

## Math 8803 HW 4

Akash Narayanan

Due April 19, 2024 at 12:30 pm

**Exercise 10.2.1.** Let  $f$  satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 10.11, and suppose that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z_k|} < \infty.$$

- (a) Show that there are numbers  $A$  and  $B$  and a non-negative integer  $K$  such that  $f(z) = z^K e^{A+Bz} g(z)$  where  $g(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/z_k)$ .
- (b) Observe that for any complex number  $w$ ,  $|1 - w| \leq e^{|w|}$  and show that there is a number  $C$  such that  $|g(z)| \leq e^{C|z|}$ .
- (c) Deduce that  $\sum_{\rho} 1/|\rho| = \infty$  where the sum is over all non-trivial zeros of the zeta function.

*Solution.* Recall that the hypotheses of Lemma 10.11 state that  $f$  is an entire function with a zero of order  $K$  at 0, and that  $f(z)$  vanishes at the non-zero numbers  $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots$ . Furthermore, there is a constant  $\theta \in (1, 2)$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq R} |f(z)| \leq \exp(R^\theta)$$

for all sufficiently large  $R$ .

- (a) By Lemma 10.11, there exist numbers  $A, B'$  such that

$$f(z) = z^K e^{A+B'z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_k}\right) e^{z/z_k}.$$

Observe that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{z/z_k} = \exp\left(z \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z_k}\right) = e^{Cz}$$

where  $C = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/z_k$ . The series converges by hypothesis. Letting  $B = B' + C$  and rewriting the above expression for  $f$ , we have

$$f(z) = z^K e^{A+Bz} g(z)$$

as desired.

- (b) Observe that

$$|g(z)| = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|1 - \frac{z}{z_k}\right| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{|z/z_k|} = \exp\left(|z| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z_k|}\right) = e^{C|z|}$$

for some constant  $C$ .

- (c) Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\sum_{\rho} 1/|\rho| < \infty$ . Applying (a), (b), and Theorem 10.12 to  $\xi(s)$ , we find that there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$|\xi(s)| = \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{Bs} \prod_{\rho} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{\rho} \right) e^{s/\rho} \right| \ll e^{C|s|}$$

for all large  $s$ . However, by Stirling's formula,

$$\xi(\sigma) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma \log \sigma + O(\sigma)\right)$$

as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ , contradicting the above bound. We conclude that  $\sum_{\rho} 1/|\rho| = \infty$ .

□

**Exercise 11.1.2.** Suppose that  $\delta$  is fixed,  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Show that

$$\sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p^\sigma - 1} \ll (\log q)^{1-\delta}$$

uniformly for  $\sigma \geq \delta$ .

*Solution.* First observe that for  $\sigma \geq \delta$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p^\sigma - 1} \right| \leq \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p^\delta - 1}.$$

Next note that since we are summing over the distinct prime factors of  $q$ , the sum achieves a local maximum whenever  $q$  is the product of the first  $k$  primes. It follows that if  $n_k \leq q < n_{k+1}$ , where  $n_k$  is the product of the first  $k$  primes, then

$$\sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p^\delta - 1} \leq \sum_{p|n_k} \frac{\log p}{p^{\delta-1}}.$$

Thus, it suffices to consider the case where  $q$  is the product of the first  $k$  primes. In this case, the sum reduces to

$$\sum_{p \leq \log q} \frac{\log p}{p^\delta - 1}.$$

(I'm honestly not sure how to get this upper bound of the summation, but it's the bound that I need to make the rest of the work hold so I'm just going to use it). By Riemann-Stieltjes integration, we may rewrite this sum as

$$\int_1^{\log q} \frac{1}{u^\delta - 1} d\vartheta(u) = \frac{\vartheta(u)}{u^\delta - 1} \Big|_1^{\log q} + \delta \int_1^{\log q} \frac{\vartheta(u) u^{\delta-1}}{(u^\delta - 1)^2} du$$

By Chebyshev's estimate,  $\vartheta(u) \asymp u$ , hence the first term above is  $\ll (\log q)^{1-\delta}$  as desired. For the integral, observe that the integrand is  $\leq \vartheta(u) u^{\delta-3}$ . We bound  $\vartheta(u)$  above by  $\vartheta(\log q)$ , and the second term is then bounded by

$$\delta \vartheta(\log q) \int_1^{\log q} u^{\delta-3} du = \delta \vartheta(\log q) \frac{u^{\delta-2}}{\delta-2} \Big|_1^{\log q} \ll (\log q)^{\delta-1},$$

where the asymptotic again arises from Chebyshev's estimate. This is certainly less than the bound for the first summand, hence we obtain the asymptotic bound  $\square$

**Exercise 11.1.1.** Let  $S(x; q)$  denote the number of integers  $n$ ,  $0 < n \leq x$ , such that  $(n, q) = 1$ , and put  $R(x; q) = S(x; q) - (\varphi(q)/q)x$ .

(a) Show that if  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $x > 0$ , and  $s \neq 1$ , then

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \cdot \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{R(x; q)}{x^s} + s \int_x^\infty R(u; q) u^{-s-1} du.$$

Show that this includes Theorem 1.12 as a special case.

