

Marking Rubric – Assignment 1

| Section | Failed (0-49) | Third Class (50-59) | Second Class Lower (60-69) | Second Class Upper (70-79) | First Class (80-100) | Mark |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Section 1: Software Development Methodology (35%) [CLO3] | No discussion or totally wrong description of software development methodologies for the software. | Part of software development methodology description is incorrect or software development methodology are described with very brief justification. | The software development methodology is described with a few areas of justifications are unclear or incorrect. | The description of the software development methodology for the software are correct with 1-2 areas of justifications are unclear or incorrect. | The description of the software development methodology for the software are correct and the justifications are detailed and clear. | Raw mark /100 Section mark /35 |
| Section 2: Design Review (35%) [CLO3] | No review of the scope and design aspects of the software or all review areas are incorrect. | The review of the scope and design aspects of the software are largely irrelevant with very limited evidence and explanations. | The review of the scope and design aspects of the software are given but a few areas of scopes or design metrics are unclear or incorrect. | The review of the scope and design aspects of the software are given with 1-2 areas of explanations are unclear or incorrect. | The review of the scope and design aspects of the software are given and the explanations are detailed and clear with full evidence. | Raw mark /100 Section mark /35 |
| Section 3: Software Sustainability & Improvement (30%) [CLO2] | No discussion on software sustainability & improvement or all discussion are incorrect. | Only part of software's sustainability & improvement is discussed, or software's sustainability & improvement are discussed but irrelevant with very limited evidence and explanations. | The software's sustainability & improvement area of the software are discussed but a few areas are unclear or incorrect, wrongly justified. | The software's sustainability & improvement area of the software are discussed with 1-2 areas are unclear or incorrect, wrongly justified. | The software's sustainability & improvement area of the software are discussed and the explanations are detailed and clear with full evidence. | Raw mark /100 Section mark /30 |
| Total Score: | | | | | | /100 |