

# Handshaking Lemma and Interesting Tree Properties

## What is Handshaking Lemma?

**Handshaking lemma** is about undirected graph. In every finite undirected graph number of vertices with odd degree is always even. The handshaking lemma is a consequence of the degree sum formula (also sometimes called the handshaking lemma)

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|$$

## How is Handshaking Lemma useful in Tree Data structure?

Following are some interesting facts that can be proved using Handshaking lemma.

**1) In a  $k$ -ary tree where every node has either 0 or  $k$  children, following property is always true.**

$$L = (k - 1) * I + 1$$

Where  $L$  = Number of leaf nodes

$I$  = Number of internal nodes

## Proof:

Proof can be divided in two cases.

**Case 1** (Root is Leaf): There is only one node in tree. The above formula is true for single node as  $L = 1, I = 0$ .

**Case 2** (Root is Internal Node): For trees with more than 1 nodes, root is always internal node. The above formula can be proved using Handshaking Lemma for this case. A tree is an undirected acyclic graph.

Total number of edges in Tree is number of nodes minus 1, i.e.,  $|E| = L + I - 1$ .

All internal nodes except root in the given type of tree have degree  $k + 1$ . Root has degree  $k$ . All leaves have degree 1. Applying the Handshaking lemma to such trees, we get following relation.

$$\text{Sum of all degrees} = 2 * (\text{Sum of Edges})$$

Sum of degrees of leaves +

Sum of degrees for Internal Node except root +

$$\text{Root's degree} = 2 * (\text{No. of nodes} - 1)$$

Putting values of above terms,

$$L + (I-1)*(k+1) + k = 2 * (L + I - 1)$$

$$L + k*I - k + I - 1 + k = 2*L + 2I - 2$$

$$L + K*I + I - 1 = 2*L + 2*I - 2$$

$$K*I + 1 - I = L$$

$$(K-1)*I + 1 = L$$

So the above property is proved using Handshaking Lemma, let us discuss one more interesting property.

## 2) In Binary tree, number of leaf nodes is always one more than nodes with two children.

$$L = T + 1$$

Where L = Number of leaf nodes

T = Number of internal nodes with two children

### Proof:

Let number of nodes with 2 children be T. Proof can be divided in three cases.

**Case 1:** There is only one node, the relationship holds as  $T = 0$ ,  $L = 1$ .

**Case 2:** Root has two children, i.e., degree of root is 2.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Sum of degrees of nodes with two children except root} + \\ &\text{Sum of degrees of nodes with one child} + \\ &\text{Sum of degrees of leaves} + \text{Root's degree} = 2 * (\text{No. of Nodes} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Putting values of above terms,

$$(T-1)*3 + S*2 + L + 2 = (S + T + L - 1)*2$$

Cancelling 2S from both sides.

$$(T-1)*3 + L + 2 = (S + L - 1)*2$$

$$T - 1 = L - 2$$

$$T = L - 1$$

**Case 3:** Root has one child, i.e., degree of root is 1.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Sum of degrees of nodes with two children} + \\ &\text{Sum of degrees of nodes with one child except root} + \\ &\text{Sum of degrees of leaves} + \text{Root's degree} = 2 * (\text{No. of Nodes} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Putting values of above terms,

$$T*3 + (S-1)*2 + L + 1 = (S + T + L - 1)*2$$

Cancelling 2S from both sides.

$$3*T + L - 1 = 2*T + 2*L - 2$$

$$T - 1 = L - 2$$

$$T = L - 1$$

Therefore, in all three cases, we get  $T = L - 1$ .

We have discussed proof of two important properties of Trees using Handshaking Lemma. Many GATE questions have been asked on these properties, following are few links.

