CS 4240: Compilers and Interpreters Phase 2: Symbol table, Semantics, and IR Generation Due Date: November 9th, 2014

This phase of the project consists of three parts. You will be creating a symbol table, doing several semantic checks, and generating intermediate code for use in the final phase.

Part 1: Symbol Table

The symbol table is a useful structure generated by the compiler. Semantic checking (part 2) will make extensive use of it. The symbol table holds declarative information about variables, constants, user defined types etc. that make up a program. The following are the typical the entries for a symbol table:

- variable names
- defined constants
- defined types
- procedure and function names
- literal constants and strings
- source text labels
- compiler-generated temporaries

You must also the attributes for each of the above entries. Typical attributes include:

- textual name
- data type
- dimension information (for aggregates)
- declaring procedure
- lexical level of declaration (scoping)
- storage class (base address)
- offset in storage
- if record, pointer to structure table
- if parameter, by-reference or by-value?
- can it be aliased? to what other names?
- number and type of arguments to functions

You have some flexibility in deciding these implementation details, and the above lists are by no means requirements. The guiding principle you should use for building your symbol table and the information you keep in it should be that of utility: Store what you will need for the next parts. Your implementation will likely make use of one or more hashtables.

Scoping is a key aspect of symbol tables. By this we mean two things:

- 1. The most closely nested rule (i.e. references always apply to the most closely nested block)
- 2. Declaration before use

Thus, insertion into your symbol table cannot overwrite previous declarations but instead must mask them. Subsequent lookup operations that you run against the symbol (as you do type checking in part 3, for example) should return the most recently inserted variable, definition, etc. This handles rule 1. For rule 2, a lookup operation should should never fail. If it does, it means the symbol table has not yet seen a declaration for the reference you are attempting to check and is a semantic error.

As you consider scoping, you are free to implement your symbol table on a scope by scope basis or a a global symbol table in which declarations are entered upon entering a scope and deleted upon exiting it. You can use chaining for the entities mapped to the same hash location. Follow scoping rules of Tiger.

Here are the suggested steps:

- 1. First carefully read the grammatical and semantic specification of Tiger and decide which values and which of their attributes are going to be held in symbol table.
- 2. Design a symbol table with hash maps, chains, etc.
- 3. Implement the symbol table generation

For the last step, you can do one of the two strategies:

- 1. Figure out how to send the attribute information using ANTLR. Walk the Parse tree generated and insert the information into symbol table and manage it as per scoping mentioned. In other words, use tree walks supported in ANTLR.
- 2. Embed action symbols in the ANTLR grammar and trigger symbol table management as shown on lecture slides.

On a correct parse, you should print out your symbol table, but you are free to decide the exact structure and details (as long as it is correct).

Part 2: Semantic Checking

This phase consists of semantic checks. It leverages both the parse tree and symbol table built in the previous two parts or alternatively leverages action symbols, semantic records on the stack and symbol table. You should first read the semantic specification of Tiger and then implement the checking as follows.

Typically, the first step in semantic checking is binding analysis – to determine which variable name binds to which symbol table entry. This is done using look-up mechanism as per the block structure rule. Depending on the implementation, the Parse tree or the semantic record is then decorated with attributes elicited from the symbol table. Finally, the type checking is done. Some checks might involve making sure the type and number of parameters of a function match actual arguments. There are several cases in Tiger where type checking must occur:

- Agreement between binary operands
- Agreement between function return values and the function's return type
- Agreement between function calls and the function's parameters

Refer to the Tiger reference manual in phase 1 for many rules about the type semantics: the "Operators" section discusses types with respect to binary operators; the "Control Flow" section states that the if,while,and forexpression headers should all evaluate to true or false;the "Types" section dictates that you enforce a "name type equivalence" for your types; etc. The other semantic check that must be made is with respect to return statements: A function with no return type cannot have a return statement in it.

For correct programs, your compiler should pass this part silently and emit nothing. For programs with semantic problems, your compiler should emit an error message stating the problem and relative place in the source. This may require you to add details to your parse tree indicating source location.

