Chapter 1

Sets

1.1 Introduction to Sets

No exercises.

1.2 Properties

No exercises

1.3 The Axioms

Problem 1.3.1. Show that the set of all x such that $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ exists.

Solution. Consider the property $\mathbf{P}(x,B)$: " $x \notin B$." Then, by the Comprehension Schema, for every A and B there exists a set C such that $x \in C$ if and only if $x \in A$ and $\mathbf{P}(x,B)$, or if and only if $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$.

Problem 1.3.2. Replace the Axiom of Existence by the following weaker postulate:

Weak Axiom of Existence. Some set exists.

Prove the Axiom of Existence using the Weak Axiom of Existence and the Comprehension Schema. [Hint: Let A be a set known to exist; consider $\{x \in A \mid x \neq x\}$.]

Solution. Recall that the Axiom of Existence states that there exists a set which has no elements. Let A be a set known to exist, which is guaranteed by the Weak Axiom of Existence. Consider the property $\mathbf{P}(x)$: " $x \neq x$." Then, by the Comprehension Schema, there is a set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$

and $\mathbf{P}(x)$, or $x \in A$ and $x \neq x$. However, we have that $\forall x : x = x$. That is, there is no x such that $x \neq x$. In particular, the set B has no elements so there exists a set which has no elements.

Problem 1.3.3.

- (a) Prove that a "set of all sets" does not exist. [Hint: if V is a set of all sets, consider $\{x \in V \mid x \notin x\}$.]
- (b) Prove that for any set A there is some $x \notin A$.

Solution.

- (a) Suppose that there exists a set V containing all sets. Consider the property $\mathbf{P}(x)$: " $x \notin x$." By the Comprehension Schema, there exists a set $X = \{x \in V \mid \mathbf{P}(x)\} = \{x \in V \mid x \notin x\}$. That is, $X \in V$ because it's a set. We either have that $X \in X$ or $X \notin X$. If $X \in X$, then $X \in V$ and $X \notin X$, a contradiction. If $X \notin X$, then $X \in V$ and $X \notin X$ imply that $X \in X$, another contradiction. Since every step is true, it must be the case that our original assumption of the existence of V is false.
- (b) Suppose there is a set A such that $\forall x: x \in A$. Since every object x we have constructed so far is a set, A is a "set of all sets" which we have shown cannot exist.

Problem 1.3.4. Let A and B be sets. Show that there exists a unique set C such that $x \in C$ if and only if either $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ or $x \in B$ and $x \notin A$.

Solution. By Problem 1.3.1, the following two sets exist:

$$C_1 = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$$
$$C_2 = \{x \mid x \in B \text{ and } x \notin A\}$$

By the Axiom of Union, there is a set $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ such that $x \in C$ if and only if $x \in C_1$ or $x \in C_2$. That is,

$$C = \{x \mid (x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B) \text{ or } (x \in B \text{ and } x \notin A)\}$$

Thus, C exists. If C' is another set satisfying the hypothesis, then $x \in C$ if and only if $x \in C'$ so by the Axiom of Extensionality, C = C'. That is, C is unique. In general, the union of sets is unique.

Problem 1.3.5.

- (a) Given A, B, and C, there is a set P such that $x \in P$ if and only if x = A or x = B or x = C.
- (b) Generalize to four elements.

Solution.

(a) By the Axiom of Pair, the following three sets exist:

$$P_A = \{x \mid x = A\} = \{A\}$$

$$P_B = \{x \mid x = B\} = \{B\}$$

$$P_C = \{x \mid x = C\} = \{C\}$$

Then by the Axiom of Union, there is a set $P = \bigcup \{P_A, P_B, P_C\}$ such that $x \in P$ if and only if $x \in S$ for some $S \in P$. That is, $x \in S$ if and only if $x \in P_A$ or $x \in P_B$ or $x \in P_C$ if and only if x = A or x = B or x = C.

(b) Again by the Axiom of Pair, there exists a set $P_D = \{x \mid x = D\} = \{D\}$. Again by the Axiom of Union, there is a set $P = \bigcup \{P_A, P_B, P_C, P_D\}$ such that $x \in P$ if and only if x = A or x = B or x = C or x = D.

Problem 1.3.6. Show that $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X$ is false for any X. In particular, $\mathcal{P}(X) \neq X$ for any X. This proves again that a "set of all sets" does not exist. [Hint: Let $Y = \{u \in X \mid u \notin u\}; Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ but $Y \notin X$.]

Solution. Let X be any set and define $Y = \{u \in X \mid u \notin u\}$. Certainly $Y \subseteq X$ because $x \in Y$ implies $x \in X$. Thus, $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ by the Axiom of Powerset. Suppose $Y \in X$. We either have $Y \in Y$ or $Y \notin Y$. If $Y \in Y$ then we have $Y \notin Y$, a contradiction. On the other hand, if $Y \notin Y$, then it is implied that $Y \in Y$, another contradiction. Thus, our assumption that $Y \in X$ must be false. Therefore, there is an element in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ which does not belong to X so $\mathcal{P}(X) \not\subseteq X$.

Problem 1.3.7. The Axiom of Pair, the Axiom of Union, and the Axiom of Power Set can be replaced by the following weaker versions.

Weak Axiom of Pair. For any A and B, there is a set C such that $A \in C$ and $B \in C$.

Weak Axiom of Union. For any set S, there exists U such that if $X \in A$ and $A \in S$, then $X \in U$.

Weak Axiom of Power Set. For any set S, there exists P such that $X \subseteq S$ implies $X \in P$.

Prove the Axiom of Pair, the Axiom of Union, and the Axiom of Power Set using these weaker versions. [Hint: Use also the Comprehension Schema.]

Solution. By the Weak Axiom of Pair, there exists a set C' such that $A \in C'$ and $B \in C'$. Consider the property $\mathbf{P}(x,A,B)$: "x=A or x=B." By the Comprehension Schema, there exists a set C such that $x \in C$ if and only if $x \in C'$ and $\mathbf{P}(x,A,B)$. That is, for any sets A and B, there exists a set C such that $x \in C$ if and only if x=A or x=B, which is the Axiom of Pair.

By the Weak Axiom of Union, there exists a set U' for all S such that if $X \in A$ and $A \in S$ then $X \in U'$. Consider the property $\mathbf{P}(X,A,S)$: " $\exists A \in S$ such that $X \in A$." By the Comprehension Schema, there exists a set U such that $X \in U$ if and only if $X \in U'$ and $\mathbf{P}(X,A,S)$. That is, for any set S, there exists a set S such that S0 such that S1 such that S2 such that S3 such that S4 such that S5 such that S5 such that S6 such that S7 such that S8 such that S8 such that S9 such tha

By the Axiom of Power Set, there exists a set P' such that $X \in P'$ if and only if $X \subseteq S$. Consider the property $\mathbf{P}(X,S)$: " $X \subseteq S$." By the Comprehension Schema, there exists a set P such that $X \in C$ if and only if $X \in P'$ and $\mathbf{P}(X,S)$. That is, for any set S, there exists a set S such that S0 such that S1 if and only if S2 if and only if S3.

4