

Handshaking Lemma and Interesting Tree Properties

What is Handshaking Lemma?

Handshaking lemma is about undirected graph. In every finite undirected graph number of vertices with odd degree is always even. The handshaking lemma is a consequence of the degree sum formula (also sometimes called the handshaking lemma)

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|$$

How is Handshaking Lemma useful in Tree Data structure?

Following are some interesting facts that can be proved using Handshaking lemma.

1) In a k -ary tree where every node has either 0 or k children, following property is always true.

$$L = (k - 1) * I + 1$$

Where L = Number of leaf nodes

I = Number of internal nodes

Proof:

Proof can be divided in two cases.

Case 1 (Root is Leaf): There is only one node in tree. The above formula is true for single node as $L = 1, I = 0$.

Case 2 (Root is Internal Node): For trees with more than 1 nodes, root is always internal node. The above formula can be proved using Handshaking Lemma for this case. A tree is an undirected acyclic graph.

Total number of edges in Tree is number of nodes minus 1, i.e., $|E| = L + I - 1$.

All internal nodes except root in the given type of tree have degree $k + 1$. Root has degree k . All leaves have degree 1. Applying the Handshaking lemma to such trees, we get following relation.

$$\text{Sum of all degrees} = 2 * (\text{Sum of Edges})$$

Sum of degrees of leaves +

Sum of degrees for Internal Node except root +

$$\text{Root's degree} = 2 * (\text{No. of nodes} - 1)$$

Putting values of above terms,

$$L + (I-1)*(k+1) + k = 2 * (L + I - 1)$$

$$L + k*I - k + I - 1 + k = 2*L + 2I - 2$$

$$L + K*I + I - 1 = 2*L + 2*I - 2$$

$$K*I + 1 - I = L$$

$$(K-1)*I + 1 = L$$

So the above property is proved using Handshaking Lemma, let us discuss one more interesting property.

2) In Binary tree, number of leaf nodes is always one more than nodes with two children.

$$L = T + 1$$

Where L = Number of leaf nodes

T = Number of internal nodes with two children

Proof:

Let number of nodes with 2 children be T. Proof can be divided in three cases.

Case 1: There is only one node, the relationship holds

as $T = 0$, $L = 1$.

Case 2: Root has two children, i.e., degree of root is 2.

Sum of degrees of nodes with two children except root +

Sum of degrees of nodes with one child +

$$\text{Sum of degrees of leaves} + \text{Root's degree} = 2 * (\text{No. of Nodes} - 1)$$

Putting values of above terms,

$$(T-1)*3 + S*2 + L + 2 = (S + T + L - 1)*2$$

Cancelling 2S from both sides.

$$(T-1)*3 + L + 2 = (S + L - 1)*2$$

$$T - 1 = L - 2$$

$$T = L - 1$$

Case 3: Root has one child, i.e., degree of root is 1.

Sum of degrees of nodes with two children +

Sum of degrees of nodes with one child except root +

$$\text{Sum of degrees of leaves} + \text{Root's degree} = 2 * (\text{No. of Nodes} - 1)$$

Putting values of above terms,

$$T*3 + (S-1)*2 + L + 1 = (S + T + L - 1)*2$$

Cancelling 2S from both sides.

$$3*T + L - 1 = 2*T + 2*L - 2$$

$$T - 1 = L - 2$$

$$T = L - 1$$

Therefore, in all three cases, we get $T = L - 1$.

We have discussed proof of two important properties of Trees using Handshaking Lemma. Many GATE questions have been asked on these properties, following are few links.

[GATE-CS-2015 \(Set 3\) | Question 35](#)

[GATE-CS-2015 \(Set 2\) | Question 20](#)

[GATE-CS-2005 | Question 36](#)

[GATE-CS-2002 | Question 34](#)

[GATE-CS-2007 | Question 43](#)

Please write comments if you find anything incorrect, or you want to share more information about the topic discussed above

9 Comments Category: [Trees](#)

Related Questions:

- [Construct all possible BSTs for keys 1 to N](#)
- [K'th smallest element in BST using O\(1\) Extra Space](#)
- [Count BST subtrees that lie in given range](#)
- [Count BST nodes that lie in a given range](#)
- [Data Structure for a single resource reservations](#)
- [How to handle duplicates in Binary Search Tree?](#)
- [Advantages of BST over Hash Table](#)
- [Given a binary tree, how do you remove all the half nodes?](#)

Like { 10 } Tweet { 8 }  { 1 }

9 Comments [GeeksforGeeks](#)

 1 [Login](#) ▾

 Recommend 1  Share

Sort by Newest ▾



Join the discussion...

Shivam Anand · 3 days ago

I think the handshaking lemma unnecessarily complicates things. we can prove all of them using Mathematical induction. As an example, consider $L = (k-1) * I + 1$. The base case is when there is a single root. $L=1$ and $I = 0$. Plug it in the eqn, it is satisfied. Induction step is consider the case when we convert a leaf to an internal node. we add k leaves to this node.

$L(\text{new}) = L(\text{old}) + k - 1$. $I(\text{new}) = I(\text{old}) + 1$. Plug it in, This too satisfies. Hence proved. Similarly others can be proved.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

VAIBHAV GUPTA · 22 days ago

I think, explanation is given for full k -ary tree, bcoz a k -ary tree is a rooted tree in which each node has no more than k children and a full k -ary tree is a k -ary tree where within each level every node has either 0 or k children.

source: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...>

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

Avi Munjal · 25 days ago

How about a skewed tree. say root has one child and thats it.

In that case $I=1$ and $L=1$. Please explain where am I going wrong.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

ss · a month ago

$$(T-1)*3 + L + 2 = (S + L - 1)*2$$

$$T - 1 = L - 2$$

$$T = L - 1$$

here it would be $t+1-1$ on rhs

correct i t

@GeeksForGeeks

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

DS+Algo · 2 months ago

Another way to prove:

Let's suppose Initially we have only a root node as well as it would be leaf,

Suppose L =no. of leaf nodes and I =no. of Internal nodes

so initially $I=0$, $L=1$

Now, if we add k childs to that leaf node,

then this node becomes internal node so effectively $(k-1)$ leaves are added and no. of internal nodes is incremented by 1

hence, $I=1$, $L=1 + (k-1)$

Now, if we again add k childs to one of leaf nodes, then that would become internal

so I increments by 1 and L increments by (k-1)

$I=2, L=1 + (k-1) + (k-1)$

·
·
·

$I=n, L=1 + (k-1) I \text{ times}$

so $L=1+I(k-1)$

For binary tree, just substitute $k=2$

so $L=1+I$

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

Wise Owl → DS+Algo · a month ago

relation $L=1+I(k-1)$ is derived for tree which has k or no children. if u put $k==2$ than it implies tree has 2 or 0 children. But binary tree can have 0,1 or 2 children. So u cannot prove it by substituting $k==2$.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

DS+Algo → Wise Owl · a month ago

For binary tree $L=T+1$ where T is the no. Of nodes having two children. Nodes with 1 child do not affect no. Of leaves.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

This comment was deleted.

sahin → Guest · 2 months ago

kya flaw hai is proof mein?

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

DS+Algo → Guest · 2 months ago

Haha! Bhai maaf kar de.. abke kuch comment hi nhi krna bc

^ | v · Reply · Share ›