

ASSIGNMENT COVER PAGE



Programme		Course Code and Title		
UCSEW		CSE3033/N Software Engineering		
Student's name / student's id		Lecturer's name		
CHAN SEOW FEN / 0207368		TEE ENG HONG		
Date issued	Submission Deadline		Indicative Weighting	
4/3/2024	1/4/2024		30%	
Assignment [2] title	Pre-implementation Software Engineering Activities			

This assessment assesses the following course learning outcomes

# as in Course Guide	UOWM KDU Penang University College Learning Outcome
CLO3	Apply concepts derived from current theories of advanced software engineering.

# as in Course Guide	University of Lincoln Learning Outcome		
LO1	Synthesise concepts derived from current theories of advanced software engineering		
LO3	Utilise and evaluate advanced software engineering techniques and processes in the development of a software artefact		

Student's declaration

I certify that the work submitted for this assignment is my own and research sources are fully acknowledged.

Student's signature:

Than

Submission Date: 1/4/2024

Assignment 2

ORIGINALITY REPORT

%
SIMILARITY INDEX

0%
INTERNET SOURCES

0%
PUBLICATIONS

%
STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Submitted to University of Melbourne
Student Paper

1 %

Submitted to University of Derby
Student Paper

<1%

docplayer.net
Internet Source

<1%

4 mafiadoc.com
Internet Source

<1%

romisatriawahono.net

Internet Source

<1%

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Table of Contents

1.0 Section 1	2
1.1 Introduction to the Web Application	2
1.2 Product Backlog and User Stories	4
1.3 Detailed User Stories with Acceptance Criteria	7
2.0 Section 2	20
2.1 UML Design	20
2.1.1 Class Diagram	20
2.1.2 Use Case Diagram	21
2.1.3 Activity Diagram	22
2.2 Screen Design	26
2.2.1 Faulty Facility Report	26
2.2.2 Work Confirmation	30
2.2.3 Comment	33
3.0 Section 3	38
3.1 Test Scenario, Test Plan and Test Data	38
3.1.1 Blackbox Testing	
3.1.2 Brief Description	

1.0 Section 1

1.1 Introduction to the Web Application

The online campus facility report system is a new web-based application system that designed to support all faculty members as well as students in making report on faulty facilities in the campus. The system goal is to achieve on creating campus environment that is safer and efficient where the facilities is in good condition by providing the report management. To illustrate that, the features of the system included login as different roles, logout, faulty facility report with adding photo and audio description as attachment to the report, work confirmation for confirming the maintenance is started for the submitted report, job status for informing the progress on the confirmed work, acknowledgement on submitted reports, comment on work confirmation, summary report for maintenance completion, and fault severeness for indicating the priority of the maintenance. Moreover, the roles supported in the system included administrator, faculty member and student. Following that, the development methodology chosen for developing the online campus facility report system based on the requirements is Agile development methodology, Scrum as specific. The reason of choosing Scrum methodology is due to its excellent capability in handling complex system. To elaborate that, the complexity of online campus facility report system is raised due to its requirements consists of multiple features and multiple roles. Moreover, another reason of using Scrum methodology for the system development is due to its iterative approach, sprints, which make it possible for adaptation and continuous improvement as the feedback from the stakeholders is considered for the subsequent sprints. In addition, another reason of selecting Scrum methodology is due to its fast development. To elaborate that, it allows this new system to deliver quickly and efficiently for user testing. Finally, Scrum methodology is selected because it is able to divide this large project into smaller sprints. Hence, making the project more manageable. Table 1.1 shows the summary of the introduction to the online campus facility report system.

System:	Online Campus Facility Report System				
System Type:	Web-Based Application				
System Goal:	Create safer campus with efficient facility that is in good condition by				
	providing faulty facility report system.				
Features	Login				
	Logout				
	Faulty Facility Report				
	Work Confirmation				
	Job Status				
	Acknowledgment				
	Comment				
	Summary Report				
	Fault Severeness				
User Roles:	Administrator				
	Faculty Member				
	Student				
Software	Scrum (Agile Software Development)				
Development	Reason:				
Methodology:	Excellent in handling complex system.				
	 Iterative approach (sprints) for adaptation and continuous improvement. 				
	Fast deliverables on the product.				
	Dividing large project into smaller manageable pieces.				

Table 1.1 Summary Table of Online Campus Facility Report System

Moreover, due to the fact that some of the roles share the same features, hence the roles will be generalise as shown in *Figure 1.1*.




Figure 1.1 Roles Generalisation

Table 1.2 shows the more detailed scopes of the software.

	Features	Description			
1.	Login	 User should be able to login to their account and access the system with their respective role privileges. 			
2.	Logout	 User should be able to logout their account. 			
3.	Faulty Facility Report	 Fault Reporter should be able to submit report on faulty facility with photo and audio description as attachment. Administrator should be able to view the submitted report for reviewing. 			
4.	Work Confirmation	 Administrator should be able to send work confirmation to inform about the work details that will be constructed during the maintenance on the report. For example, maintenance start date and time, expected end date and time, and the work listing. Fault Reporter should be able to receive work confirmation to be informed about the work is confirmed on their submitted report along with the work details will be constructed during the maintenance. For example, maintenance start date and time, expected end date and time, and the work listing. 			
5.	Job Status	 Administrator should be able to update the job status to inform the work progress on the ongoing maintenance on the report. Fault Reporter should be able to view job status to be informed about the work progress on the ongoing maintenance. 			

