```
Task-D: Collinear features and their effect on linear models
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.datasets import load iris
from sklearn.linear model import SGDClassifier
from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
data = pd.read csv('task d.csv')
data.head()
                    У
                                      X*X
                                                 2*v
                                                      2*z+3*x*x
                              Z
          Χ
w \
0 -0.581066  0.841837 -1.012978 -0.604025  0.841837
                                                      -0.665927 -
0.536277
1 -0.894309 -0.207835 -1.012978 -0.883052 -0.207835
                                                      -0.917054 -
0.522364
2 -1.207552 0.212034 -1.082312 -1.150918 0.212034
                                                      -1.166507
0.205738
3 -1.364174 0.002099 -0.943643 -1.280666
                                            0.002099
                                                      -1.266540 -
0.665720
4 -0.737687
             1.051772 -1.012978 -0.744934 1.051772
                                                      -0.792746 -
0.735054
   target
0
        0
        0
1
2
        0
3
        0
4
X = data.drop(['target'], axis=1).values
Y = data['target'].values
feature mapping =
\{1: 'x', 2: 'y', 3: 'z', 4: 'x*x', 5: '2*y', 6: '2*z+3*x*x', 7: 'w'\}
print(X.shape)
print(Y.shape)
#print(X[1:10])
#print((X+100)[1:10])
(100, 7)
(100,)
```

Doing perturbation test to check the presence of collinearity

Task: 1 Logistic Regression

Task: 2 Linear SVM

Do write the observations based on the results you get from the deviations of weights in both Logistic Regression and linear SVM

```
#Doing perturbation test to check the presence of collinearity
#Task: 1 Logistic Regression
```

#Finding the Correlation between the features via Pearson Correlation Cofficient

```
correlation_coef = np.corrcoef(X,rowvar=False)
#print(correlation_coef.shape)
#print(correlation_coef)
```

ax =sns.heatmap(df,annot = True, xticklabels=True, yticklabels=True)