Part 3: Intermediate Code

The final part of this phase is to convert the program into intermediate code. For the purposes of this project, we will be using 4-address code (or "quadruple" 3-address), which has the following form:

This reads as, "Do operation op to the values y and z, and assign the new value to x." A simple example of this can be given with the following:

$$2 * a + (b - 3)$$

The IR code for this expression would be the

```
following: sub, b, 3, t1 mult, a, 2, t2 add, t1, t2, t3
```

As we can see, an important characteristic of this representation is the introduction of temporary variables, which the compiler (and therefore you, as the compiler writer) must generate. Notice that this also implies that temporary variables must now be made part of the symbol table, and their type should be derived from the result of the operation. For example, in the above expression, t1, t2, and t3 would all marked as type int. We say that the types for temps "propagate" through the program. You do not yet have to worry about the number of temporary variables you are creating. That is, you may assume infinitely many temporary names are at your disposal.

One subtlety with the intermediate code is handling arrays. Arrays may be multidimensional, so you will have to linearize accesses. Consider the following examples for how we expect you to calculate the offsets:

- A one dimensional array of size 5, accessed by "arr[1]" → offset is 1
- A two dimensional array of size 5x5, accessed by "arr[1][1]" → offset is 6

Lastly, your intermediate code will have one exception to the 4-address structure. For instructions for function calls, you will generate an instruction very similar to that in the source. Function calls with no return values will look like the following:

```
call, func name, param1, param2, ..., paramn
```

And function calls with return values will have a similar structure:

```
callr, x, func_name, param1, param2, ..., paramn
```

For each correct program fed into your compiler, you should emit a complete instruction stream of intermediate code for that program. You do not need to print any intermediate code for programs with errors.

To summarize, here is an instruction reference for your intermediate code:

Assignment: (op, x, y,_)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR
assign	a := b	assign, a, b,

Binary operation: (op, y, z, x)

Ор	Example source	Example IR	
add	a + b	add, a, b, t1	
sub	a - b	sub, a, b, t1	
mult	a * b	mult, a, b, t1	
div	a/b	div, a, b, t1	
and	a & b	and, a, b, t1	
or	a b	or, a, b, t1	

Goto: (op, label, _, _)			
<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR	
goto	break;	goto, after_loop, ,	

Branch: (op, y, z, label)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR	
breq	if(a <> b) then	breq, a, b, after_if_part	
brneq	if(a = b) then	brneq, a, b, after_if_part	
brlt	if(a >= b) then	brlt, a, b, after_if_part	
brgt	if(a <= b) then	brgt, a, b, after_if_part	
brgeq	if(a < b) then	brgeq, a, b, after_if_part	
brleq	if(a > b) then	brleq, a, b, after_if_part	

Return: (op, x, _, _)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR
return	return a;	return, a, ,

Function call (no return value): (op, func_name, param1, param2, ..., paramn)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR
call	foo(x);	call, foo, x

Function call (with return value): (op, x, func_name, param1, param2, ..., paramn)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR
callr	a := foo(x, y, z);	callr, a, foo, x, y, z

Store into array: (op, array_name, offset, x)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR
array_store	arr[0] := a	array_store, arr, 0, a

Load from array: (op, x, array_name, offset)

Ор	Example source	Example IR
array_load	a := arr[0]	array_load, a, arr, 0

Array Assignment: (op, x, size, value)

<u>Op</u>	Example source	Example IR
assign	var X : ArrayInt := 10; /* ArrayInt is an int array of size 100 */	assign, X, 100, 10

Part 4: Turn-in

Recommended

You are free to implement these three parts in four separate steps or whatever way you like. Our suggestion is to construct the symbol table together during the parsing step (i.e. the same parsing step that you did for phase 1). After a successful parse, you can then walk the parse tree and do semantic checking and intermediate code generation together.

<u>Grading</u>

Deliverables for phase 2:

1.	Symbol table code + Symbol Table	(20 points)
2.	Semantic checking code	(35 points)
3.	IR generation code	(35 points)
4.	Report (design internals, how to build, run, etc.)	(10 points)