6.	Acknowledgement	 Administrator should be able to send acknowledgement to inform the faulty facility report is reviewed and the attitude towards the report such as the maintenance is rejected due to false information, or it is accepted and will notify once the work is confirmed. Fault Reporter should be able to receive acknowledgement to be informed on the submitted report have been reviewed and the attitude towards the report such as the maintenance is rejected due to false information, or it is accepted and will notify once the work is confirmed.
7.	Comment	 Faculty Member should be able to add comment and reply comment on the work confirmation, as having more responsibility to communicate on the maintenance and work arrangement. Administrator should be able to reply comment on the work confirmation to address concern of the maintenance and work arrangement.
8.	Summary Report	 Administrator should be able to send summary report to inform on completed maintenance. Fault Reporter should be able to view the summary report and get informed on completed maintenance.
9.	Fault Severeness	 Fault Reporter should be able to submit report as severe fault to indicate the maintenance priority. Administrator should be able to view on severe fault report to prioritise the maintenance arrangement.

Table 1.2 Online Campus Facility Report System Software Scopes

1.2 Product Backlog and User Stories

For clarification, the first sprint, Sprint 1 has finished on developing Feature 1 and Feature 2, which is Login and Logout. Hence, the updated product backlog after Sprint 1, consisting overall features with short summary of user stories are shown in *Table 1.1*. Due to the reason that this is a new system instead of updating an existing system, and only 1 sprint is done with no improvement required, hence there will not be having the column 'Type' as the value held will be repeated as 'Requirement', resulting in wastage on the table space. Moreover, the priority of the features is indicated as a number is due to the purpose of referring it as the development order number. The reason is that it is nearly impossible for features to be develop exactly the same time even though that have similar priority. In addition, the reason for consolidating the features for Administrator side and for Faculty Member side is that only 3 features will be selected on Sprint 2, hence, to show the functionality for all three roles, those features from both sides are considered as one feature but with different user stories.

	Features	User Stories	ID	Priority	Status	Estimate
1.	Login	As a User, I want to authenticate myself and login, so that I can access the system with my respective role privileges.	1	1	Done	3
2.	Logout	As a User, I want to logout, so that I can terminate my session securely.	2	2	Done	1
3.	Faulty Facility Report	As a Fault Reporter, I want to report faulty facility with photo and audio description	3	3	Not Started	11

	T		1	1	1	
		attachment, so that I can inform the fault				
		clearer.				
		As an Administrator, I want to view all the	4	4	Not	9
		faulty facility report made, so that I can			Started	
		review the report and decide on action.				
4.	Work	As an Administrator, I want to send work	5	5	Not	9
	Confirmation	confirmation with the details of works will be			Started	
		constructed, so that I can inform about the				
		maintenance work is arranged for the				
		report.				
		As a Fault Reporter, I want to view the	6	6	Not	7
		confirmed work on submitted report with the			Started	
		details of works will be constructed, so that				
		I knows that my report will be started to				
		work on with detailed information.		_		
5.	Job Status	As an Administrator, I want to update the	7	9	Not	5
		job status, so that I can inform the			Started	
		maintenance progress.	_	4.0		_
		As a Fault Reporter, I want to view the job	8	10	Not	5
		status, so that I can know the maintenance			Started	
	A also assida dasa	progress.	_	4.4	NI-4	4
6.	Acknowledge	As an Administrator, I want to send the	9	11	Not	4
	-ment	acknowledgement, so that I can inform the			Started	
		report is reviewed along with the attitude				
		towards the report with reason. As a Fault Reporter, I want to view the	10	12	Not	3
		acknowledgement of my submitted report,	10	12	Started	3
		so that I can know if my maintenance report			Started	
		is approved or rejected with reason.				
7.	Comment	As a Faculty Member, I want to add	11	7	Not	10
' -	Comment	comment and reply comment on the work		,	Started	10
		confirmation, so that I can be more			Otarioa	
		responsible on provide feedback and				
		communicate about the maintenance and				
		work arrangement.				
		As an Administrator, I want to reply	12	8	Not	6
		comment on the work confirmation, so that			Started	
		I can address concern on the maintenance				
		and work arrangement.				
8.	Summary	As an Administrator, I want to send	13	13	Not	9
	Report	summary report, so that I can inform on			Started	
		completed maintenance with details given.				
		As a Fault Reporter, I want to view the	14	14	Not	5
		summary report on completed			Started	
		maintenance, so that I can know that the				
		maintenance is done with details given.				
9.	Fault	As a Fault Reporter, I want to submit report	15	15	Not	6
	Severeness	as severe fault, so that my report will get the			Started	
		priority for maintenance.				
		As an Administrator, I want to view severe	16	16	Not	7
		fault report, so that I can prioritise the			Started	
		maintenance arrangement on that report.				