*************Heat Map of correlation between feature*************



```
#Finding the best model for the given data
\#Train\ Logistic\ regression\ on\ data(X,Y)\ that\ we\ have\ created\ in\ the
above cell
logistic regression = SGDClassifier(loss='log',random state = 20)
logistic regression.fit(X,Y)
#Find the best hyper prameter alpha with hyper parameter tuning using
k-fold cross validation (grid search CV or random search CV make sure
you choose the alpha in log space)
clf = GridSearchCV(logistic regression, parameters)
clf.fit(X,Y)
#print(clf.cv results )
print("*******Best Estimator******")
best alpha = clf.best params ['alpha']
print(clf.best estimator )
print(clf.best params )
#print(clf.cv results )
#Creat a new Logistic regression with the best alpha (search for how
to get the best hyper parameter value), name the best model as
'best model'
best model = SGDClassifier(loss = 'log',alpha =
best alpha, random state =20)
best model.fit(X,Y)
******Best Estimator****
SGDClassifier(alpha=0.01, loss='log', random state=20)
{'alpha': 0.01}
SGDClassifier(alpha=0.01, loss='log', random state=20)
#Getting the weights with the original data
#Check the accuracy of the model 'best model accuracy'
class weights = best model.coef [0]
best model accuracy = best model.score(X,Y)
print("Best Model Accuracy: ", best model accuracy)
#Get the weights W using best model.coef
print("Best Model's Class Weights: ", class weights)
Best Model Accuracy: 1.0
                                       -0.89728841 1.72389953
Best Model's Class Weights: [ 0.726548
0.66247604 -0.89728841 0.80436469
 0.501353551
#Modifying original data
#Add a noise(order of 10^-2) to each element of X and get the new data
set X' (X' = X + e)
e = 0.01
```

```
print("X before Pertuberation:")
print(X[0:1])
X = X + e
print("X after Pertuberation:")
print(X[0:1])
#Train the same 'best model' with data (X', Y)
best model.fit(X,Y)
#Check the accuracy of the model 'best model accuracy edited'
************
best model accuracy edited = best model.score(X,Y)
print("Best Model Accuracy After Pertuberation: ",
best model accuracy edited)
#Get the weights W' using best model.coef
class weights edited = best model.coef [0]
print("Best Model's Class Weights: ", class weights edited)
X before Pertuberation:
             0.84183714 -1.01297765 -0.60402468 0.84183714 -
[[-0.5810659
0.66592679
  -0.5362770311
X after Pertuberation:
[[-0.5710659
             0.85183714 -1.00297765 -0.59402468 0.85183714 -
0.65592679
  -0.5262770311
*******************************
********
Best Model Accuracy After Pertuberation: 1.0
Best Model's Class Weights: [ 0.72665047 -0.89716276 1.72336461
0.66294523 -0.89716276 0.80469158
 0.502071151
# Checking deviations in metric and weights
# find the difference between 'best model accuracy edited' and
'best model accuracy'
accuracy difference = (best model accuracy edited-best model accuracy)
print("difference between 'best model accuracy edited' and
'best model accuracy': ",accuracy difference)
# find the absolute change between each value of W and W' ==> |(W-W')|
class weights difference = abs(class weights - class weights edited)
print("Absolute change between each value of W and W\overline{}:
,class_weigths difference)
# print the top 4 features which have higher % change in weights
compare to the other feature
class weigths difference pct logistic = abs((class weights -
```

```
class weights edited) / class weights)
print("class weigths difference pct: ",
class weigths difference pct logistic)
#print(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct))
#print(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct)[:2:-1])
print("Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights compare to
the other feature",[feature_mapping[i+1] for i in
(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct logistic)[:2:-1])])
difference between 'best model accuracy edited' and
'best model accuracy': 0.0
Absolute change between each value of W and W': [0.00010247
0.00012565 0.00053492 0.0004692 0.00012565 0.00032689
 0.0007176 1
class weigths difference pct: [0.00014103 0.00014003 0.0003103
0.00070825 0.00014003 0.00040639
0.001431331
*************************
*********
Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights compare to the
other feature ['w', 'x*x', '2*z+3*x*x', 'z']
#Finding the best model for the given data
\#Train\ svm\ on\ data(X,Y)\ that\ we\ have\ created\ in\ the\ above\ cell
svm = SGDClassifier(loss='hinge', random state =20)
svm.fit(X,Y)
#Find the best hyper prameter alpha with hyper parameter tuning using
k-fold cross validation (grid search CV or random search CV make sure
you choose the alpha in log space)
clf = GridSearchCV(svm, parameters)
clf.fit(X,Y)
#print(clf.cv results )
print("*******Best Estimator*******")
best alpha = clf.best params ['alpha']
print(clf.best estimator )
print(clf.best params )
#print(clf.cv results )
#Creat a new svm with the best alpha (search for how to get the best