(b) Let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed. Show that if  $\sigma \geq \delta$ , then

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \cdot \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} + \sum_{n \leq x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} + O(d(q)|s|x^{-\sigma}).$$

*Solution.*

(a) We write

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} + \sum_{n > x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s}.$$

By Riemann-Stieltjes integration, we have

$$\sum_{n > x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} = \int_x^\infty u^{-s} dS(u; q) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \int_x^\infty u^{-s} du + \int_x^\infty u^{-s} dR(u; q).$$

Direct computation shows

$$\int_x^\infty u^{-s} du = \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1}$$

while an application of integration by parts yields

$$\int_x^\infty u^{-s} dR(u; q) = [u^{-s} R(u; q)]_x^\infty + s \int_x^\infty R(u; q) u^{-s-1} du = -\frac{R(x; q)}{x^s} + s \int_x^\infty R(u; q) u^{-s-1} du.$$

We conclude that

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \cdot \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{R(x; q)}{x^s} + s \int_x^\infty R(u; q) u^{-s-1} du.$$

In particular, for  $q = 1$ , we have  $S(x; 1) = [x]$  and  $R(x; 1) = [x] - x = -\{x\}$ . We recover

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leq x} n^{-s} + \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} + \frac{\{x\}}{x^s} - s \int_x^\infty \{u\} u^{-s-1} du$$

which is the statement of Theorem 1.12.

(b) Observe that

$$S(x; q) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|(n, q)} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ d|n}} 1 = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) [x/d].$$

Observe that  $[x/d] = x/d - \{x/d\}$ , hence

$$S(x; q) = x \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} + \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \{x/d\} = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x + R(x; q).$$

In particular,

$$|R(x; q)| < \sum_{d|q} 1 = d(q).$$

Applying this bound to the expression in (a), we have

$$\left| \frac{R(x; q)}{x^s} \right| \leq \frac{d(q)}{x^s}$$

and

$$\left| s \int_x^\infty R(u; q) u^{-s-1} du \right| \leq |s| d(q) \int_x^\infty u^{-\sigma-1} du = d(q) |s| x^{-\sigma}.$$

Since this term dominates  $d(q)$ , we conclude that

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \cdot \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} + \sum_{n \leq x} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} + O(d(q) |s| x^{-\sigma}).$$

□

**11.3.7.** Let  $c_1$  be the constant of Theorem 11.16, suppose that  $q \leq \exp(2c_1\sqrt{\log x})$  and that  $\chi$  is a character modulo  $q$ . Show that

$$M(x, \chi) \ll x \exp(-c_1\sqrt{\log x})$$

when  $L(s, \chi)$  has no exceptional zero, and that

$$M(x, \chi) = \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{L'(\beta_1, \chi)\beta_1} + O(x \exp(-c_1\sqrt{\log x}))$$

when  $L(s, \chi)$  has an exceptional zero  $\beta_1$ .

*Solution.* The function  $M(x, \chi)$  is defined by

$$M(x, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \mu(n).$$

By the same analysis as in the case of the Riemann zeta function, we have

$$M(x, \chi) = \frac{1}{L(s, \chi)}.$$

Furthermore, by applying Perron's formula for  $\sigma_0 > 1$ , we have

$$M(x, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - iT}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \frac{1}{L(s, \chi)} \frac{x^s}{s} ds + R$$

where

$$R \ll \sum_{x/2 < n < 2x} \mu(n) \min \left( 1, \frac{x}{T|x-n|} \right) + \frac{(4x)^{\sigma_0}}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{\sigma_0}}.$$

For  $2 \leq T < x$  and  $\sigma_0 = 1 + 1/x$ , the error term is  $\ll \frac{x}{T} (\log x)^2$  via the same analysis as in the proof of Theorem 11.16 and Theorem 6.9 (and in fact can probably be strengthened since  $\mu(n)$  is generally smaller than  $\Lambda(n)$ ). Let  $C$  denote the contour connecting  $\sigma_0 - iT$ ,  $\sigma_0 + iT$ ,  $\sigma_1 + iT$ ,  $\sigma_1 - iT$ , where  $\sigma_1$  is chosen appropriately in the cases below.

Suppose  $L(s, \chi)$  has no exceptional zero. Take  $\sigma_1 = 1 - c/(5 \log qT)$ , where  $c$  is chosen such that  $\{\sigma > 1 - c/\log q\tau\}$  is a zero-free region. Since the region is zero-free, the integrand  $1/L(s, \chi)$  is analytic inside the region bounded by the contour  $C$ . By Cauchy's theorem, the integral vanishes. Bounds for the integral along the sides of the contour apply as in Theorem 6.9 and Theorem 11.16, hence

$$M(x, \chi) \ll x(\log x)^2 \left( \frac{1}{T} + \exp \left( \frac{-c \log x}{5 \log qT} \right) \right)$$

With the value of  $T$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.16, the desired estimate is obtained.

Now suppose  $L(s, \chi)$  has an exceptional zero  $\beta_1$ . First suppose  $\beta_1 \geq 1 - c/(4 \log qT)$ . Taking  $\sigma_1 = 1 - c/(3 \log qT)$ , the integrand has a single pole in the region bounded by  $C$ , namely at  $\beta_1$ . The computation of the residue is given by

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \beta_1} \frac{s - \beta_1}{L(s, \chi)} \frac{x^s}{s} = \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{L'(\beta_1, \chi)\beta_1}$$

hence

$$M(x, \chi) - \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{L'(\beta_1, \chi)\beta_1} \ll x(\log x)^2 \left( \frac{1}{T} + \exp \left( \frac{-c \log x}{5 \log qT} \right) \right).$$

Finally, if  $\beta_1 < 1 - c/(4 \log qT)$ , then we take  $\sigma_1$  as in the first case so that  $\beta_1$  is outside of the contour and we obtain the estimate as in the above case. Since we have in this case that

$$\frac{x^{\beta_1}}{L'(\beta_1, \chi)\beta_1} \ll x(\log x)^2 \left( \frac{1}{T} + \exp \left( \frac{-c \log x}{5 \log qT} \right) \right)$$

we obtain the the same estimate as in the second case.

Finally, setting  $T$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.16, we obtain the desired estimate when there is an exceptional zero.  $\square$