Table 1.3 Product Backlog and User Stories

To explain on the priority set, Feature 3, Feature 4, and Feature 7, which is Faulty Facility Report, Work Confirmation and Comment features is prioritised as they are the main features of the system. To illustrate that, Feature 3: Faulty Facility Report feature support the main goal of the system, which enabling all faculty members and students to report faulty facilities. Next, having the Feature 4: Work Confirmation feature enable the fault reporter, which including faculty member and student to know their report is acknowledged with more information such as the work in confirmed with maintenance start date and work listing, while Feature 6: Acknowledgement just inform them about the attitude towards the report. Hence, in order to test the usability of the system, Feature 4: Work Confirmation provide more values. Moving forward, Feature 7: Comment is prioritised as it differentiates the privileges on Faculty Member and Student role, where Faculty Member have more responsibilities in ensuring the maintenance work on the right track such as the work listing for the maintenance is correct and communicating on shifting the maintenance start date to earlier time as if it is urgent. Hence, prioritising this feature as one of the main features can test the usability of the system in earlier stage in case there is adaptation or improvement needed. The other features are relatively less prioritised as they are just additional features to support and enhance the main features of the online campus facility report system, hence leaving them in the upcoming sprints is adequate and more appropriate.

Therefore, according to the priority, Feature 3, Feature 4 and Feature 7 is selected as the work items for the next sprint, which is Sprint 2. For clarification, the following report will only be focusing on Sprint 2, hence, the features developed in Sprint 1 will not be presented in this report. The workflow is shown in *Figure 1.2* with the annotation for better clarification. The details on user stories for Sprint 2 will be shown in the next section.




Figure 1.2 Workflow of the System

1.3 Detailed User Stories with Acceptance Criteria

Due to the reason that some of the user stories for the selected features are considered huge, hence they will be split into smaller user stories as making the task more manageable. In addition, as for clarification, the priority and estimate here is referring to the priority and estimate of time in this Sprint 2.

Sprint 2 User Stories:

Feature 3: Faulty Facility Report

Before Split:

ID: 3	As a Fault Reporter, I want to report faulty facility with photo and audio description
	attachment, so that I can inform the fault clearer.

After Split:

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 1 – Constant Information Fill In by Default	Medium	3

User Story

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to fill in the information that stays the same for every report by default,

so that I can directly fill in the other required details.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter enters correct credentials,

when the Fault Reporter selects < login> option,

then the system directs the Fault Reporter to the home page, fault report,

and displays the report form with email, id, role, and name of the Fault Reporter filled in by default.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 2 – Submit Fault Report	High	3

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to submit report about the fault,

so that I can inform about the issue.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter login the system with correct credentials,

and been directed to the home page, fault report,

when the Fault Reporter enters valid required details, including mobile number, location, defective item, and description in the fault report form,

and selects <submit> option,

then the system sends the report to the Administrator,

and the system prompts the Fault Reporter for successful submission along with system generated report id.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 3 – Invalid and Missing Details for Report	High	1

User Story

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to get remind on invalid and missing details,

so that I can submit all required information to help in the fault report review process.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter enters some invalid or missing details in the fault report form,

when the Fault Reporter selects <submit> option,

then the system prompts the Fault Reporter on the invalid or missing details,

and the fault report is not submitted,

then the Fault Reporter can make respective adjustment before submission

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:		
User Story 4 – Add Photo Attachment	Medium	2		

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to add photo as attachment to the fault report,

so that I can inform and show the issue in more detailed way.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter login the system with correct credentials,

and been directed to the home page, fault report,

when the Fault Reporter selects < browse photo > option,

then the system prompts the Fault Reporter to upload image format file,

given that the Fault Reporter browse through the folders,

when the Fault Reporter uploads image format file,

then the system displays the uploaded image with file name in the photo section to indicate the selected photo is added.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:		
User Story 5 – Add Audio Attachment	Medium	2		

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to add audio description as attachment to the fault report,

so that I can inform the issue clearer.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter login the system with correct credentials,

and been directed to the home page, fault report,

when the Fault Reporter selects < browse audio > option,

then the system prompts the Fault Reporter to upload audio format file,

given that the Fault Reporter browse through the folders,

when the Fault Reporter uploads audio format file,

then the system displays the uploaded audio with file name and allow playback in the audio section to indicate the selected audio is added.

Before split:

ID: 4 As an Administrator, I want to view all the faulty facility report made, so that I can review the report and decide on action.

After split:

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 6 – Receive Fault Report	High	5

User Story

As an Administrator,

I want to receive all faulty facility reports made,

so that I can access to the report and review.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Administrator enters correct credentials,

when the Administrator selects < login> option,

then the system directs the Administrator to the home page, fault report,

and displays all the report submission sent to the Administrator.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 7 – View Fault Report Details	High	4

As an Administrator,

I want to view all the details of the fault report made,

so that I can review the report and decide on action.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Administrator login the system with correct credentials,

and been directed to the home page, fault report,

and the Administrator have received at least one report,

when the Administrator selects one of the submitted report,

then the system displays all the information of that report for the Administrator to review.

