CO545 Spring Term 2013–14 Assessment 2

Please make sure that you try to answer each of these questions: it's worth trying the next even if you can't manage the particular question that you are currently attempting.

These questions all relate to the expressions case study covered in lecture 10, and given in the Erlang module expr.erl.

Subtraction

At the moment the expressions covered include the operations of adding (+) and multiplying (*) two expressions. Add to the expression type the operation of subtraction (-) of one expression from another. This will require you to change some of the type definitions, and all of the function definitions that relate to expressions.

The file needs to be modified as follows.

```
• Add an extra clause to the expr() type.
                                                                        2 marks
               | {'sub',expr(),expr()}.

    Add an extra clause to the print function.

                                                                        1 mark
print({sub,E1,E2}) ->
     "("++ print(E1) ++ "-" ++ print(E2) ++")".

    Modify the final case statement in the parse function.

                                                                        1 mark
       {case Op of
         $+ -> {add, E1, E2};
         $* -> {mul, E1, E2};
         $- -> {sub, E1, E2}
         end,
        RestFinal}

    Add an extra clause to the eval function.

                                                                        1 mark
eval(Env, {sub, E1, E2}) ->
     eval(Env,E1) - eval(Env,E2).
• Add an extra clause to the instr() type.
                                                                        1 mark
                 | {'sub2'}.

    Add an extra clause to the compile function.

                                                                        1 mark
compile({sub,E1,E2}) ->
     compile(E1) ++ compile(E2) ++ [{sub2}].

    Add an extra clause to the run function.

                                                                        2 marks
run([{sub2} | Continue], Env ,[N1,N2|Stack]) ->
     run(Continue, Env, [(N2-N1) | Stack]);
One mark deducted if you missed that you have to swap the values, because N2 was put on the
stack first, as a result of running the code compile(E1) then N1 comes from running the code
compile(E2).

    Add an extra clause to the simplify function.

                                                                        1 mark
simplify({sub,E1,{num,0}}) ->
     simplify(E1);
```

Simplification

The simplify function could do better, as currently it doesn't re-examine the top level of an expression once its sub-expressions have themselves been rewritten. That's illustrated in the Erlang shell interaction shown here:

```
1> {Expr,_}=expr:parse("((atom*0)+foo)").
{{add, {mul, {var, atom}, {num, 0}}, {var, foo}}, []}
2> expr:print(expr:simplify(Expr)).
"(0+foo)"
```

Modify the definition of simplify so that it gives the simplest possible version of an expression. Your definition should also include appropriate simplifications for subtraction, too.

The crucial thing to realise here is that after simplifying the two sub expressions of an addition, say, this simplification may have led to a new simplification at the top level. You can deal with this in a variety of ways:

- Call the top-level simplification if either of the expressions has led in the case of add to a zero. In fact what you do there is simply return the other sub-expression. This is a little unsatisfactory as it effectively duplicates the +0 simplification.
- Call the top-level simplification if either of the sub expressions has been changed by simplification: that's nice as it doesn't duplicate the work.
- Have some sort of count on the number of times that simplify gets called, e.g. no more than size(expr) calls. A bit inelegant, but it does the trick.

Here's the add case for the second approach:

```
simplify({add,E1,E2}) ->
    S1 = simplify(E1),
    S2 = simplify(E2),
    case (S1 =/= E1) or (S2 =/= E2) of
    true -> simplify({add,S1,S2});
    _ -> {add,S1,S2}
    end;
```

What some submitted solutions have said is (effectively) this

```
simplify({add,E1,E2}) ->
    S1 = simplify(E1),
    S2 = simplify(E2),
    simplify({add,S1,S2});
```

the problem here is that in the case that simplify(E1) equals E1 and simplify(E2) equals E2 then this will loop forever.

Another strategy seen in the solutions is to apply simplify twice - the problem is that in some examples it has to be applied more than that ... can you think of an example?

5 marks

When we use eval, run or execute we require that the environment has values for all the variables in the given expression. Define a function env_check with the following type spec that performs this check.

```
-spec env check(env(),expr()) -> boolean().
```

You may want to think about how to break this problem down into smaller problems ("wouldn't it be nice if ...") and also to see what the lists module has to offer by way of help.

```
env check(Env,Expr) ->
    sublist(vars(Expr), firsts(Env)).
% Extract the list of variables occurring in an expr().
-spec vars(expr()) -> [atom()].
vars({num,_N}) ->
    [];
vars({var,A}) ->
    [A];
vars({add,E1,E2}) ->
    vars(E1) ++(E2);
vars({mul, E1, E2}) ->
    vars(E1) ++(E2).
% Is the first list a sublist of the second: i.e. do all
% elements of the first belong to the second?
-spec sublist([T],[T]) -> boolean().
sublist([],_Ys) ->
     true:
sublist([X|Xs],Ys) ->
    lists:member(X,Ys) andalso sublist(Xs,Ys).
% Get all the first elements of a list of pairs.
-spec firsts([{S,_T}]) -> [S].
firsts(Ps) ->
    lists:map(fun({X, }) -> X end,Ps)
```

5 marks

Simon Thompson 25 February 2014 revised 4 March 2014