

MA 3140: Statistical Inference

Dr. Sameen Naqvi
Department of Mathematics, IIT Hyderabad
Email id: sameen@math.iith.ac.in

UMP Unbiased tests

Unbiasedness for Hypothesis Testing

- Note that in the class Φ_α of all size α tests, i.e.,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_\phi^*(\theta) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_\theta \phi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha,$$

there does not exist UMP test for many hypotheses.

- A size α test ϕ is said to be unbiased if the power function β_ϕ^* satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\phi^* &\leq \alpha, & \text{for } \theta \in \Theta_0 \\ \text{and } \beta_\phi^* &\geq \alpha, & \text{for } \theta \in \Theta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- Thus, an unbiased test rejects a false H_0 more often than a true H_0 .

Unbiasedness for Hypothesis Testing

- ▶ Let U_α be the class of all unbiased size- α tests of H_0 . If there exists a test $\phi \in U_\alpha$ that has maximum power at each $\theta \in \Theta_1$, it is referred to as UMP-unbiased size α test.
- ▶ Clearly, $U_\alpha \subset \Phi_\alpha$.

If a UMP test exists in Φ_α , it is UMP in U_α .

This follows on comparing the power of the UMP test with that of the trivial test $\phi(x) \equiv \alpha$.

Theorem 1

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a random vector with pdf

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = c(\theta)e^{\theta^T(\mathbf{x})}h(\mathbf{x}), \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Then for testing

$$H_3 : \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2 \quad \text{vs} \quad K_3 : \theta < \theta_1 \text{ or } \theta > \theta_2$$

there exists a UMP unbiased test given by

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T(\mathbf{x}) < c_1 \text{ or } T(\mathbf{x}) > c_2 \\ \gamma_i, & \text{if } T(\mathbf{x}) = c_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 < T(\mathbf{x}) < c_2 \quad (c_1 < c_2). \end{cases}$$

where $c_1, c_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ are determined by

$$E_{\theta_1}\phi(\mathbf{X}) = E_{\theta_2}\phi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha.$$

Theorem 2

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a random vector with pdf

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = c(\theta)e^{\theta^T(\mathbf{x})}h(\mathbf{x}), \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Then for testing

$$H_4 : \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{vs} \quad K_4 : \theta \neq \theta_0$$

there exists a UMP unbiased test given by

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T(\mathbf{x}) < c_1 \text{ or } T(\mathbf{x}) > c_2 \\ \gamma_i, & \text{if } T(\mathbf{x}) = c_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 < T(\mathbf{x}) < c_2 \quad (c_1 < c_2). \end{cases}$$

where c_i 's and γ_i 's are determined by

$$E_{\theta_0}\phi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha; \quad E_{\theta_0}(T(\mathbf{X})\phi(\mathbf{X})) = \alpha E_{\theta_0}T(\mathbf{X}).$$

Example 1

Let $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$. We want to test

$$H_4 : p = p_0 \quad \text{vs} \quad K_4 : p \neq p_0.$$

Solution: The pmf

$$f(x, p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} = \binom{n}{x} (1-p)^n e^{x \log \frac{p}{1-p}}$$

belongs to the exponential family with $T(x) = x$.

The test is

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x < c_1 \text{ or } x > c_2 \\ \gamma_i, & \text{if } x = c_i, \ i = 1, 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 < x < c_2. \end{cases}$$

Example 1 contd.

The constants c_i 's and γ_i 's are determined by

$$E_{p_0}\phi(X) = \alpha; \quad (2)$$

$$E_{p_0}\{X\phi(X)\} = \alpha E_{p_0}(X). \quad (3)$$

Condition (2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{p_0}(X < c_1 \text{ or } X > c_2) + \gamma_1 P_{p_0}(X = c_1) + \gamma_2 P_{p_0}(X = c_2) &= \alpha \\ \iff P(c_1 < X < c_2) + (1 - \gamma_1)P(X = c_1) \\ &\quad + (1 - \gamma_2)P(X = c_2) = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iff \sum_{x=c_1+1}^{c_2-1} \binom{n}{x} p_0^x (1-p_0)^{n-x} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - \gamma_i) \binom{n}{c_i} p_0^{c_i} (1-p_0)^{n-c_i} = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

The LHS can be determined from the tables of Binomial distribution

Example 1 contd.