Feature 4: Work Confirmation

Before Split:

ID: 5	As an Administrator, I want to send work confirmation with the details of works will
	be constructed, so that I can inform about the maintenance work is arranged for the
	report.

After Split:

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:		
User Story 8 – Fill in Confirmed Work Details	High	3		

User Story

As an Administrator,

I want to fill in confirmed work details,

so that I can provide more details on the maintenance arrangement.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Administrator selects on one report in the home page, fault report,

and reviews the report,

and the maintenance work is arranged,

when the Administrator selects < confirm work> option,

then the system navigates the Administrator to the send work confirmation page,

and display the form for Administrator to fill in the details of the maintenance.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 9 – Send Work Confirmation	High	5

As an Administrator,

I want to send work confirmation,

so that I can inform that maintenance work is arranged for the report.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Administrator selects < confirm work> option on a report,

and been navigated to the send work confirmation page,

and fills in valid required maintenance details, including maintenance start date and time, expected end date and time, and work listing,

when the Administrator selects < submit> option,

then the system sends the work confirmation to the Fault Reporter of that report,

and the system prompts the Administrator for successful work confirmation sent along with system generated work id.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 10 – Invalid and Missing Details for Work Confirmation	High	1

As an Administrator,

I want to get remind on invalid and missing details,

so that I can ensure all details on the maintenance arrangement are informed.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Administrator enters some invalid or missing details in the work confirmation form,

when the Administrator selects <*submit*> option,

then the system prompts the Administrator on the invalid or missing details,

and the work confirmation is not sent,

then the Administrator can make respective adjustment before sending the work confirmation.

Before Split:

ID: 6 As a Fault Reporter, I want to view the confirmed work on submitted report with the details of works will be constructed, so that I knows that my report will be started to work on with detailed information.

After Split:

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:		
User Story 11 – Receive Work Confirmation	High	3		

User Story

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to receive work confirmation for confirmed maintenance on the report I submitted,

so that I can know that maintenance of my report is being worked on.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter login in the system with correct credentials,

when the Fault Reporter selects < confirmed work> option on the sidebar menu,

then the system directs the Fault Reporter to the confirmed work page,

and displays all the work confirmation sent to the Fault Reporter.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 12 – View Work Confirmation Details	High	4

As a Fault Reporter,

I want to view all the details of a work confirmation,

so that I can know the detailed information on the maintenance arrangement.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Fault Reporter selects < confirmed work > option on the sidebar menu,

and been directed to the confirmed work page,

and have received at least one work confirmation,

when the Fault Reporter selects one of the work confirmations,

then the system displays all the information of the selected work confirmations.

Feature 7: Comment

Before Split:

	D:	As a Faculty	Mer	mber,	ı	want	to	add	comment	and	reply	comme	nt on the	work
'	11	confirmation,	so	that	I	can	be	mor	e respon	sible	on	provide	feedback	and
		communicate							•			•		

After Split:

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 13 – Add Comment	Medium	4

User Story

As a Faculty Member,

I want to add comment on a work confirmation,

so that I can provide feedback on the maintenance and work arrangement.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Faculty Member selects one of the work confirmations,

when the Faculty Member enters comment in the text box in the comment section of the selected work confirmation,

and selects < add comment > option,

then the system will submit the comment,

and display the submitted comment under the comment section of the selected work confirmation.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 14 – View Reply	Low	3

As a Faculty Member,

I want to view reply on my comment,

so that I can know the feedback on my comment.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Faculty Member have added comment on a work confirmation,

and there is reply on the comment,

when the Faculty Member navigates to that work confirmation,

then the comment section of that work confirmation displays the Faculty Member comment along with the reply to the comment.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 15 – Faculty Member Add Reply to Comment	Low	3

User Story

As a Faculty Member,

I want to add reply to my comment,

so that I can add information or communicate with received reply.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that the Faculty Member have added comment on a work confirmation,

and the Faculty Member navigates to that work confirmation,

when the Faculty Member enters reply in the text box in the reply section under the selected comment.

and the Faculty Member selects < add reply> on the selected comment,

then the system will submit the reply,

and display the submitted reply in the reply section under the selected comment.

Before Split:

ID:	As an Administrator, I want to reply comment on the work confirmation, so that I can
12	address concern on the maintenance and work arrangement.

After Split:

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 16 – View Comment	Medium	3

User Story

As an Administrator,

I want to view the comment on work confirmation,

so that I can know the feedback on the work confirmation.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that a comment is added on a work confirmation,

when the Administrator navigates to that work confirmation,

then the comment section of that work confirmation displays all the comment along with the reply to the comment.

Title:	Priority:	Estimate:
User Story 17 – Administrator Add Reply to Comment	Low	3

As an Administrator,

I want to add reply to the comment,

so that I can communicate and address the concern on the maintenance and work confirmation.

Acceptance Criteria

Given that comment is added on a work confirmation,

and the Administrator navigates to that work confirmation,

when the Administrator enters reply in the text box in the reply section under the selected comment,

and the Administrator selects < add reply> on the selected comment,

then the system will submit the reply,

and display the submitted reply in the reply section under the comment.