[GATE-CS-2015 \(Set 3\) | Question 35](#)

[GATE-CS-2015 \(Set 2\) | Question 20](#)

[GATE-CS-2005 | Question 36](#)

[GATE-CS-2002 | Question 34](#)

[GATE-CS-2007 | Question 43](#)

Please write comments if you find anything incorrect, or you want to share more information about the topic discussed above

9 Comments Category: [Trees](#)

## Related Questions:

- [Construct all possible BSTs for keys 1 to N](#)
- [K'th smallest element in BST using O\(1\) Extra Space](#)
- [Count BST subtrees that lie in given range](#)
- [Count BST nodes that lie in a given range](#)
- [Data Structure for a single resource reservations](#)
- [How to handle duplicates in Binary Search Tree?](#)
- [Advantages of BST over Hash Table](#)
- [Given a binary tree, how do you remove all the half nodes?](#)

Like { 10 } Tweet { 8 }  { 1 }

9 Comments [GeeksforGeeks](#)

 Login ▾

 Recommend 1  Share

Sort by Newest ▾



Join the discussion...

**Shivam Anand** · 3 days ago

I think the handshaking lemma unnecessarily complicates things. we can prove all of them using Mathematical induction. As an example, consider  $L = (k-1) * I + 1$ . The base case is when there is a single root.  $L=1$  and  $I = 0$ . Plug it in the eqn, it is satisfied. Induction step is consider the case when we convert a leaf to an internal node. we add  $k$  leaves to this node.

$L(\text{new}) = L(\text{old}) + k - 1$ .  $I(\text{new}) = I(\text{old}) + 1$ . Plug it in, This too satisfies. Hence proved. Similarly others can be proved.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**VAIBHAV GUPTA** · 22 days ago

I think, explanation is given for full  $k$ -ary tree, bcoz a  $k$ -ary tree is a rooted tree in which each node has no more than  $k$  children and a full  $k$ -ary tree is a  $k$ -ary tree where within each level every node has either 0 or  $k$  children.

source: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...>

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**Avi Munjal** · 25 days ago

How about a skewed tree. say root has one child and thats it.

In that case  $I=1$  and  $L=1$ . Please explain where am I going wrong.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**ss** · a month ago

$$(T-1)*3 + L + 2 = (S + L - 1)*2$$

$$T - 1 = L - 2$$

$$T = L - 1$$

here it would be  $t+1-1$  on rhs

correct i t

@GeeksForGeeks

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**DS+Algo** · 2 months ago

Another way to prove:

Let's suppose Initially we have only a root node as well as it would be leaf,

Suppose  $L$ =no. of leaf nodes and  $I$ =no. of Internal nodes

so initially  $I=0$ ,  $L=1$

Now, if we add  $k$  childs to that leaf node,

then this node becomes internal node so effectively  $(k-1)$  leaves are added and no. of internal nodes is incremented by 1

hence,  $I=1$ ,  $L=1 + (k-1)$

Now, if we again add  $k$  childs to one of leaf nodes, then that would become internal

so I increments by 1 and L increments by (k-1)

$I=2, L=1 + (k-1) + (k-1)$

·  
·  
·

$I=n, L=1 + (k-1) I \text{ times}$

so  $L=1+I(k-1)$

For binary tree, just substitute  $k=2$

so  $L=1+I$

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**Wise Owl** → DS+Algo · a month ago

relation  $L=1+I(k-1)$  is derived for tree which has k or no children. if u put  $k==2$  than it implies tree has 2 or 0 children. But binary tree can have 0,1 or 2 children. So u cannot prove it by substituting  $k==2$ .

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**DS+Algo** → Wise Owl · a month ago

For binary tree  $L=T+1$  where T is the no. Of nodes having two children. Nodes with 1 child do not affect no. Of leaves.

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

This comment was deleted.

**sahin** → Guest · 2 months ago

kya flaw hai is proof mein?

^ | v · Reply · Share ›

**DS+Algo** → Guest · 2 months ago

Haha! Bhai maaf kar de.. abke kuch comment hi nhi krna bc

^ | v · Reply · Share ›