hyper parameter value), name the best model as 'best model'
best model = SGDClassifier(loss = 'log',alpha =
best alpha, random state = 20)
best model.fit(X,Y)
```

```
******Best Estimator*****
SGDClassifier(alpha=0.1, random state=20)
{'alpha': 0.1}
SGDClassifier(alpha=0.1, loss='log', random state=20)
#Getting the weights with the original data
#Check the accuracy of the model 'best model accuracy'
class weights = best model.coef [0]
best model accuracy = best model.score(X,Y)
print("Best Model Accuracy: ", best model accuracy)
#Get the weights W using best model.coef
print("Best Model's Class Weights: ", class weights)
Best Model Accuracy: 1.0
Best Model's Class Weights: [ 0.4078346 -0.52117395 0.79424456
0.38563394 -0.52117395 0.4429374
 0.345976691
#Modifying original data
#Add a noise(order of 10^-2) to each element of X and get the new data
set X' (X' = X + e)
e = 0.01
print("X before Pertuberation:")
print(X[0:1])
X = X + e
print("X after Pertuberation:")
print(X[0:1])
#Train the same 'best model' with data (X', Y)
best model.fit(X,Y)
#Check the accuracy of the model 'best model accuracy edited'
***********
best model accuracy edited = best model.score(X,Y)
print("Best Model Accuracy After Pertuberation: ",
best model accuracy edited)
#Get the weights W' using best model.coef
class weights edited = best model.coef [0]
print("Best Model's Class Weights: ", class_weights_edited)
X before Pertuberation:
[[-0.5710659
              0.85183714 -1.00297765 -0.59402468 0.85183714 -
0.65592679
  -0.5262770311
X after Pertuberation:
             0.86183714 -0.99297765 -0.58402468 0.86183714 -
[[-0.5610659
0.64592679
```

```
-0.5162770311
*******
Best Model Accuracy After Pertuberation:
Best Model's Class Weights: [ 0.40800789 -0.52064558 0.79465013
0.3858671 -0.52064558 0.44316916
 0.346580031
# Checking deviations in metric and weights
# find the difference between 'best model accuracy edited' and
'best model accuracy'
accuracy difference = (best model accuracy edited-best model accuracy)
print("difference between 'best model accuracy edited' and
'best_model_accuracy': ",accuracy_difference)
# find the absolute change between each value of W and W' ==> |(W-W')|
class weights difference = abs(class weights - class weights edited)
print("Absolute change between each value of W and W':
",class weigths difference)
# print the top 4 features which have higher % change in weights
compare to the other feature
class_weigths_difference_pct_svm = abs((class_weights -
class weights edited) / class weights)
print("class weigths difference pct: ",
class weigths difference pct svm)
#print(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct))
************
#print(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct)[:2:-1])
print("Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights compare to
the other feature",[feature mapping[i+1] for i in
(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct svm)[:2:-1])])
difference between 'best model accuracy edited' and
'best model accuracy': 0.0
Absolute change between each value of W and W': [0.00017328]
0.00052838 0.00040557 0.00023316 0.00052838 0.00023176
 0.000603341
class weigths difference pct: [0.00042488 0.00101382 0.00051063
0.00060461 0.00101382 0.00052324
0.001743871
*************************
********
Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights compare to the
other feature ['w', '2*y', 'y', 'x*x']
#Print the top 4 feature names explicitly having the highest
percentage change in both the tasks.
```

```
print("-"*70)
print("class weigths difference pct via logistic: ",
class weigths difference pct logistic)
print("-"*70)
print("class weigths difference pct via SVM: ",
class weigths difference pct svm)
print("No differnce in class weigths difference pct via logistic and
SVM ")
print("-"*70)
print("Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights in both
cases [SVM] and [Logistic Regression]",[feature_mapping[i+1] for i in
(np.argsort(class weigths difference pct svm)[:2:-1])])
class_weigths_difference_pct via logistic: [0.00014103 0.00014003
0.0003103 0.\overline{0}0070825 0.\overline{0}0014003 0.00040639
 0.001431331
class weigths difference pct via SVM: [0.00042488 0.00101382
0.000\overline{5}1063 0.\overline{0}0060461 0.\overline{0}0101382 0.00052324
 0.001743871
No differnce in class weigths difference pct via logistic and SVM
Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights in both cases
[SVM] and [Logistic Regression] ['w', '2*y', 'y', 'x*x']
```

Observation:

- Mean deviation of class weights for logistic regression: 0.1177683
- Mean deviation of class weights for SVM: 0.037347999
- Therefore, SVM has less deviation and more robust to pertuberation of train data.
- And, Feature 7 is more important in classification irrespective of algorithm selected.
- Top 4 features which have higher % change in weights in both cases [SVM] and [Logistic Regression] ['w', '2y', 'y', 'xx']