2.0 Section 2

2.1 UML Design

 $\frac{2.1.1 \; \text{Class Diagram}}{\text{The class diagram will only focus on Sprint 2, the login logout will only appear as a simple login(), logout() operation in user class without any further details.}$




Figure 2.1 Class Diagram

 $\underline{\text{2.1.2 Use Case Diagram}}$ The use case diagram will only focus on Sprint 2, hence login and logout features are not included.




Figure 2.2 Use Case Diagram

 $\frac{2.1.3 \; \text{Activity Diagram}}{\text{The activity diagram will only focus on Sprint 2, hence login and logout are not included.}}$

Use Case: Submit Faulty Facility Report




Figure 2.3 Activity Diagram - Use Case: Submit Faulty Facility Report

Use Case: View All Work Confirmation Received

Faul Reporter

Faculty Member

Write Comment

Faculty Member

Add Tex comment

Display (reply Section under the comment to the comment

Figure 2.4 Activity Diagram - Use Case: View All Work Confirmation Received

Use Case: View All Faulty Facility Report




Figure 2.5 Activity Diagram - Use Case: View All Faulty Facility Report

Use Case: View All Work Confirmation Sent


Figure 2.6 Activity Diagram - Use Case: View All Work Confirmation Sent

2.2 Screen Design


2.2.1 Faulty Facility Report


Fault Reporter

User Story 1 - Constant Information Fill In by Default




User Story 2 - Submit Fault Report







User Story 3 – Invalid and Missing Details for Report



User Story 4 – Add Photo Attachment




User Story 5 - Add Audio Attachment




Administrator

User Story 6 - Receive Fault Report




User Story 7 - View Fault Report Details




Assignment 2


2.2.2 Work Confirmation

CHAN SEOW FEN




User Story 9 - Send Work Confirmation






User Story 10 – Invalid and Missing Details for Work Confirmation




Fault Reporter

Log out






1. Selected the work confirmation to view the details.





2.2.3 Comment

Faculty Member

User Story 13 - Add Comment










User Story 14 - View Reply

Pre-condition: Administrator have replied to the comment.



User Story 15 - Faculty Member Add Reply to Comment







Administrator


User Story 16 – View Comment


Pre-condition: Administrator have sent work confirmation.





User Story 17 - Administrator Add Reply to Comment





3.0 Section 3

3.1 Test Scenario, Test Plan and Test Data

Since only submit faulty facility report and submit work confirmation will consist of user input with validation that need to be evaluated, hence Blackbox testing will only include these two use cases. Others which mainly on output will just briefly describe the scenario with expected outcome.

3.1.1 Blackbox Testing

Use Case: Submit Faulty Facility Report (Feature 3: Faulty Facility Report)

Pre-condition: Fault Reporter logins to the system with correct credentials.

Test Case 1: Mobile No. Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Fault Reporter is not able to submit the report when

the Mobile No. filled in is invalid.

Test Plan: Unit Testing

Input	Comments	Expected Output
012345678	Valid Upper Boundary Values	-
0123456789	Valid Lower Boundary Values	-
01234567890	Invalid – Above Upper Boundary Values	Prompt 'The phone number is invalid.' under the input text box.
01234567	Invalid – Below Lower Boundary Values	Prompt 'The phone number is invalid.' under the input text box.
NULL	Invalid – Blank Mobile No.	Prompt 'The phone number should not be empty' under the input text box.
012345@@@	Invalid – Special Characters in Mobile No.	Prompt 'The phone number is invalid.' under the input text box.
Abc12345	Invalid – Contain Alphabet	Prompt 'The phone number is invalid.' under the input text box.

Table 3.1 Mobile No. Test Data

Test Case 2: Location Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Fault Reporter is not able to submit the report when

the Location filled in is invalid.

Input	Comments	Expected Output
Lecture Hall 2	Valid Input	-
Block B, Level 2,	Valid Upper Boundary Values (30	-
Pink Female Toilet	characters without space)	
Classroom A	Valid Lower Boundary Values (10	-
	Characters without space)	
The female toilet	Invalid – Above Upper Boundary	Prompt 'The location is too
beside male toilet	Values	long, please be short and

		precise.' under the input text box.
Man Toilet	Invalid – Below Lower Boundary Values (Explanation: Too short, could not give information, e.g. which toilet)	be longer to be specific.' under
NULL	Invalid – Blank Location	Prompt 'The location should not be empty.' under the input text box.

Table 3.2 Location Test Data

Test Case 3: Defective Item(s) Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Fault Reporter is not able to submit the report when

the Defective Item(s) filled in is invalid.

