they both have at least 1 edge, say gg' and hh' respectively. Then (g,h) is adjacent to (g',h') in  $G\otimes H$  so it is not 1-colorable. The converse is that if  $G\otimes H$  is 1-colorable, then one of G,H is as well.

We will also show the proof for 2-colorable graphs. Suppose graphs G, H are not 2-colorable. Then they are not bipartite (or their vertex sets could be split in two by color and no two vertices in the same set would be adjacent). Equivalently, G and H both contain odd cycles, say  $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m$  and  $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n)$  respectively. Then the product  $G \otimes H$  contains the cycle  $((g_1, h_1), \ldots, (g_i, h_j), \ldots, (g_m, h_n)$  of length lcm(m, n). Thus, it also is not 2-colorable. Again, the converse is that if a graph  $G \otimes H$  is 2-colorable, then at least one of G or H is also 2-colorable.

The El-Zahar and Sauer paper mentioned earlier showed that if  $G \otimes H$  is 3-colorable, then one of G or H must also be, though the proof is much more involved than those above. A corollary is that the conjecture holds for G or H being 4-colorable because the inequality in  $\chi(G \otimes H) \leq \min(\chi(G), \chi(H))$  is strict only when  $\chi(G \otimes H)$  is 3, in which case the right side of the inequality is also 3.

Attempts to prove the conjecture for higher chromatic numbers have scarcely been fruitful. The case for 5-colorable graphs is only shown to be true for highly specific constraints.

### 5 Conclusion

Graph theory is a field rich with open problems that are relatively simple to state yet exceedingly difficult to answer. Shitov's relatively simple counter-example to the long standing Hedetniemi conjecture is a fascinating solution that showcases the magnitude that constructions in proofs can reach. Although the conjecture has been proven false, there are several problems that have yet to be solved, including finding the smallest counter-example and understanding more about why the conjecture fails. Shitov's work has laid the ground for a more fruitful exploration into this conjecture and several related problems.

### References

- [1] Mohamed El-Zahar and Norbert Sauer. The chromatic number of the product of two 4-chromatic graphs is 4. *Combinatorica*, 5(2):121–126, 1985.
- [2] Yaroslav Shitov. Counterexamples to hedetniemi's conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics*, 190(2):663–667, 2019.
- [3] Xuding Zhu. Relatively small counterexamples to hedetniemi's conjecture. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09028, 2020.