Condition (3) can be written as

$$E_{p_0} X(1 - \phi(X)) = (1 - \alpha)E_{p_0} X = (1 - \alpha)np_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{x=c_1+1}^{c_2-1} x \binom{n}{x} p_0^x (1-p_0)^{n-x} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1-\gamma_i) c_i \binom{n}{c_i} p_0^{c_i} (1-p_0)^{n-c_i} = (1-\alpha)np_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{x=c_1+1}^{c_2-1} \binom{n-1}{x-1} p_0^{x-1} (1-p_0)^{n-1-(x-1)} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1-\gamma_i) \binom{n-1}{c_i-1} p_0^{c_i-1} (1-p_0)^{n-1-(c_i-1)} = 1-\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Example 1 contd.

on using

$$x \binom{n}{x} p_0^x (1 - p_0)^{n-x} = n p_0 \binom{n-1}{x-1} p_0^{x-1} (1 - p_0)^{n-1-(x-1)}.$$

The LHS can be determined from the tables of Binomial distribution.

- Consider $n = 10, p_0 = 1/2$. Then the first condition is:

$$\sum_{x=c_1+1}^{c_2-1} \binom{10}{x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{10} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - \gamma_i) \binom{10}{c_i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{10} = 0.9$$

$$\sum_{x=c_1+1}^{c_2-1} \binom{10}{x} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - \gamma_i) \binom{10}{c_i} = 2^{10} \times 0.9,$$

Example 1 contd.

- and the second condition is:

$$\sum_{x=c_1+1}^{c_2-1} \binom{9}{x-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^9 + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1-\gamma_i) \binom{9}{c_i-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^9 = 0.9$$

$$\sum_{x=c_1}^{c_2-2} \binom{9}{y} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (1-\gamma_i) \binom{9}{c_i-1} = 2^9 \times 0.9$$

These conditions can now be solved using binomial coefficients to get values of c_1 , c_2 , γ_1 and γ_2 .

Example 2

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. Find UMP unbiased test for

$$H_4 : \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 \quad \text{vs} \quad K_4 : \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2.$$

Solution: The pmf

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) = \frac{1}{(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})^n} \exp^{-\sum x_i^2 / 2\sigma^2}$$

belongs to the exponential family with $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum x_i^2$.

The UMP unbiased test is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sum x_i^2 < c_1 \text{ or } \sum x_i^2 > c_2 \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 \leq \sum x_i^2 \leq c_2. \end{cases}$$

Here, γ_i 's are 0 as X_i 's are constant. Also, recall that $\sum X_i^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_n^2$.

Example 2 contd.

Thus, the test becomes

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sigma_0^2} < c_1 \text{ or } \frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sigma_0^2} > c_2 \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 \leq \frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sigma_0^2} \leq c_2. \end{cases}$$

where c_i 's are determined by

$$E_{\sigma_0} \phi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha; \quad (4)$$

$$E_{\sigma_0} \frac{T(\mathbf{X})}{\sigma_0^2} \phi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha E_{\sigma_0} \frac{T(\mathbf{X})}{\sigma_0^2}. \quad (5)$$

Here,

$$E_{\sigma_0}(1 - \phi(\mathbf{X})) = 1 - \alpha \implies P(c_1 \leq W \leq c_2) = 1 - \alpha, \quad W \sim \chi_n^2.$$

Example 2 contd.

Also,

$$E_{\sigma_0} \frac{T(\mathbf{X})}{\sigma_0^2} (1 - \phi(\mathbf{X})) = (1 - \alpha) E_{\sigma_0} \frac{T(\mathbf{X})}{\sigma_0^2}$$

$$\iff E_{\sigma_0} W (1 - \phi(\mathbf{X})) = (1 - \alpha) E_{\sigma_0} W$$

$$\iff \int_{c_1}^{c_2} w g_n(w) dw = (1 - \alpha) n$$

$$\iff \int_{c_1}^{c_2} g_{n+2}(w) dw = 1 - \alpha,$$

since $w g_n = w \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \Gamma_{n/2}} \exp^{-w/2} w^{n/2-1} = n g_{n+2}$.

Example 2 contd.

So, the 2 conditions are

$$\int_{c_1}^{c_2} g_n(w)dw = 1 - \alpha \text{ and } \int_{c_1}^{c_2} g_{n+2}(w)dw = 1 - \alpha.$$

Integrating by parts in the second condition and use the first condition, we get

$$c_1^{n/2} e^{-c_1/2} = c_2^{n/2} e^{-c_2/2}.$$

These values of c_1 and c_2 are tabulated by Pachares (1961, AMS).

If n is large and σ_0 is not close to 0 or ∞ , we can take an approximate test as

$$c_1 = \chi_{n,1-\alpha/2}^2 \quad c_2 = \chi_{n,\alpha/2}^2.$$

Thanks for your patience!