Test Plan: Unit Testing

Input	Comments	Expected Output
Projector, Speaker	Valid Input	-
Projector, Speaker,	Valid Upper Boundary Values (40	-
Mouse, Computer,	characters without space)	
Monitor		
Fan	Valid Lower Boundary Values (3	-
	Characters without space)	
The violet monitor	Invalid – Above Upper Boundary	Prompt 'The defective item is
ASUS with	Values	too long, please be short and
MG28UQ as model		precise.' under the input text
name		box.
LG	Invalid – Below Lower Boundary	Prompt 'The defective item
	Values (Explanation: Too short,	should be longer to be
	could not give information, e.g. what	specific.' under the input text
	exactly is that thing branded as LG)	box.
NULL	Invalid – Blank Defective Item(s)	Prompt 'The defective item
		should not be empty.' under
		the input text box.

Table 3.3 Defective Item(s) Test Data

Test Case 4: Description of Defect(s) Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Fault Reporter is not able to submit the report when

the Defective Item(s) filled in is invalid.

Input	Comments	Expected Output
The third faucet	Valid Input	-
counting from the		
left is broken and		
needs to be		
replaced. The		
second and the		
third toilet counting		
from left is broken		
as well.		

[Description with 200 characters without space]	Valid Upper Boundary Values (200 characters without space)	-
The fan cannot on.	Valid Lower Boundary Values (15 characters without space)	-
[Description with 201 characters without space]	Invalid – Above Upper Boundary Values	Prompt 'The description of defect is too long, please be short and precise.' under the input text box.
Fan not working.	Invalid – Below Lower Boundary Values (Explanation: Too short, could not give information, e.g. which toilet)	Prompt 'The description of defect should be longer to provide more information.' under the input text box.
NULL	Invalid – Blank Defect(s)	Prompt 'The description of defect should not be empty.' under the input text box.

Table 3.4 Description of Defect(s) Test Data

Test Case 5: Successful Submission

Test Scenario: Verify the Fault Reporter is able to submit the faulty facility

report when all required details are filled in with valid input.

Test Plan: Unit Testing

Field	Input	Expected Output
Mobile No.	0123456789	Prompts for successful
Location	Level 2 – Woman Washroom	submission along with the
Defective Item(s)	Faucet, Toilet	system generated Report ID
Description of	The third faucet counting from the	for reference.
Defect(s)	left is broken and needs to be	
	replaced. The second and the third	
	toilet counting from left is broken as	
	well.	

Table 3.5 Test Data for Successful Submission

Use Case: Submit Work Confirmation (Feature 4: Work Confirmation)

Pre-condition: Administrator selects < confirm work> option on one of the received faulty

facility report.

Test Case 1: Maintenance Start Date Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Administrator is not able to submit the work

confirmation when the Maintenance Start Date picked from

date picker is invalid.

Input	Comments	Expected Output
[Any date later than	Valid Input	-
system date]		
[Any date earlier than system date]	Invalid – Past Start Date	Prompt 'The date selected must be a future date.' under the Start Date field.

:	Invalid – Start Date is not Picked	Prompt 'The date must be
		selected.' under the Start Date
		field.

Table 3.6 Maintenance Start Date Test Data

Test Case 2: Maintenance Start Time Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Administrator is not able to submit the work

confirmation when the Maintenance Start Time picked from

time picker is invalid.

Test Plan: Unit Testing

Input	Comments	Expected Output
[Any time]	Valid Input	-
:	Invalid – Start Time is not Picked	Prompt 'The time must be selected.' under the Start Time field.

Table 3.7 Maintenance Start Time Test Data

Test Case 3: Maintenance End Date Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Administrator is not able to submit the work

confirmation when the Maintenance End Date picked from

date picker is invalid.

Test Plan: Unit Testing

Input	Comments	Expected Output
[Any date later or equal to selected Start Date]	Valid Input	-
[Any date earlier than selected Start Date]	Invalid – End Date before Start Date	Prompt 'The date selected should not before the selected start date.' under the End Date field.
:	Invalid – End Date is not Picked	Prompt 'The date must be selected.' under the End Date field.

Table 3.8 Maintenance End Date Test Data

Test Case 4: Maintenance End Time Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Administrator is not able to submit the work

confirmation when the Maintenance End Time picked from

time picker is invalid.

Input	Comments	Expected Output
Case 1: Maintenance	e Start Date Selected is same as Main	tenance End Date
[Any time later than	Valid Input	-
the selected Start		
Time]		

[Any time earlier than selected Start Time]	Invalid – End Time before Start Time for Same Start and End Date	Prompt 'The time selected should after the selected start time as the start date is same as the end date.' under the End Time field.
Case 2: Maintenance	e Start Date Selected is Before Mainte	nance End Date
[Any time]	Valid Input	-
Apply to All Case		
:	Invalid – End Date is not Picked	Prompt 'The time must be selected.' under the End Time field.

Table 3.9 Maintenance End Time Test Data

Test Case 5: Maintenance Work Listing Validation

Test Scenario: Verify the Administrator is not able to submit the work confirmation when Maintenance Work Listing filled in is invalid.

Input	Comments	Expected Output
 Repair Projector: Clean projector lens, check and adjust colour setting, etc. Repair Speaker: Examine and fix speaker connection and cables. Replace Sockets: Replace all the sockets located beside the lecturer desk. 	Valid Input	-
[Description with 400 characters without space]	Valid Upper Boundary Values (400 characters without space)	-
Replace Sockets Replace all sockets beside lecturer desk.	Valid Lower Boundary Values (50 characters without space)	-
[Description with 401 characters without space]	Invalid – Above Upper Boundary Values	Prompt 'The work listing is too long, please be short and precise.' under the input text box.
Repair Projector Repair Speakers Replace Sockets Fix Fan	Invalid – Below Lower Boundary Values (Explanation: Too short, could not give information, e.g. which socket will be replaced)	Prompt 'The work listing should be longer to provide more information.' under the input text box.
NULL	Invalid – Blank Work Listing	Prompt 'The work listing should not be empty.' under the input text box.

Table 3.10 Maintenance Work Listing Test Data

Test Case 6: Successful Submission

Test Scenario: Verify the Administrator is able to submit the work confirmation

when all required details are filled in with valid input.

Test Plan: Unit Testing

Field	Input	Expected Output
Maintenance Start Date	05/04/2024	Prompts for successful submission along with the
Maintenance Start Time	20:00	system generated Work ID for reference.
Maintenance End Date	06/04/2024	
Maintenance End Time	10:00	
Maintenance Work Listing	 Repair Projector: Clean projector lens, check and adjust colour setting, etc. Repair Speaker: Examine and fix speaker connection and cables. Replace Sockets: Replace all the sockets located beside the lecturer desk. 	

Table 3.11 Test Data for Successful Submission

3.1.2 Brief Description

For clarification, the use cases that have been covered in Blackbox Testing will not be included in this section.

Feature 3: Faulty Facility Report

	Test Scenario	Test Plan	Test Data	Expected Output
1.	Verify when Fault Reporter directed to Faulty Facility	Pre-condition: Fault Reporter login with correct credentials and directed to Faulty Facility Report page.	A Student account	Display correct student's name, ID, email and role as 'Student'.
	Report page, the Name, ID, Role, Email is filled in by default with correct information.	Verify default information displayed in the Faulty Facility Report form.	A Faculty Member account	Display correct faculty member's name, ID, email and role as 'Faculty Member'.
2.	Verify successful addition of photo by Fault Reporter.	Pre-condition: Fault Reporter login with correct credentials and directed to Faulty Facility Report page. 1. Selects < browse	1 Photo	Display '1 File selected.' with the correct selected photo in the photo section with the correct file name.
		photo> option and upload photo.Verify if correct photo is displayed in the photo section.	3 Photos	Display '3 Files selected.' with the correct selected photos in the photo section with the correct files name.

3.	Verify successful addition of audio description by Fault Reporter.	Pre-condition: Fault Reporter login with correct credentials and directed to Faulty Facility Report page. 1. Selects audio> option and upload audio. 2. Verify if correct audio is displayed in the photo section with the ability of playback.	1 Audio 3 Audio	Display '1 File selected.' with the correct selected audio in the audio section with the correct file name and allow playback with the correct content. Display '3 Files selected.' with the correct selected audio in the audio section with the correct files name and allow playback with the correct contents.
4.	Verify Administrator can receive the correct Faulty Facility Report submitted by Fault Reporter.	Pre-condition: Administrator login with correct credentials and directed to Faulty Facility Report page. 1. Verify all report submitted by Fault Reporter is exist in the Administrator side.	1 Submission 3 Submission	Display 1 Faulty Facility Report in the home page with the correct Report ID and Fault Reporter ID. Display 3 Faulty Facility Report in the home page with the correct Report ID and Fault Reporter ID sort according to newest first.
5.	Verify Administrator can view the correct Faulty Facility Report submitted by Fault Reporter.	Pre-condition: Administrator login with correct credentials and directed to Faulty Facility Report page. 1. Selects one of the reports. 2. Verify the report content is exactly the same as what the Fault Reporter have submitted.	Student Submission Faculty Member Submission	Display correct student's name, ID, email and role as 'Student' as fault reporter's information and the correct faulty facility's information submitted by the student. Display correct student's name, ID, email and role as 'Faculty Member' as fault reporter's information and the correct faulty facility's information submitted by the student.

Table 3.12 Brief Description for Feature 3

Feature 4: Work Confirmation

		Test Scenario	Test Plan	Test Data	Expected		
					Output		
1	1.	Verify when	Pre-condition:	A Fault	Display all work		
		User directed	User login with correct credentials.	Reporter	confirmation		
		to Confirmed		Account	received on		

	Work page, will display all work confirmation received (Fault Reporter) / sent (Administrator)	 Selects < confirmed work> option on the sidebar menu. Directs by system to Confirmed Work page. Verify all work confirmation received (Fault Reporter) / send (Administrator) is displayed. 	An Administr	submitted report with correct Work ID and Report ID. Display all work confirmation sent on received report with correct Work ID and Report ID.
2.	Verify when User selects one of the work confirmation, correct details of the work confirmation will be displayed.	Pre-condition: - User login with correct credentials. - Fault Reporter received at least one work confirmation. - Administrator sent at least one work confirmation. 1. Selects <confirmed work=""> option on the sidebar menu. 2. Directs by system to Confirmed Work page. 3. Select one of the work confirmations. 4. Verify the work confirmation details is correct.</confirmed>	An Administr -ator account	Display the correct maintenance details sent by Administrator. Display the correct maintenance details sent to the Fault Reporter.

Table 3.13 Brief Description for Feature 4

Feature 7: Comment

геа	ture 7: Comment			
	Test Scenario	Test Plan	Test Data	Expected
				Output
1.	Verify the	Pre-condition:	A Student	Only display
	comment	- User login with correct	Account	work
	section only			confirmation
	display on the	<u>.</u>		details.
	work	least one work confirmation.	A Faculty	Display work
	confirmation	 Administrator sent at least one 	Member	confirmation
	between	work confirmation.	Account	details along
	Faculty			with comment
	Member and	1. Selects < confirmed work>		section.
	Administrator	option on the sidebar menu.	An	Display work
	on both Faculty	2. Directs by system to	Administr	confirmation
	Member and	Confirmed Work page.	-ator	details. Only
	Administrator	3. Select one of the work	account	display
	side.	confirmations.		comment
		4. Verify the availability of		section on the
		comment section to Student,		work
		Faculty Member and		confirmation
		Administrator.		with Faculty
				Member.

2. Verify only Faculty Member is able to add comment.	Pre-condition: - User login with correct credentials. - Faculty Member received at least one work confirmation. - Administrator sent at least one work confirmation. 1. Selects < confirmed work option on the sidebar menu. 2. Directs by system to Confirmed Work page. 3. Select one of the work confirmations. 4. Verify the availability of the < add comment option.	A Faculty Member Account An Administr -ator account	Display work confirmation details along with comment section and a comment input box with add comment option. Display work confirmation details. Only display comment section on the work confirmation with Faculty Member and do not have add comment option.
3. Verify both Administrator and Faculty Member can add reply to existed comment.	Pre-condition: - User login with correct credentials. - Fault Reporter received at least one work confirmation. - Administrator sent at least one work confirmation. 1. Selects <confirmed work=""> option on the sidebar menu. 2. Directs by system to Confirmed Work page. 3. Select one of the work confirmations. 4. Verify the availability of <add reply=""> option.</add></confirmed>	A Faculty Member Account An Administr -ator account	Display work confirmation details along with comment section and a comment input box with add comment option. Only display reply input box with <add reply=""> option when there is at least one comment. Display work confirmation details. Only display comment section on the work confirmation with Faculty Member and do not have add comment option. Only display reply input box with <add reply=""> option when</add></add>

				there is at least
				one comment.
4.	Verify both Administrator and Faculty Member can see the correct comment and reply added.	Pre-condition: - User login with correct credentials. - Fault Reporter received at least one work confirmation. - Administrator sent at least one work confirmation. 1. Selects < confirmed work > option on the sidebar menu. 2. Directs by system to Confirmed Work page. 3. Select one of the work confirmations.	A Faculty Member Account	Display the work confirmation details along with the comment section with correct comment added by the Faculty Member himself/herself and the correct reply added by
		4. Verify the comment and reply displayed.	An Administr -ator account	the Faculty Member and Administrator. Display the work confirmation details. When it is the work confirmation with Faculty Member, display comment section with correct comment added by the Faculty Member and the correct reply added by the Faculty Member and Administrator himself/herself.

Table 3.14 Brief Description for Feature 7

Rubric Assignment 2

Section	Failed (0-49)	Third Class (50-59)	Second Class Lower (60-69)	Second Class Upper (70-79)	First Class (80-100)	Mark
Section 1: Introduction to the Apps, Product Backlog and User	The software is totally out of scope or does not contain much meaningful	The scopes of software are explained but largely irrelevant. Many areas of product	The scopes of software are explained and minor area is irrelevant. 3-4 areas of product	The scopes of software are explained and relevant. 1-2 areas of product backlog and	The scopes of software are explained and relevant. All areas of	Raw mark /100
Stories (40%)	features, missing product backlog and user stories.	backlog and user stories are incomplete or incorrect.	backlog and user stories are incomplete or incorrect.	user stories are incomplete or incorrect.	product backlog and user stories are correct and precise.	mark /40
Section 2: UML Diagram/ UI Design (30%)	The diagram design is not provided or totally in wrong UML diagram format.	The diagram is given but it is not designed according to Section 1 entirely. Provide limited diagram and no UI design	The diagram is given and designed according to Section 1. Provide limited diagram and UI design	The diagram is given and designed according to Section 1. Provide sufficient diagram and UI design	The class diagram is given and designed according to Section Provide comprehensive diagram and UI design	Raw mark /100 Section mark /30
Section 4: Software Testing (30%)	No test plan is provided or all test areas are incorrect.	Test plan is provided but only white box or black box testing is given.	Test plan with both white box and black box testing are provided. 3-4 test cases are not appropriate or incomplete.	Test plan with both white box and black box testing are provided. 1-2 test cases are not appropriate or incomplete.	Test plan with both white box and black box testing are provided. All test cases are appropriate and described in detailed and concise.	Raw mark /100 Section mark /30
	,				Total Score:	/100